SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_41016
Title of the Manuscript:	E-Teaching Competencies for Capacity-Building of Lecturers for Effective Delivery of Vocational Oriented Courses in the Universities
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		mile morner recassion nere)
	The manuscript is of potential interest to the	
	readership of this journal, but there are major	
	issues that must be addressed before the article	
	could be published.	
	1/* The literature review should be more	
	carefully synthesised and structured. The use of	
	sub-headings and signposting would help the	
	reader to follow the argument being developed	
	through this section. 2/ * The results section requires far greater	
	organisation and structuring. The analysis is too	
	general and the reported results are somewhat	
	selective. This section needs to be more	
	carefully and systematically constructed.	
	3/* Further, the analysis and findings must be	
	critical and interpretive rather than just	
	descriptive.	
	4/ * The final discussion and conclusion should	
	make it clear how the findings contribute to new	
	knowledge.	
	6/* More recent bibliography is necessary.	
	Furthermore, the reference list is a little bit weak. Before I can make a final decision on the	
	paper, please refer to more references and	
	upload a new version. It is suggested that the	
	author(s) can consider the following paper	
	related to use of mobile technology to the	
	higher education to strengthen the background	
	and conclusions of the study:	
	Papadakis, S., Kalogiannakis, M., Sifaki, E., & Vidakis, N. (2017). Access Moodle Using Smart	
	Mobile Phones. A Case Study in a Greek	
	University. In Interactivity, Game Creation,	
	Design, Learning, and Innovation (pp. 376-385).	
	Springer, Cham.	
	The sentences the author(s) can cite are the following:	
	E-teaching is the use of computer, internet and	
	other electronic equipment to transfer	
	knowledge and skills from a teacher to a	
	learner(s).	
	 For example, smart phones, computers, ipads, 	
	electronic interactive white boards among other	
	are essential toots in transition of e-teaching.	
	7/* The academic writing needs work. 8/* In preparing a revised manuscript, please	
	also include a table of how you have responded	
	to each of the issues listed above point by point.	
	I look forward to receiving your revised	
Minor REVISION comments	manuscript in the near future. Line 124 a wrong dot. See: One tends to. perform better	
MINOI REVISION COMMENTS	Line 124 a wrong dot. See. One tends to perform better	
	Missing a paragraph between those two references:	
	393 Lecturers of Colleges of Education in Lagos State.	
	IJUNSST,]08), 13-24 OECD (2013).	
	394 OECD Skills Outlook 2013: First Results from the	
	Survey of Adult Skills, OECD	
	395 Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/IO.1787/9 78926420-li 56-	
Ontional/Canaval assessed	en	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A Stamatios Papadakis
Department, University & Country	Department of Preschool Education, University of Crete, Greece

Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)