



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_40769
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of the Sample Registration System based life table in Assam
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author did not quite clearly substantiate the choice of the subject of the study. In addition, it is desirable to highlight the question of which territories apply the results obtained. Namely, the results apply only to the Indian state of Assam, or they can be applied to other regions, countries, regions.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author should also explain in more detail the features of obtaining a life-cycle table.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In addition, since he cites the numerical values of the age of various categories of the population, he must provide their statistical estimates and the method and methodology for obtaining them.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Sergey A. Surkov</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>International Institute of Management LINK, Russia</i>