SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_40769**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Overview of the Sample Registration System based life table in Assam**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>**NO**</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>**lack of Novelty'**</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

<mark>**PART 1:**</mark> Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**It is not clear to me the novel contribution of this paper. The author says "the purpose is to review and study the components of SRS based life table in Assam" which is done yearly by ORGI. The rest of the paper just describes and analyses the methodology used for abridged life tables and the results for Assam. Can you clarify the contribution of your work?**	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some minor grammar mistakes: - "The purpose of **the** present manuscript…" (line 41) - **SRS** was not introduced before in line 45 - **e**nvironmental, without E in line 143 - to promote ~~an~~ opportunitie in line 161 - access of doctors in rural areas, **and** income (line 162)	
Optional/General comments	The paper is well written and structured, easy to follow. However, the contribution of this work needs to be clarified.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Cristina Montañola Sales*
Department, University & Country	*Spain*