



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_40581
Title of the Manuscript:	Preauricular Sinus: Presentation, Laterality pattern, Ethnic and Gender differences among Nigerians.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Methods need to be elaborated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention physical examination for signs of PAS. • Mention HOW renal anomalies were explored. • Better if the questionnaire sheet is submitted with the manuscript. <p>Also, There's no correlation between the cultural belief and the prevalence of this (congenital) anomaly, i.e. you have to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Know and mention how many of PAS cases already knew their condition and how many discovered it during your screening study. And How many of those who knew they have PAS refused to excise it and how many of those who discovered it during your study asked for treatment. ▪ Mention how many test subjects have a history of surgical excision of PAS. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Interesting to know PAS has that cultural belief in Nigeria :)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adham Farouk
Department, University & Country	Plastic Surgery Department, Alexandria University, Egypt