



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_40368
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGICAL INFRASTRUCTURE ON QUALITY OF SERVICE IN THE NIGERIAN HEALTH SECTOR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: OK</p> <p>Abstract: Did you obtain written consent from the participants in the study? How did you sample the participants?</p> <p>What is the response rate when you gave questionnaire? Give meaningful summary of results and revise the conclusion.</p> <p>There seems to be some bias in this study with heterogeneous participants, do you agree?</p> <p>Methods: Did you obtain written consent</p> <p>Results: A small summary of the findings would greatly enhance the study article.</p> <p>Discussion: There is heterogeneous mix of the participants. You say significant impact on "Quality of Services", Patients say "they are happy with services".</p> <p>Conclusion: Needs revision, stick to your study objectives.</p> <p>Recommendations: OK</p> <p>Tables: OK</p> <p>References: Revise as per Vancouver Style</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohan.A.Sunkad
Department, University & Country	School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, India