



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_39939
Title of the Manuscript:	Learning wellness: Knowledge of health information among adolescent postpartum mothers in rural communities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-‘Learning Wellness’ – you may consider revisiting the part of you title that reads ‘Learning Wellness.’ You may consider a title like ‘Establishing the Knowledge of Health Information among Adolescent Postpartum Mothers in Rural Communities in Denkyemba District, Ghana’ -Use initial or closed caps for the heading. -The first line under the title ‘Purpose’ may be transferred to the section of ‘materials and methods.’ -The words ‘all ages’ may be deleted to avoid repetition of ‘for all’ -line 12 - Consider using ‘methodology’ without the other options. -you may consider transferring line 13 to the methodology section. -Line 17-20 – you may consider transferring the description of the questionnaire to the section of Materials and Methods. In this section you may simply indicate that you used a questionnaire for data collection. - line 24 – you may consider using ‘perception for’ instead of ‘perception on.’ -lines 29-34 – This section may be transferred to the background to the study which is under the introduction section. You may ignore the title – originality/value -Line 88 may not be necessary. -Line 103 – you may use ‘collection’ instead of ‘capturing’ -You may consider leaving 3 single-line spaces instead of 2 between paragraphs. Your spacing is uniform. -Line 121 – indicate whether you used a four-point Likert scale or otherwise. -You may consider leaving one single-line space after each table. -Include ‘conclusion section in the abstract and at the end of your report.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucy Macharia
Department, University & Country	Business, Kiambu Institute of Science and Technology, Kenya