

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38539
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxicity of Kigelia africana Fruit in Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Number of sample per group was quite small, N = 6. In discussion, increased number of white blood cell indicated inflammation as seen in histopatholgical investigation. Decreased rbc, Hb, and PCV indicated decreased rbc production or increased rbc destruction.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veeravan Lekskulchai
Department, University & Country	Department of Pathology, Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write