#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_30313
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF CROP ROTATION AND CROP RESIDUE ON SOME SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES IN WESTERN KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 3: Title: Please replace "some soil properties" by "selected soil properties"  Line 15: please put the parenthesis value in standard format like, (5.14 ± 0.7) and similarly correct throughout the text.  Line 17: Please simplify the statement Available soil Paddition of crop residue  Line 20: You have concluded your abstract with economic analysis but no any key economic findings was presented.  Line 20 and 21: You have used the conditional sentence "if adopted by farmers", it is not advisable to keep such conditional sentences, better to say "recommended for farmers" if you are quite sure about your findings.  AND, food security is vague term, I don't think your findings are sufficient to conclude in such way so remove such words or replace that (food security) with better word.  Line 30: "and major determinants" better to write "and are major determinants"  Line 73-76: There is a contradiction between first two sentences and third sentences. You have mentioned, soil samples were collected from two depths (0-15 and 15-30) in first sentences and later you have mentioned soil sampling depth of 0-20 cm. NOT CLEAR	
Minor REVISION comments	Please make your conclusion more understandable Better to present some data in figures too.	
Optional/General comments		

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Roshan Babu Ojha
Department, University & Country	Tribhuwan University, Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Nepal