1

Variance Estimation in absence and presence of non-response

Abstract: In this present study, we have discussed the issue of presence and absence of non-response that we often face in survey estimation. We have suggested the estimators to estimate the population Variance in the presence and absence of non-response, using the linear combination of coefficient of skewness and quartiles as auxiliary information. The mean square errors of suggested estimators have been compared with existing estimators to carry out the precision and efficiency of modified estimators.

7 Key words: Simple random sampling, bias, mean square error, skewness and quartiles efficiency.

8 Introduction: First of all let us address the issue of absence of non-response. Here, we consider the finite 9 population $U = \{U_1, U_2, ..., U_N\}$, consists of N distinct and identifiable units. Let Y be a real variable with value 10 Y_i measured on Ui, i=1,2,3,...,N giving a vector $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_N\}$. The goal is to estimate the population

11 means
$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{I=1}^{N} y_I$$
 or its variance $S_Y^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{I=1}^{N} (y_I - \overline{y})^2$ on the basis of random sample selected from a

12 population "U" in the absence of non-response. The effort of modifying the efficient estimators for the population 13 variance has been widely discussed by various authors such as Isaki [1], who proposed ratio and regression 14 estimators. Latter on authors such as Upadhyaya and Singh [2], have also put their efforts to improve the efficiency 15 of modified estimators over existing estimators. On the same lines, Kadilar and Cingi [3], Singh et al (2015) [4], 16 have discussed the variance estimators to accelerate the efficiency of estimators by using auxiliary information 17 Recreantly, M. A. Bhat et al (2018) [9], Usman SHAHZAD (2017) [5] have contributed their great efforts to 18 enhance the precision and efficiency of variance estimators in theory of variance estimation These efforts are now 19 being regularly carried out by the authors to improve and develop the efficiency of estimators. Non-response, which 20 is one of the serious issue has been discussed by various authors such as Isaki [1], Upadhyaya and Singh [2], 21 Kadilar and Cingi, [3], by using auxiliary information to estimate the population variance in presence of non 22 response. Recently, Riaz et al (2014) [6], Singh et al (2015) [4] and Usman SHAHZAD (2017) [5], Sarandal et al. 23 [7] and M. H. Hansen and W. N Hurtiz [8] have also addressed the issue of non-response by utilizing the auxiliary 24 information to enhance the efficiency of estimators. In this paper our aim is to have the precise and efficient 25 estimators for the estimation of population variance in the presence and absence of non-response.

26 MATERIALS AND METHODS

27 Notations: N = Population size. n = Sample size. $\gamma = \frac{1}{n}$, Y= study variable. X= Auxiliary variable. \overline{X} , $\overline{Y} =$

28 Population means. \bar{x} , \bar{y} = Sample means. S_y^2 , S_x^2 = population variances. s_y^2 , s_x^2 = sample variances.

29 C_x , C_y = Coefficient of variation ρ = coefficient $\beta_{1(x)}$ = Skewness of auxiliary variable $\beta_{2(x)}$ = Kurtosis

30 of the auxiliary variable. $\beta_{2(y)}$ = Kurtosis of the study variable. M_d = Median of the auxiliary variable. Q_1 = first

31 quartile,
$$Q_2 = \text{second quartile } Q_3 = \text{third quartile } \lambda_{rs} = \frac{\mu_{rs}}{\mu_{20}^{r/2} \mu_{02}^{s/2}}$$
 Where $\mu_{rs} = \frac{1}{N} \sum (Y_i - \overline{Y}) (X_i - \overline{X})^s$

- 32 B (.)=Bias of the estimator and MSE (.)= Mean square error.
- 33 $\hat{S}_{Is}^2 = s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2}{s_x^2} \right]$ Existing estimator proposed by Isaki
- 34 \hat{S}_{US1}^2 = Existing estimator proposed by Upadhyaya &Singh.
- 35 \hat{S}_{Kc1}^2 , = Existing modified ratio estimator (proposed by Kadilar & Cingi).

36 2. Section-1 existing estimators under absence of non-response:

37 2.1 Ratio type variance estimator proposed by Isaki [1]

38
$$\hat{S}_{Is}^2 = s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2}{s_x^2} \right]$$
 (1)

- 39 The bias and mean square error of the estimator up to first order of approximation is given by
- 40 the following expressions

41 Bias
$$(\hat{S}_{IS}^2) = \gamma S_y^2 \left[(\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (2)

42 MSE
$$((\hat{S}_{ls}^2) = \gamma S_y^4 [(\beta_{2(y)} - 1) + (\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
 (3)

43 2.2 Ratio type variance estimator proposed by Upadhyaya and Singh [2]

UNDER PEER REVIEW

44
$$\hat{S}_{US}^2 = s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + \beta_2(x)}{s_x^2 + \beta_2(x)} \right]$$
 (4)

45 The bias and mean square error of the estimator up to first order of approximation is give the following expressions

46 Bias(
$$(\hat{S}_{US}^2) = \gamma S_y^2 A_{US} \left[A_{US} \left(\beta_{2(x)} - 1 \right) - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (5)
47

- 48 MSE $((\hat{S}_{US}^2) = \gamma S_y^4 [(\beta_{2(y)} 1) + A_{US}^2 (\beta_{2(x)} 1) 2A_{US} (\lambda_{22} 1)]$ (6)
- 49 2.3 Ratio type variance estimator proposed by Kadilar and Cingi [3]

50
$$\hat{S}_{kc1}^2 = s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + C_x}{s_x^2 + C_x} \right]$$
 (7)

51 The bias and mean square error of the estimator up to first order of approximation is given by the following 52 expressions

53 Bias(
$$(\hat{S}_{kc1}^2) = \gamma S_y^2 A_{kc} \left[A_1 \left(\beta_{2(x)} - 1 \right) - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (8)

54 MSE
$$((\hat{S}_{kc1}^2) = \gamma S_y^4 [(\beta_{2(y)} - 1) + A_{kc}^2 (\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2A_{kc} (\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
 (9)

55

56 2.4 Ratio type Variance estimator proposed by Singh et al (2015) [4]:

57
$$\hat{S}_{s} = S_{y}^{\prime 2} \left[\frac{S_{x}^{2} + Q_{1}^{2}}{s_{x}^{2} + Q_{1}^{2}} \right]$$
 (10)

58 The bias and mean square error of the estimator up to first order of approximation is given by the following 59 expressions

60 Bias
$$(\hat{S}_{s}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{2} A_{s} \left[A_{s} \left(\beta_{2(x)} - 1 \right)' - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (11)

61 MSE
$$((\hat{S}_s^2) = \gamma S_y^4) \left[(\beta_{2(y)} - 1) + A_s^2 (\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2A_s (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (12)

- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

66

Table-1 Mean square error of existing estimators in absence of non response

Existing Estimators	Mean square error	
by Isaki [1]	29216846.227	
Upadhyaya and Singh [2]	29187686.03	
Kadilar and Cingi [3]	29216846.224	
Singh et al (2015) [4]:	28839478.44	

68 **3. Suggested estimators under the absence of non-response:**

69 We have suggested, new modified ratio type variance estimators to estimate the population variance in presence and

70 absence of non-response which are given below:-

71
$$\hat{S}_{p_1}^2 = Ks_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)} \right] \hat{S}_{p_2}^2 = Ks_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)} \right] \hat{S}_{p_3}^2 = Ks_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)} \right]$$

Where K is a Characterizing scalar to be determined such that the MSE of the proposed estimators is minimized.
The bias and mean square error of the proposed estimators has been carried out by the following mathematical expression.

75 The bias and mean square error of proposed estimators up to first order approximation has been carried out

76 by the following expression

77 Let
$$e_0 = \frac{s_y^2 - S_y^2}{S_y^2}$$
 and $e_1 = \frac{s_x^2 - S_x^2}{S_x^2}$. Further we can write $s_y^2 = S_y^2(1 + e_0)$ and $s_x^2 = S_x^2(1 + e_0)$ and from

78 the definition of e_0 and e_1 we obtain:

79
$$E[e_0] = E[e_1] = 0, \quad E[e_0^2] = \frac{1-f}{n}(\beta_{2(y)} - 1), \quad E[e_1^2] = \frac{1-f}{n}(\beta_{2(x)} - 1), \quad E[e_0e_1] = \frac{1-f}{n}(\lambda_{22} - 1)$$

80

81 The proposed estimator can be written as

82
$$\hat{R}_{MS} = K s_y^2 (1 + e_0) (1 + R_i e_1)^{-1}$$
 (1)

83 Expanding the right hand side of above equation up to the first order approximation we ge

84
$$\hat{R}_{MS} = K s_y^2 (1 + e_0 - R_i e_1 - R_i e_0 e_1 + R_i^2 e_1^2)$$
 (2)

85 After subtracting the population variance S_y^2 of study variable on both sides of above equation we get

86
$$\hat{R}_{MS} - S_y^2 = K s_y^2 (1 + e_0 - R_i e_1 - R_i e_0 e_1 + R_i^2 e_1^2) - S_y^2$$
 (3)

87 By taking expectations on both sides of above equation, we get the bias of the proposed estimators

88 Bias=
$$\gamma K S_y^2 [R_i^2(\beta_{2y} - 1) - R_i(\lambda_{22-1})] + S_y^2(K-1)$$
 (4)

The mean square error is obtained by squaring both sides of equation (1) and taking expectations on both sides up tofirst order of approximation as:-

91 MSE=
$$S_{y}^{4} \left[K^{2} \gamma (\beta_{2y} - 1) + (3K^{2} - 2K)R_{i}^{2} (\beta_{2x} - 1) - 2(2K^{2} - K)R_{i} \gamma (\lambda_{22} - 1) + (K - 1)^{2} \right]$$
 (5)

92 Where
$$\gamma = \frac{1-f}{n}$$
, MSE is minimum for $K = \frac{1+R_i^2\gamma(\beta_{2x}-1)-R_i\gamma(\lambda_{22}-1)}{1+\gamma(\beta_{2y}-1)+3R_i^2\gamma(\beta_{2x}-1)-4R_i\gamma(\lambda_{22}-1)}$

93 The Minimum MSE for the estimator, optimum value of K is :

94 MSE
$$MSE_{\min} \hat{R}_{MS} = S_y^4 \left[1 - \frac{\left\{ \left(1 + R_i^2 \gamma (\beta_{2x} - 1) - R_i \gamma (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right)^2 \right\} \right\}}{1 + \gamma (\beta_{2y} - 1) + 3R_i^2 \gamma (\beta_{2x} - 1) - 4R_i \gamma (\lambda_{22} - 1)} \right] \right]$$

95

96 The based on the condition if efficiency conditions of proposed estimators is

97 **MS**
$$MSE_{min.}() - MSE() = s_y^2 \left[1 - \frac{\left\{ 1 - R_1^2(\beta_{2x} - 1) - R_1(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right\}}{\left\{ 1 + \left(\beta_{2y} - 1\right) + 3R_1^2(\beta_{2x} - 1) - 4R_1(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right\}} \right] < 0$$

98 Numerical Illustration:

99 We use the data set presented in Sarandal et al (1992) concerning (P85) 1985 population considered as Y and

100 RMT85 revenue from 1985 municipal taxation in millions of kronor considered as X. Descriptive statistics is given101 below.

102 Sarandal population [7]

$$N = 234, n = 35, \overline{Y} = 29.3626, \overline{X} = 245.088, S_y = 51.556, S_x = 596.332, \rho = 0.96, \beta_{2y} = 89.231, \beta_{2x} = 89.189$$

103
$$\lambda_{22} = 4.041, \beta_{1x} = 8.83, \beta_{1y} = 8.27, TM = 167.4, Q_1 = 67.75, Q_2 = 113.5, Q_3 = 230.25, C_x = 2.43, D_1 = 49.0, D_2 = 63.0, D_3 = 75.0, D_4 = 90.0, D_5 = 113.5, D_6 = 145.9, D_7 = 197.9, D_8 = 271.1, D_9 = 467.5, D_{10} = 6720.0$$

104 We consider 20% weight for non-response (missing values) and have considered last 47 values as non-respondents

105 results are as follows:-

106
$$l = 2, S_{y2}^2 = 2.9167, \beta_2(y_2) = 11.775, N_2 = 47$$
. We apply the proposed and existing estimators to this data

107 set and the data statistics is given below:

108

Table-2 Mean square error of existing estimators in absence of non response

Existing Estimators	Mean square error	
Isaki [1]	29216846.227	
Upadhyaya and Singh [2]	29187686.03	
Kadilar and Cingi [3]	29216846.224	
Singh et al (2015) [4]:	28839478.44	

¹⁰⁹

Table-3 Mean square error of proposed estimators in absence of non response

Proposed Estimators	Mean square error
$\hat{S}_{p_1}^2 = K s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)} \right]$	2690922.57
$\hat{S}_{p_2}^2 = K s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)} \right]$	2817688.72
$\hat{S}_{p_3}^2 = K s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)} \right]$	3930301.6

110

Table-4 Percent relative efficiency of existing and proposed estimators

Existing Estimators				
Proposed Estimators	Isaki [1]	Upadhyaya and Singh [2]	Kadilar and Cingi [3]	Singh et al (2015) [4]:
$\hat{S}_{p_1}^2 = K s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)} \right]$	1085.75	1084.67	1085.75	1071.73
$\hat{S}_{p_2}^2 = K s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)} \right]$	1036.90	1035.87	1036.90	1023.51
$\hat{S}_{p_3}^2 = K s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)} \right]$	743.37	742.63	743.37	733.77

111 Section-2.

112 4. Existing estimators addressed in presence of non response

- 113 Hansen and Hurwitz (1946) sub Sampling scheme is the most popular scheme, used for the Non-response problems
- 114 Let us consider a finite population consisting of N units. Let y be the character under study and a simple random
- sample of size *n* is drawn without replacement, of which n_1 units respond and n_2 units do not respond. From the

116 n_2 non-respondents we select a sample of size $r = \frac{n_2}{k}$, $(k \ge 1)$ where k is the inverse sampling rate at the second

phase sample of size *n* (fixed in advance) and from whom we collect the required information. It is assumed herethat all the *r* units respond fully this time.

- 119 Let N_1 and $N_2 = N N_1$ be the sizes of the responding and non-responding units from the finite population
- 120 $N; W_1 = \frac{N_1}{N}, W_2 = \frac{N_2}{N}$ are the corresponding weights
- 121 Hansen and Hurwitz (1946) unbiased estimator under non-response is given by

122
$$Var(\hat{T}') = S_y^4 (\beta_{2y} - 1) + WS_{y2}^4 \beta_2 (y_2)^*$$
 where $W = \frac{N_2(l-1)}{nN}$, Where, $l = sampling$ inverse rate

123 4.1 Ratio type variance estimator proposed by Isaki [1]

124
$$\hat{S}_{Is}^2 = {s'_y}^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2}{s_x^2} \right]$$
 (1)

- 125 The bias and mean square error of the estimator up to first order of approximation is given by
- 126 the following expressions

127 Bias
$$(\hat{S}_{IS}^2) = \gamma S_y^2 \left[\left(\beta_{2(x)} - 1 \right)' - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (2)

128 MSE
$$((\hat{S}_{IS}^2) = \gamma S_y^4 \left[(\beta_{2(y)} - 1)' + (\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (3)

129 Where,
$$(\beta_{2y} - 1)' = (\beta_{2y} - 1) + \frac{WS_{y2}^4(\beta_{2y})^*}{S_y^4} = \frac{Var(\hat{T}')}{S_y^4}$$

130 4.2 Ratio type variance estimator proposed by Upadhyaya and Singh [2]

131
$$\hat{S}_{US}^2 = {s'_y}^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + \beta_2(x)}{s_x^2 + \beta_2(x)} \right]$$
 (4)

132 The bias and mean square error of the estimator up to first order of approximation is give the following expressions

133 Bias(
$$(\hat{S}_{US}^2) = \gamma S_y^2 A_{US} \left[A_{US} \left(\beta_{2(x)} - 1 \right)' - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (5)

135 MSE
$$((\hat{S}_{US}^2) = \gamma S_y^4) \left[(\beta_{2(y)} - 1)' + A_{US}^2 (\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2A_{US} (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (6)

136 Where
$$(\beta_{2y} - 1)' = (\beta_{2y} - 1) + \frac{WS_{y2}^4(\beta_{2y})^*}{S_y^4} = \frac{Var(\hat{T}')}{S_y^4}$$

137 4.4 Ratio type variance estimator proposed by Singh (2015) [4]

138
$$\hat{S}_{s}^{2} = s_{y}^{\prime 2} \left[\frac{S_{x}^{2} + Q_{1}^{2}}{s_{x}^{2} + Q_{1}^{2}} \right]$$
 (7)

139 The bias and mean square error of the estimator up to first order of approximation is given by the following140 expressions

141 Bias(
$$(\hat{S}_{s}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{2} A_{1} \left[A_{s} \left(\beta_{2(x)} - 1 \right)' - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (8)

142 MSE
$$((\hat{S}_{s}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{4} \left[(\beta_{2(y)} - 1)' + A_{s}^{2} (\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2A_{s} (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
 (9)

143 Where
$$(\beta_{2y} - 1)' = (\beta_{2y} - 1) + \frac{WS_{y2}^4(\beta_{2y})^*}{S_y^4} = \frac{Var(\hat{T}')}{S_y^4}$$

144 Proposed Estimators under presence of non-response

145
$$\hat{S}_{p_1}^2 = K s_y'^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)} \right] \hat{S}_{p_2}^2 = K s_y'^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)} \right] \hat{S}_{p_3}^2 = K s_y'^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)} \right]$$

Where K is a Characterizing scalar to be determined such that the MSE of the proposed estimators is minimized.
The bias and mean square error of the proposed estimators has been carried out by the following mathematical
expression.

149

150 The bias and mean square error of proposed estimators up to first order approximation has been carried out151 by the following expression

152 Let
$$e_0 = \frac{s_y^2 - S_y^2}{S_y^2}$$
 and $e_1 = \frac{s_x^2 - S_x^2}{S_x^2}$. Further we can write $s_y^2 = S_y^2(1 + e_0)$ and $s_x^2 = S_x^2(1 + e_0)$ and from

153 the definition of e_0 and e_1 we obtain:

154
$$E[e_0] = E[e_1] = 0, \quad E[e_0^2] = \frac{1-f}{n}(\beta_{2(y)} - 1), \quad E[e_1^2] = \frac{1-f}{n}(\beta_{2(x)} - 1), \quad E[e_0e_1] = \frac{1-f}{n}(\lambda_{22} - 1)$$

155

156 The proposed estimator can be written as

157
$$\hat{R}_{MS} = K s_y^2 (1 + e_0) (1 + R_i e_1)^{-1}$$
 (1)

158 Expanding the right hand side of above equation up to the first order approximation we ge

159
$$\hat{R}_{MS} = Ks_y^2 (1 + e_0 - R_i e_1 - R_i e_0 e_1 + R_i^2 e_1^2)$$
 (2)

160 After subtracting the population variance S_y^2 of study variable on both sides of above equation we get

161
$$\hat{R}_{MS} - S_y^2 = K s_y^2 (1 + e_0 - R_i e_1 - R_i e_0 e_1 + R_i^2 e_1^2) - S_y^2$$
 (3)

162 By taking expectations on both sides of above equation, we get the bias of the proposed estimators

163 Bias=
$$\gamma K S_y^2 \left[R_i^2 \left(\beta_{2y} - 1 \right) - R_i \left(\lambda_{22-1} \right) \right] + S_y^2 \left(K - 1 \right)$$
 (4)

164 The mean square error is obtained by squaring both sides of equation (1) and taking expectations on both sides up to 165 first order of approximation as:-

166
$$MSE = S_{y}^{4} \left[K^{2} \gamma \left(\beta_{2y} - 1 \right) + \left(3K^{2} - 2K \right) R_{i}^{2} \left(\beta_{2x} - 1 \right) - 2 \left(2K^{2} - K \right) R_{i} \gamma \left(\lambda_{22} - 1 \right) + \left(K - 1 \right)^{2} \right]$$
(5)

167 Where
$$\gamma = \frac{1-f}{n}$$
, MSE is minimum for $K = \frac{1+R_i^2\gamma(\beta_{2x}-1)-R_i\gamma(\lambda_{22}-1)}{1+\gamma(\beta_{2y}-1)+3R_i^2\gamma(\beta_{2x}-1)-4R_i\gamma(\lambda_{22}-1)}$

168 The Minimum MSE for the estimator, optimum value of K is :

169 MSE
$$MSE_{\min} \hat{R}_{MS} = S_y^4 \left[1 - \frac{\left\{ \left(1 + R_i^2 \gamma (\beta_{2x} - 1) - R_i \gamma (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right)^2 \right\} \right\}}{1 + \gamma (\beta_{2y} - 1) + 3R_i^2 \gamma (\beta_{2x} - 1) - 4R_i \gamma (\lambda_{22} - 1)} \right] \right]$$

170

171 The efficiency conditions of proposed estimators is based on the condition if

172 MS
$$MSE_{min.}() - MSE() = s_y^2 \left[1 - \frac{\left\{ 1 - R_1^2(\beta_{2x} - 1) - R_1(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right\}}{\left\{ 1 + (\beta_{2y} - 1) + 3R_1^2(\beta_{2x} - 1) - 4R_1(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right\}} \right] < 0$$

173

Table-5 Mean square error of existing estimators in absence of non response

Existing Estimators	Mean square error
Isaki [1]	29215130.96
Upadhyaya and Singh [2]	29185970.72
Singh et al (2015) [4]:	28837763.13



Table-6 Mean square error of proposed estimators in absence of non response

Proposed Estimators	Mean square error
$\hat{S}_{p_1}^2 = K {s'_y}^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)} \right]$	22343807.45
$\hat{S}_{p_2}^2 = K s_y'^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)} \right]$	2012750.82
$\hat{S}_{p_3}^2 = K s_y'^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)} \right]$	3855736.26



Table-7 Percent relative efficiency of existing and proposed estimators

Proposed Estimators	Isaki [1]	Upadhyaya and Singh [2]	Singh et al (2015) [4]:
$\hat{S}_{p_1}^2 = K s_y'^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_1^2)} \right]$	1246.48	1245.23	1230.38
$\hat{S}_{p_2}^2 = K s_y'^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_2^2)} \right]$	1451.50	1450.05	1432.75
$\hat{S}_{p_3}^2 = K s_y^2 \left[\frac{S_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)}{s_x^2 + (\beta_{1x})(Q_3^2)} \right]$	757.70	756.94	731.68

176

177 **Discussion and Conclusion**

178 We suggest the estimators in presence and absence of non-response whose mean square errors have been 179 obtained and compared with usual unbiased estimator and these suggested estimators performed better 180

than the existing estimators. From table-1 and table-2 percent relative efficiency clearly reveals that

181 suggested estimators proven better than the existing estimators, when comparison is made between182 existing and proposed estimators.

183

184 Literature cited:

- 185 [1].]. Isaki, C.T. (1983). Variance estimation using auxiliary information.
- 186 Journal of the American Statistical Association, 78, 117-123.
- 187 [2]. Upadhyaya, L. N. and Singh, H. P, (1999):
 188 use of auxiliary variable in the estimation of population
- *use of auxiliary variable in the estimation of population variance, Mathematical forum, 4, 33-36 (1936).*
- [3]. Kadilar, C. & Cingi, H.(2006a).Improvement in Variance estimation using auxiliary information, Hacettepe Journal of mathematics and Statistics, 35(1).117-115.
- 192 [4]. R. S Solanki, H. P Singh and S. K, Pal. Improved ratio type estimators of finite population variance using
- 193 quartiles. *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.* 44:3 (2015) 747-754. 194
- 195 [5]. Usman SHAHAZ. Muhammad HANIF. Nursel KOYUNCU, A> V. Garcia. LUENGO. Estimation variance
 196 using quartiles in presence and absence of non-response. *Journal of science 30(2):205-218(2017)*.
- 197 [6]. S. Riaz. Diana and j. Shabbir. A general class of estimators for the population mean using multiphase sampling with the non-respondents. *Hacettepe journal of Mathematics Statistics*, 43:39(2014) 511-527
- 199 [7].C. E. Sarandal, B. Swenson and. Wretman, Model Assisted Survey Sampling, New York: Springer (1992).
- [7] E. D. Batandar, D. Swenson and Writeman, Model Assisted Survey Sampling, New York, Springer (1992).
 [8]. M. H. Hansen and W.N. Hurtiz, The problem of non response in sample surveys. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 41:236 (1946) 517-529.
- 202 [9] M.a. Bhat^{*1}, S. Maqbool², S. A. Saraf², Ab. Rouf² and S. H. Malik². Journal of Advances in Research 13(2): 1-
- **203** 6, 2018 Article no.37321. ISSN: 2348-0394, NLMID: 101666096
- 204 205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213 214 215

216

217