

Original Research Article

Effect of macronutrient and morphoframe manipulation on growth, yield and economics of *Bt* cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)

ABSTRACT

Aim: Improving the cotton yield with best nutrient levels and effective modification of morphology by optimize the growth.

Study design: factorial randomized complete block design.

Place and Duration of Study: Agricultural College farm, Raichur, (Karnataka, India) and *Kharif* 2016

Methodology: The experiment was laid out in factorial RCBD with 18 treatments replicated thrice. The studies included three recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) levels (F_1 : 75 % RDF, F_2 : 100 % RDF (180:90:90 kg NPK ha⁻¹), F_3 : 125 % RDF) and six morphoframe manipulation practices (B_1 : Control, B_2 : Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS, B_3 : Nipping during 85-95 DAS, B_4 : Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS, B_5 : Nipping with Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS, B_6 : Boron @ 0.1% along with Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS).

Results: The result of this experiment revealed that there was significant difference in growth, yield contributing characters with respect to macronutrient levels and morphoframe manipulations. Application of 125 per cent RDF recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield (3420 kg ha⁻¹) when compared to 100 per cent RDF (3088 kg ha⁻¹) and 75 per cent RDF (2517 kg ha⁻¹). Foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm along with boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield (3318 kg ha⁻¹) and it was on par with foliar spray of boron @ 0.1 % with nipping during 85-95 DAS (3274 kg ha⁻¹) over the control (2705 kg ha⁻¹).

Keywords: RDF, Morphoframe manipulation, Mepiquat chloride, Boron and Nipping

1. INTRODUCTION

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is considered as an important fibre crop of India and Karnataka. It is the backbone of textile industries mainly because of its lint. India contributes 85 per cent of raw material to textile industry and it earns about 33 per cent of total foreign exchange (Anon., 2014-15). In India, cotton has an area of 11.88 m ha with a production of 35.2 m bales and productivity of 503 kg lint ha⁻¹ during 2015-16 as against an area of 5.88 m ha with a production of 3.04 m bales and productivity of 88 kg ha⁻¹ in 1950-51. In Karnataka, cotton occupies an area of 6.12 lakh ha with a production of 18.9 lakh bales and with productivity of 556 kg lint per ha [1]. The Northern dry zone of the state (Zone 2 and 3) covers partly the Tungabhadra and Upper Krishna Command areas (TBP & UKP). In these regions, *Bt* cotton is intensively cultivated on black soil under irrigation. The area under this crop in these command areas has been increasing steadily over the past half decade and occupying more than 1.5 lakh ha during 2009-10. The average seed cotton yield is around 20 q ha⁻¹ which is far less than actual potential yield.

The maximum yield potential of cotton is yet to be trapped under irrigated condition, but low production of cotton yield is due to monocropping practice, decline in soil fertility status and improper morphoframe. Balanced fertilization is one of the major key factors affecting cotton yield. Earlier cotton species (desi) were determinate in growth but present days growth habits of cotton varieties are indeterminate crop which respond well to the increased fertilizer and require nutrients up to boll bursting stage. Therefore, need for research to develop technologies to maximize yield levels of cotton by reducing excessive vegetative growth with morphoframe manipulation and balanced fertilization. So, experiment was conducted to know the effect of macronutrients and manipulation of morphoframe on growth and yield of *Bt* cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* 2016 at Agricultural College farm, Raichur, situated on the latitude of $16^{\circ}12'1''$ N latitude, $77^{\circ}20'1''$ E longitude with an elevation of 389 meters above mean sea level and is located in North Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka. The experiment was laid out in factorial RCBD with 18 treatments replicated thrice. The studies included three RDF levels (F_1 : 75 % RDF, F_2 : 100 % RDF (180:90:90 kg NPK ha^{-1}), F_3 : 125 % RDF) and six morphoform manipulation practices (B_1 : Control, B_2 : Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS, B_3 : Nipping during 85-95 DAS, B_4 : Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS, B_5 : Nipping with Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS, B_6 : Boron @ 0.1% along with Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS). BG-II (7213-2) variety was selected for study. Half the dose of nitrogen and potassium, entire dose of phosphorous in the form of urea, muriate of potash (MOP) and diammonium phosphate (DAP), respectively were band placed as per the treatments. Fertilizers were applied 4-5 cm deep and 5 cm away from the plant at 30 days after sowing. Remaining half dose of nitrogen and potassium in the form of urea and MOP was top dressed in two equal splits at 60 and 90 days after sowing in the ring formed 5 cm away from the plant. The soil of the experimental site was deep black and clay in texture with the available nitrogen (204 kg ha^{-1}), phosphorus (34 kg ha^{-1}), potassium (226 kg ha^{-1}), organic carbon content (0.64 %). Sowing was done by dibbling on 11th July, 2016.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

EFFECT OF FERTILIZER LEVELS

In the present investigation, the yield attributing characters were significantly (Table 2 and 3) influenced by different level of fertilizer application. Significantly higher values were noticed with 125 per cent RDF (34.06, 38.22 and 4.94 g; number of good opened bolls per plant, total number of bolls per plant, boll weight (g), respectively) compare to 100 per cent RDF (31.11, 35.61 and 4.79 g; number of good opened bolls per plant, total number of bolls per plant, boll weight, respectively) and 75 per cent RDF (24.49, 29.21 and 4.59 g; number of good opened bolls per plant, total number of bolls per plant, boll weight, respectively). [2] Reported that significant increase in boll weight due to higher phosphate content in cotton throughout the boll development stage with higher level of fertilizer. The increase in the yield attributing characters with 125 per cent RDF might be due to significantly higher amount of dry matter production and its accumulation in reproductive parts and leaf area up to the harvest. These results are in compliance with the findings [3] and [4]. Harvest index of cotton differed significantly due to application of RDF. Significantly higher harvest index was recorded with 125 per cent RDF (0.44) when compared to 100 per cent RDF (0.43) and 75 per cent RDF (0.36). This is due to significantly higher economical yield obtained with higher fertilizer application.

EFFECT OF MORPHOFRAME MANIPULATION

Morphoform manipulations showed significant effect on growth and yield attributes and is presented in Table 1, 2 and 3. Seed cotton yield was significantly higher (3318 kg ha^{-1}) with foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm along with boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS and it was on par with foliar spray of boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS with nipping during 85-95 DAS (3274 kg ha^{-1}). Significantly lower seed cotton yield recorded with control (2705 kg ha^{-1}). Higher seed cotton yield due to mepiquat chloride and boron is due to the fact that mepiquat chloride restricts the vegetative growth of plants and increases the partitioning of assimilates towards fruiting bodies [5]. Boron being a part of enzyme or a catalyst in enzymatic reaction, this helps in development of strong cell wall, increase the pollen growth and pollen germination and has effect on square, boll number, flower and boll shedding [6]. Foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm along with boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS recorded significantly higher number bolls per plant (36.33), boll weight (4.95 g), seed cotton yield per plant (180.70 g) and seed index (9.37 g) and was on par with foliar spray of boron @ 0.1 % with nipping during 85-95 DAS (35.76, 4.93 g, 177.77 g and 9.36 g, respectively). Foliar spray of mepiquat chloride recorded significantly lower plant height (95.36 cm) and dry matter production (385.15 g plant^{-1}). Mepiquat chloride cause more compact growth in plant by checking the apical dominance by acting as anti-gibberellin. Foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm along with boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS recorded significantly higher number sympodial

branches per plant (24.97) and dry matter accumulation in reproductive parts (161.33 g plant⁻¹). These results are in close conformity with finding of [7].

EFFECT OF FERTILIZER LEVELS AND MORPHOFRAME MANIPULATION

Interaction effects between fertilizer levels and morphoframe manipulations revealed that, application of 125 per RDF with foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm along with boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield (3754 kg ha⁻¹) when compared to other treatment combinations and it was on par with 125 per cent RDF with foliar spray of boron @ 0.1 % with nipping during 85-95 DAS (3749 kg ha⁻¹). Application of 125 per RDF with foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm along with boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS recorded significantly higher dry matter accumulation in reproductive parts (165.71 g plant⁻¹), boll weight (5.19 g), seed cotton yield per plant (209.83 g) and harvest index (0.47) than all other treatment combinations except 125 per cent RDF with foliar spray of boron @ 0.1 % with nipping during 85-95 DAS (Table 1, 2 and 3).

ECONOMICS

There was significant difference in economic analysis of *Bt*-cotton due to the application of different levels of fertilizer (Table 4). Application of higher levels of fertilizer (125%) recorded significantly higher gross returns (₹1,84,669 ha⁻¹), net returns (₹1,27,341 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (3.22) when compared to the application of 100 per cent (₹1,66,776 ha⁻¹, ₹1,12,015 ha⁻¹ and 3.04, respectively) and 75 per cent RDF (₹1,35,920 ha⁻¹, ₹83,650 ha⁻¹ and 2.60, respectively). The decrease in gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratios were noticed with decreased levels of fertilize (Table 4). The higher gross and net returns were mainly due to higher economic yield associated with higher levels of fertilizer applied treatment. These results were in close conformity with reports of [8] and [9] (Table 4). Among different morphoframe modification, foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm along with boron @ 0.1% recorded higher gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio (₹1,79,195 ha⁻¹, ₹1,24,111 ha⁻¹ and 3.24, respectively) over the control (₹1,46,054 ha⁻¹, ₹91686ha⁻¹ and 2.68, respectively).

Table 1. Effect of macronutrients and morphoframe manipulations on growth attributes of *Bt* cotton at final picking

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				Number of sympodial branches (plant ⁻¹)				Dry matter accumulation in reproductive parts (g plant ⁻¹)				Dry matter production (g plant ⁻¹)			
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean
B ₁	119.6	123.3	127.1	123.3	20.86	23.07	24.46	22.79	142.13	153.03	157.71	150.95	380.54	398.70	427.27	402.17
B ₂	92.01	95.94	98.12	95.36	22.97	24.69	25.89	24.52	150.48	157.33	161.08	156.30	365.02	386.68	403.77	385.15
B ₃	93.20	97.31	99.70	96.74	22.50	24.10	25.43	24.01	147.79	157.17	160.64	155.20	363.97	387.87	405.00	385.61
B ₄	121.9	125.4	128.4	125.2	22.28	24.33	25.47	24.02	144.76	156.94	159.77	153.82	383.06	401.38	430.08	404.84
B ₅	94.71	98.99	101.6	98.44	23.16	25.26	26.23	24.88	157.39	160.05	164.84	160.76	377.68	393.66	425.60	398.98
B ₆	93.22	97.04	100.2	96.82	23.10	25.39	26.41	24.97	158.19	160.08	165.71	161.33	375.85	392.74	425.97	398.19
Mean	102.5	106.3	109.1		22.48	24.47	25.65		150.12	157.43	161.63		374.35	393.51	419.61	
	S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%	
F	0.49		1.41		0.35		1.02		0.65		1.87		1.61		4.64	
B	0.69		1.99		0.50		1.44		0.92		2.64		2.28		6.56	
F×B	1.20		NS		0.87		NS		1.59		4.57		3.95		NS	

DAS – Days after sowing

NS – Non significant

F₁ : 75% RDF

F₂ : 100% RDF

F₃ : 125% RDF

B₁ : Control

B₂ : Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS

B₃ : Nipping during 85-95 DAS

B₄ : Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS

B₅ : Nipping + Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS

B₆ : Boron @ 0.1% + Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS

Table 2. Effect of macronutrients and morphoframe manipulations on yield attributes of *Bt* cotton

Treatments	Good opened bolls plant ⁻¹				Bad opened bolls plant ⁻¹				Bolls plant ⁻¹				Boll weight (g)			
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean
B ₁	22.00	28.53	31.20	27.24	5.33	4.87	4.40	4.87	27.33	33.40	35.60	32.11	4.46	4.50	4.70	4.55
B ₂	24.27	30.83	33.83	29.64	4.70	4.40	4.13	4.41	28.97	35.23	37.97	34.06	4.61	4.79	4.90	4.76
B ₃	24.13	30.60	33.63	29.46	4.80	4.53	4.17	4.50	28.93	35.13	37.80	33.96	4.58	4.75	4.87	4.73
B ₄	24.00	30.40	33.53	29.31	4.83	4.60	4.20	4.54	28.83	35.00	37.73	33.86	4.57	4.74	4.82	4.71
B ₅	26.27	32.87	35.40	31.51	4.33	4.33	4.07	4.24	30.60	37.20	39.47	35.76	4.65	4.98	5.17	4.93
B ₆	26.27	33.40	36.73	32.13	4.33	4.27	4.00	4.20	30.60	37.67	40.73	36.33	4.68	5.00	5.19	4.95
Mean	24.49	31.11	34.06		4.72	4.50	4.16		29.21	35.61	38.22		4.59	4.79	4.94	
	S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%	
F	0.35		1.02		0.07		0.19		0.37		1.06		0.02		0.06	
B	0.50		1.44		0.09		0.27		0.52		1.50		0.03		0.08	
F×B	0.87		NS		0.16		NS		0.90		NS		0.05		0.14	

NS – Non significant

F₁ : 75% RDF

F₂ : 100% RDF

F₃ : 125% RDF

B₁ : Control

B₂ : Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS

B₃ : Nipping during 85-95 DAS

B₄ : Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS

B₅ : Nipping + Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS

B₆ : Boron @ 0.1% + Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS

Table 3. Effect of macronutrients and morphoframe manipulations on seed cotton yield and yield attributes of *Bt* cotton

Treatments	Seed cotton yield (g plant ⁻¹)				Seed cotton yield (kg ha ⁻¹)				Seed Index (g)				Harvest index			
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean
B ₁	125.57	150.95	169.75	148.76	2337	2689	3088	2705	8.29	8.82	9.32	8.81	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.36
B ₂	132.98	164.96	182.54	160.16	2441	3042	3355	2946	8.53	9.05	9.67	9.08	0.36	0.42	0.44	0.41
B ₃	130.71	164.67	181.33	158.90	2438	3013	3290	2914	8.82	9.25	9.70	9.26	0.35	0.42	0.44	0.40
B ₄	129.78	163.79	179.25	157.61	2420	2978	3283	2894	8.45	8.98	9.49	8.98	0.33	0.40	0.41	0.38
B ₅	145.10	185.57	202.64	177.77	2707	3367	3749	3274	8.89	9.34	9.87	9.36	0.39	0.46	0.47	0.44
B ₆	145.22	187.05	209.83	180.70	2759	3442	3754	3318	8.94	9.36	9.78	9.37	0.40	0.47	0.47	0.45
Mean	134.89	169.50	187.56		2517	3088	3420		8.65	9.13	9.64		0.36	0.43	0.44	
	S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%	
F	1.11		3.20		18		52		0.09		0.25		0.003		0.01	
B	1.57		4.52		25		73		0.12		0.35		0.005		0.01	
F×B	2.73		7.83		44		127		0.21		NS		0.008		0.02	

NS – Non significant

F₁ : 75% RDF
F₂ : 100% RDF
F₃ : 125% RDF

B₁ : Control
B₂ : Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS
B₃ : Nipping during 85-95 DAS
B₄ : Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS
B₅ : Nipping + Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS
B₆ : Boron @ 0.1% + Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS

Table 4. Effect of macronutrients and morphoframe manipulations on economics of *bt* cotton

Treatment s	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)				Gross returns (Rs./ha)				Net returns (Rs./ha)				BC ratio			
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean
B ₁	51852	54343	56910	54368	126193	145208	166762	146054	74341	90865	109852	91686	2.43	2.67	2.93	2.68
B ₂	52088	54579	57146	54604	131817	164245	181147	159069	79729	109666	124001	104465	2.53	3.01	3.17	2.90
B ₃	52152	54643	57210	54668	131648	162717	177645	157337	79496	108074	120435	102669	2.52	2.98	3.11	2.87
B ₄	52332	54823	57390	54848	130707	160805	177293	156268	78375	105982	119903	101420	2.50	2.93	3.09	2.84
B ₅	52632	55123	57690	55148	146169	181822	202435	176809	93537	126699	144745	121661	2.78	3.30	3.51	3.19
B ₆	52568	55059	57626	55084	148989	185863	202735	179195	96421	130804	145109	124111	2.83	3.38	3.52	3.24
Mean	52271	54762	57329		135920	166776	184669		83650	112015	127341		2.60	3.04	3.22	
	S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%		S. Em.±		C.D. at 5%	
F	-		-		972		2794		972		2794		0.02		0.05	
B	-		-		1375		3951		1375		3951		0.03		0.07	
F×B	-		-		2381		6844		2381		6844		0.04		NS	

NS – Non significant

F₁ : 75% RDF
F₂ : 100% RDF
F₃ : 125% RDF

B₁ : Control
B₂ : Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS
B₃ : Nipping during 85-95 DAS
B₄ : Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS
B₅ : Nipping + Boron @ 0.1% at 70 and 90 DAS
B₆ : Boron @ 0.1% + Mepiquat chloride @ 100ppm at 70 and 90 DAS

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results It can be concluded that application of 125 per cent RDF recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield and net returns compared to 100 and 75 per cent RDF. Application of 125 per cent RDF with foliar spray of mepiquat chloride @ 100 ppm along with boron @ 0.1% recorded higher seed cotton yield, net returns and benefit cost ratio.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Annual report. All India Coordinated Research Project on Cotton, India. 2016.
2. Dhillon KL, Chhabra KL, Punia SS. Effect of crop geometry and integrated management on fibre quality and nutrient uptake by cotton crop. Journal of Cotton Research Development. 2006; 20 (2): 221- 223.
3. Anand Alur. Studies on high density planting and nutrient management in compact cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India. 2016.
4. Deshmukh PW, Ingle VD, Paslawar AN, Bhoyar SM, Nandapure SP, Deotalu AS. Effect of moisture conservation techniques and fertilizer management on yield and uptake of cotton under high density planting system. International Journal Agricultural Sciences and Research. 2015; 6(3):365-370.
5. Venugopalan MV, Kranthi KR, Shubhangi L, Tandulkar NR. development of agro-technology to Increase yields of shy-bearer desi cotton species, *gossypium arboreum* race *cernuum* in a non-traditional area of cultivation. Current Sciences. 2016; 110(4): 692-695.
6. Maqshoof Ahmad, Moazzam Jamil, Zubair Ahmad, Muhammad Ali Kharal, Abid Niaz, Muhammad Iqbal, Muhammad Fakhar-U-Zaman Akhtar, Muhammad Latif. Improving the Productivity of bt Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Through Integrated Plant Nutrient Management. Sciences Letter. 2016;4(1):44-50.
7. Rajni. Growth regulation and defoliation studies in hybrid Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Ph.D. dissertation, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
8. Paslawar AN, Deotalu AS. Impact of soil moisture conservation practices and nutrient management under high density planting system of cotton. The International Journal Engineering Sciences. 2015;4(9):34-36.
9. Pawar SV, Gitte AN, Bhosle GP, Suryawanshi SB. Effect of fertilizer levels and plant densities on yield, gross and net monetary returns of Bt cotton hybrids. Journal of Cotton Research Development. 2010; 24(2): 182-185.