Original Research Article

INFLUENCE OF CROP ROTATION AND CROP RESIDUE ON SOME SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES IN WESTERN KENYA

ABSTRACT

Declining soil fertility in sub-Saharan Africa caused by continuous cropping without nutrient inputs has resulted in declining crop yield. The study was aimed to determine the effects of crop rotation and crop residue management on soil pH, organic carbon, nitrogen and available soil P. A split plot experimental design was set up with crop management system including maize monocropping and maize −bean rotation as main plots and crop residue (maize stover) as sub plots in three consecutive seasons. At planting, all plots received 60 kg of P₂O₅/ha and 60 kg of K₂O/ha. Results for the three seasons indicated slight decrease in soil acidity, (5.14 to between 5.2 and 5.5), increase in soil organic carbon (1.35 to between 1.72 and 2.82) and soil total nitrogen from the initial value of 0.15% to between 0.17 and 0.24% due to legume rotation. Available soil P improved from 2.99 to between 6.34and 10.09 cmol kg⁻¹showing significant differences (p≤0.05) under rotation system where crop residue is returned, against control experiment of monocropping system without addition of crop residue. Rotation of maize and legumes with crop residue addition, if adopted by farmers, could benefit them from reduced input costs, improved soil fertility and enhanced food security.

23 Key words: cropping system, organic carbon, residue management, soil nutrients, soil fertility

INTRODUCTION

Continuous cultivation of maize on the same piece of land without adequate farm management practices in Kenya is likely to affect soil quality attributes and possibly maize production in the long run. Soil organic carbon and nitrogen are soil quality indicators (Ngome et al., 2011a) and major determinants of the sustainability of agricultural production systems (Blair et al., 1995). Organic matter is of great importance in soils, because it affects the physical, chemical and biological properties of soils (Baldock and Skjemstad, 1999). Soil organic carbon is directly linked to soil organic matter (Olson et al., 2005). A typical agricultural soil contains about 0.10 to 0.15 % total N, or approximately 5,604 kgNha⁻¹ in the surface 30cm. Only 1 to 4 percent of this total N becomes plant-available during a growing season, (Horneck et al, 2011; Bednarek and Tkaczyk 2002). Total nitrogen levels between 0.1 and 0.2 % are taken as low while those below 0.1 % are very low for tropical soils, (Landon, 1991). Nitrogen has a profound effect on soil fertility and therefore crop yield. Furthermore, nitrogen contributes to an increase in yield and contributes to the quality of after-harvest residue (Wiater and Chwil, 2005). It was noted by Palm et al., (1997) that solutions to smallholder farmers' soil fertility problems may be found in the strategic combination of organic resources, in particular from nitrogen-fixing legumes with small amounts of mineral fertilizers. It is well known that legumes have an advantage of obtaining nitrogen through biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) by participating in a symbiotic relationship with Rhizobia spp. Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) are legumes widely grown in Kenya traditionally, either as monocrops/sole crops or in association with cereals especially maize. One way of curbing soil fertility problems is by maximizing the productivity of grain legumes in addition to cereal production. Plant residues provide a valuable source of organic N for subsequent crops (Hayat et al., 2008). Studies by Rotich (2012) reported positive effects by maize crop residues on yields of subsequent maize. The positive effects of these materials have been attributed to enhanced nutrient inputs to soils, and improved soil physical and biological properties (Okalebo et al., 2004). The objective of the study was to determine the effect of conservation tillage, continuous cereal cropping and cereal-legume intercrops in a quest to unveiling sustainable agricultural practices that would probably improve the livelihoods of poor resource farmers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

 Researcher-designed, farmer-managed trials were done in Nyabeda, Siaya County. Nyabeda lies in a sub-humid agro-climatic zone and falls in a lower midland 2 agro-ecological zone. It is located at a latitude of 0° 07' N and longitude of 34° 24' E. The altitude is 1420 mASL and receives total annual rainfall between 1200-1600 mm with mean annual temperature of 23.2°C. The soil is kaolinitic, isohyperthemic Kandiustalfic Eutrudox with a pH of 5.14 (1:2.5 soil/water suspension), described as Ferralsols (FAO, 1990). The soils contain 57% clay, 24% silt and 19% sand and are known to be deficient in N and P (Sombroek et al., 1980). Soil nutrient levels before the experiment were as follows: extractable K (cmol kg⁻¹), 0.10; P (cmol kg⁻¹), 2.99 Ca (cmol kg⁻¹), 4.69; Mg (cmol kg⁻¹), 1.68; Total SOC, 1.35 and total nitrogen, 0.15.

Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected before the start of the experiment for initial characterization of the site at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm depths using an auger. Ten soil samples were taken with an auger from the upper soil layer (0-20 cm) in each of the plot, mixed, air-dried, finely ground, sieved (< 2mm) and stored in labeled plastic bags. Soil sampling in plots was done following the transect method (Okalebo et al., 2002). A composite sample was made from 10 samples collected randomly from different parts of each plot, mixed, sub- sampled, air dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve for pH, particle size, extractable phosphorus, and analysis of exchangeable bases, and through 60 mesh soils for organic carbon and total nitrogen analysis (Okalebo et al., 2002). Soil sampling was done each season immediately after harvesting the crop for 3 consecutive seasons to determine mainly the changes in soil pH, OC, total nitrogen and Olsen P which are sensitive to crop residue and cropping sequences. The collected soil sample was air dried at 40°C, ground and sieved using a 60mm sieve for particle analysis and 2 mm-mesh sieve for analysis of nitrogen, carbon and phosphorus.

Field Procedures and Data Collection

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 87 Crop residues were sampled from the previous season treatments soon after harvesting and
- analyzed for nitrogen concentration and converted to kg N ha⁻¹ by multiplying with their dry
- 89 weights. Initial land preparation was by hand digging with a hoe at about 15 cm depth in all
- 90 plots. Seeding was done by drilling a slot in the soil using a sharp stick. For each crop
- 91 management system, the sub-plot was split and the rate of nutrient P applied was adapted to
- 92 the soil condition and crop sequence. The treatments were then replicated four times. The
- 93 main plots measured 6m x6m (36m²) and sub-plots measured 6m x3m (18m²).
- 94 Conventionally, plots were hand ploughed and weed removal was done using hoe. Large
- 95 weeds were removed by hand pulling. Maize stover from the previous season was chopped
- 96 into small pieces to ensure uniform application. Certified maize (Zea mays) seeds Hybrid
- 97 502 were sown at 0.75m by 0.25m in both monocropping (MS) and rotation (RS) plots with
- 98 two seeds per hole then later thinned to one plant per hole after ten days. In rotation plots,
- 99 soyabean (Glycine max (L.) Merr) TGX 1448-2E, locally known as SB20, was planted in the
- 100 following season drilled on single lines. The effective distance between rows of soyabean
- was 0.325m hence a rate of 0.09kg per plot or 50 kg ha⁻¹ was used.Rainfall at the Nyabeda
- experimental site was measured daily using a simple rain gauge installed in the experimental
- 103 farm.

104

Experimental Design and Field Layout

- The study consisted of the following treatment combinations:
- 1. Crop Management Systems;
- a. Maize monocropping (CMS1)
- b. Maize-bean rotation (maize in first season then legume the following season) (CMS2)
- 2. crop residue management systems;
- a. crop residue (maize stover) removed (-CR)
- b. crop residue (maize stover) retained (+CR)
- The experiment was arranged in a split plot design with crop management system (CMS) as
- the main plots and soil surface management (CR) as sub-plots. Treatments were then
- replicated four times in a factorial combination with replication as blocks.

116 Laboratory Analyses

- 117 Soil analyses were carried out at University of Eldoret soils laboratory. Soil pH was
- measured with a glass electrode using a soil: water ratio of 1: 2.5. Organic carbon (OC) was
- determined by Walkley and Black wet combustion method and converting Walkley and
- Black method estimates into TOC values as described by Velmurugan et al. (2009). Total N
- was measured by Kjehldahl method. P was determined using the Olsen P method. All
- analyses were done following the procedures by Okalebo et al. (2002).

123 Data Analysis

- Data generated on soil phosphorus, carbon, nitrogen and pH were entered into a Microsoft
- Excel spreadsheet. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), using Genstat programme version 12
- was performed and means were separated by least significant differences (LSD). Statistical
- differences among treatment means were declared at 5% level of significance.

128 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

129 Soil changes under different crop management systems

Cumulative rainfall amounts per season recorded were 402, 690 and 593mm in 2003SR, 2004LR and 2004SR respectively while the number of rainfall days for the three seasons were 40, 53 and 50 rain days (R.D) respectively. However, rainfall intensities varied in the rain days within the season. It was observed that the study area receives low amounts of rainfall and that dry spells are a common phenomenon with drought also being a common occurrence in the area. This observation would have contributed to the seasonal changes in the three cropping seasons.

Table 1: Soil pH under different cropping system in 2003SR, 2004LR and 2004SR cropping seasons

CMS	CR	2003 SR	2004 LR	2004SR	
	-CR	5.3	5.3	5.5	
MS	+CR	5.5	5.6	5.5	
	-CR	5.4	5.4	5.5	
RS	+CR	5.2	5.4	5.4	
		1.s.d _{.(.05)}	1.s.d _{.(.05)}	1.s.d _{.(.05)}	
RM		0.1	0.1	NS	
RS+RM		0.1	0.18	NS	
CV%		2.6	2.9	2.6	

Key: CMS-Crop Management System; CR-Crop Residue; MS-Monocropping System; RS-Rotation System

Soil pH changes under different crop management systems

Table 1 shows soil pH ranged from a value of 5.2 to 5.7., total organic carbon ranged from 1.72 to 2.82% (Table 2), total N in soil ranged from 0.17 to 0.24% and Soil organic carbon and N contents in surface soils were very low according to the recommendation of 2.60% as given by Landon (1991) and Mungai*et al.*, (2009). The surface (0-15cm) soil pH was not significantly different as influenced by crop management system in the three cropping seasons. Under residue management, rotation system showed significant (p≤0.05) and (p≤0.05) difference over no residue in 2003SRand 2004LR cropping seasons respectively. Under tillage system and residue management interaction, significant difference was observed in 2003SR and 2004LRgrowing seasons. The higher soil pH in rotation soil compared with the continuous cereal soils was likely due to the much higher NO⁻³ uptake of the more vigorously growing plants and compensatory exudation of OH⁻. Imai (1991) measured the pH in soybean-based and mungbean-based rotation systems for 10 years and found rotation-induced pH changes of up to 1 pH unit. Powell and Ikpe (1992) reported from a similar soil of the region that a near neutral pH resulted in maximum dissolution of P from iron and aluminium complexes.

Residue addition showed an improvement in lowering the soil acidity. This was possibly because of continuous build up of organic matter on the surface soil and the compounded effect of no nitrogen fixation effected by the legume within the cropping layer. Pocknee and Sumner (1997) concluded that the major factors of organic amendments that influenced soil pH were basic cations and N contents. Krishan and Saha (2008) explained that organic acids produced by organic matter have the capability to hold cations and anions. The ions so adsorbed are subsequently released slowly to the plants. Similar results based on several studies, deduced that an initial pH increase commonly occurred after addition of organic materials, which lasted for approximately 1-2 months, followed by a pH decline. Crop residue release exctracts in the soil media, which change the chemical composition of the

soil, increasing pH, exchangeable calcium and decreasing exchangeable Al (Meda et al., 2001). The possible mechanism previously proposed by Miyazawa et al. (1993) was that upon addition of organic matter, Al³⁺ions are adsorbed on the surface of the added organic compounds andAl³⁺ ions are precipitated due to increase in soil pH. These researchers found that the magnitude of the initial pH rise was dependent on the type of residue, application rate and BC. For example, for amendments of 20 t ha⁻¹ maize stover, pH increases of 0.81-0.85 pH units (Table 2) were reported compared with increases of 0.8-1.5 pH units at 40-50 t ha⁻¹ maize stover rates earlier reported by Juo et al.(1995). These rates are, however, too heavy to be practiced under normal farming set-up like the one in western Kenya. The resulting effect is an increment of soil organic matter, which is known to act as soil buffer, thus reducing free H⁺ ions and stabilizing pH level of the soil.

Table 2: Soil OC (%) under different cropping system in 2003SR, 2004LR and 2004SR cropping seasons

CMS	CR	2003 SR	2004 LR	2004SR
	-CR	2.53	2.03	2.56
MS	+CR	2.82	1.85	2.52
	-CR	2.75	1.72	2.7
RS	+CR	2.75	1.77	2.7
		l.s.d _{.(.05)}	1.s.d _{.(.05)}	1.s.d _{.(.05)}
CMS		0.003	NS	NS
TS		0.002	NS	NS
CR		NS	NS	0.003
CMS x CR		0.003	0.029	NS
CV%		1.2	9.5	1.5

Key: CMS-Crop Management System; CR-Crop Residue; MS-Monocropping System; RS-

181 Rotation System

However, Juo et al. (1995) reported that the extent of acidification can be controlled by choice of cropping systems as well as soil and residue management. A good correlation between buffering capacity (BC) and organic matter content has been documented in several studies (Starr et al., 1996; Curtin et al., 1996) and the importance of SOM to maintain stable pH values, despite acidifying factors, was documented by Cayely et al., (2002).

Effects of crop management system on soil organic carbon

Higher means of OC were observed in rotation than monocropping in all the three cropping seasons (Table 3). The differences were highly significant ($p \le 0.05$) in 2003SR cropping season. Similarly, residue significantly ($p \le 0.05$) influenced soil OC in 2004SR cropping seasons with mean OC higher under rotation than monocropping systems. The interaction of crop and residue management significantly ($p \le 0.05$) influenced soil OC in 2003SR and 2004LR cropping seasons where means of OC were higher under rotation than monocropping with l.s.d values of 0.003 and 0.029 respectively. Shah et al. (2003) reported that soil organic C was increased by N inputs, from both fertilizer and by retention of residues and by N fixation in case of the legume planted. These results concurred with those reported by Surekha et al., (2003) and Shah et al., (2007).

Research findings show there is progressive accumulation of decomposing organic matter on the surface soil layer (Juo et al. 1995) resulting from high accumulation of legume leave drops and perhaps the dead microbial population responsible for nitrogen fixation. Organic

matter in soils improves soil structure, increases water holding capacity of soils, increases cation exchange capacity (CEC) of soils and increases capacity of low activity clay soils to buffer changes in pH (Omotayo and Chukwuka, 2009). Soil OC was higher in 2003SR than the following two seasons. The seasonal variation of soil OC is a function of other factors such as physical (porosity, soil aggregate stability, water holding capacity and structure) and chemical properties (nutrient supply capability and salt content), many of which are a function of SOM content as observed by Doran and Safley, (1997). The content of OC in a soil is determined by losses of organic carbon through decomposition, erosion of particles and losses through dissolved organic matter and the nature and quantities of inputs of organic matter (Karlen et al., 2003; Norfleet et al., 2003). The ultimate contribution of crop residue to SOC is controlled by the type (quality) and amount (quantity) of plant residue added to the soil (Palm et al., 1997, 2001; Vanlauwe, 2003). Low SOC amount is also an environmental threat since low fertility results in low biomass yield. Such level can also result in significant fertilizer loss because of low buffer or retention capacity.

Effects of crop management system on soil nitrogen

Table 3: Soil total N (%) under different cropping system in 2003SR, 2004LR and 2004SR cropping seasons

CMS	CR	N(%) 2003SR	N(%) 2004LR	N(%) 2004SR
MS	- CR	0.24	0.18	0.24
	+ CR	0.24	0.17	0.24
RS	- CR	0.24	0.17	0.24
	+ CR	0.24	0.2	0.23
		l.s.d.(.05)	l.s.d.(.05)	l.s.d.(.05)
CMS		0.003	NS	NS
CR		0.002	NS	NS
CMS x CR		NS	NS	0.003
CV%		1.2	9.5	1.5

Key: CMS-Crop Management System; CR-Crop Residue; MS-Monocropping System; RS-Rotation System

220 Crop management was highly significant ($p\le0.05$) with N higher under rotation than monocropping system. Residue management significantly ($p\le0.05$) affected soil N in 2003SR cropping seasons with

no significant difference in 2004LR and 2004SR cropping seasons (Table 3). Interaction of crop and residue management significantly (p \leq 0.05) influenced soil N in 2003SR cropping season. No influence was observed in 2004LR and 2004SR cropping seasons. Measurements of initial soil N before the experiment showed lower values before treatment application of between 0.15 compared to measurements taken during the cropping periods. There was a distinct difference in soil N in the long rain than the short rain season, with N in the order of 2003SR (0.24%) > 2004SR (0.18-0.19%) > 2004LR (0.24%). This could have been due to increased biological activity during the short rains than in the long rains, which is associated to high environmental temperatures during these short rains seasons, and possibly because of some N being leached and washed away by surface run-off during high rainfall intensities during 2004LR than in the other two seasons.

Effect of crop management system on soil phosphorus

Soil P ranged from 6.03 to 11.67 ppm. Available P (bicarbonate extractable P) as low as 6.03 cmol Pkg⁻¹ of soil is below the critical level of 10 cmol Pkg⁻¹ of soil according to ratings given in Okalebo et al.,(2002). P levels in soils were measured at the end of every harvest season in order to monitor the trend of P changes during cropping. Under crop management system P levels were significant ($p \le 0.05$) in 2003SR and 2004SR with P levels higher in rotation than in monocropping. Under residue management P levels showed no significant difference in any of the cropping season.

There was no influence on soil available P under the interaction of crop and residue management in all the three cropping seasons. Legume rotated with maize is envisaged to increase soil N level by fixation and from leave biomass being incorporated into the soil during and after plant growth period. This is expected to increase SOC, in turn enhance solubilisation and mineralization of soil P, and subsequently increase available soil P for plant uptake. However, the degree of solubilisation and mineralization is dependent on the amount of biomass added in relation to the level of soil acidity. Researchers have reported increased soil P availability due to organic matter ability to reduce P sorption on acid soils (Whalen and Chang, 2002; Opala et al., 2010). This process of enhancing P absorption by plants appears to be particularly important in highly weathered, fine textured, and acid tropical soils, where great proportions of applied P fertilizer are not available to plants due to strong fixation of P on iron and aluminium oxides (Harrison, 1997; Jama et al., 1997). In the acid Ferralitic soils of this study the measured rise in pH could have made a major contribution to the observed rotation-induced increases in P availability by influencing P solubility and equilibrium concentrations. It is concluded that increased P

Table 4: Soil available P (mg kg⁻¹) under different cropping system in 2003SR, 2004LR and 2004SR cropping seasons

CMS	CR	2003SR	2004LR	2004SR
MS	-CR	10.32	9.34	11.07
	+CR	8.67	10.09	7.25
RS	-CR	6.03	8.76	8.3
	+CR	7.07	10.59	6.34
		1.s.d. _{0.05}	1.s.d. _{0.05}	1.s.d. _{0.05}
CR		5.52	0.12	6.01
CV%		13.5	2.9	18.6

Key: CMS-Crop Management System; CR-Crop Residue; MS-Monocropping System; RS-Rotation System

availability is attributed to indirect effects, such as pH-dependent stimulation of P mineralizing bacteria (Bagayoko et al., 2000a). This indicates the likely interaction between chemical and biological factors involved in rotation effects on poorly buffered Western Kenya soils.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The combined treatment of crop residue coverage at a recyclable amount of at least 2 t ha⁻¹ (about a third of maize stover) and rotation of leguminous species can be considered an effective technology, due to its improvement of soil nutrient status, particularly N and P, for

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 273 carrying out sustainable agriculture in western Kenya. From the results of this study, addition 274 of crop residue has a potential in improvement of soil organic carbon in the low humus soils 275 of Nyabeda characterized by relatively low SOM levels. Practicing residue application under rotation system even without addition of fertilizer N has a potential in increasing soil total N, 276 277 soil organic carbon and enhancing availability of P. This is due to the decomposition of the 278 residues releasing nutrients, especially N to the soil and the maintenance of these nutrients in 279 the upper soil layer. Three cropping seasons in this research study were used and the results 280 show effectiveness of use of maize stover and rotation system as demonstrated in this short-281 term experiment. In this study it was deduced that crop residue management under rotation 282 system have a potential in soil fertility restoration strategy for poorly degraded soils like 283 those in western Kenya. Farmers should be encouraged to retain maize stover, at least 2 t ha⁻¹, 284 on their fields. Instead, farmers mostly use stover and core residue as firewood and feed to 285 livestock.
- 286 From the study, it can be concluded that rotation of soyabeans and maize can to an extent 287 improve soil N and P compared to monocropping alone. The slight increase in soil pH, 288 available soil N and P might have been marginally enhanced with the combination of rotation 289 and crop residues. The decomposing organic matter produced organic acids that might have 290 enhanced the dissolution of fixed soil P or inhibited fixation of mineral P applied during 291 planting. During decomposition, microorganisms required P nutrition for growth. 292 Consequently, inorganic P was converted into the organic form of P which upon death and 293 decomposition of microorganisms this organic P pool was also converted to plant available P. 294 Further studies should consider the rotation with addition of higher value organic matter 295 source with the aim of determining the best combination that will enhance soil available P. It 296 is important to note that consistent practice of rotation over several seasons and with 297 enhanced mode of incorporation of leave biomass is required to significantly change soil 298 chemical parameters. Further, increased rates and frequencies of application and/or 299 incorporation of crop residues will result in achievement of higher soil OC, N and P levels as 300 well as reduction of soil acidity.

301

REFERENCES

302 303 304

305

- Anderson RM, Marcelo EC, Marcos AP, Mario M (2001). Alleviating soil acidity through plant organic compounds. Braz.Archiv.Bio. Tech. 44 (2): 360.
- Bagayoko M, Alvey S, Buerkert A, Neumann G (2000). Root induced increases in soil pH and nutrient availability to field grown cereals and legumes on acid sandy soils of Sudano- Sahelian West Africa. Plant Soil. 225: 117–127.
- Baldock JA, Skjemstad JO (1999) Soil organic carbon/soil organic matter. In: SoilAnalysis:

 An Interpretation Manual, Peverill KI, Sparrow LA, Reuter DJ, (Eds.). CSIRO
 Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia, pp. 159–170.
- Bednarek W, Tkaczyk P, (2002). The influence of mineral fertilization on the content of some nitrogen forms in soil Zesz.Probl.Post.NaukRol., 484: 55–60. (In Polish)
- Blair GJ, Lefroy RDB, Lisle L, (1995). Soil carbon fractions based on their degree of oxidation, and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural systems.
- 316 Aust. J. Agric. Res. 46: 1459-1466.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 317 Cayley JWD, McCaskill MR, Kearney GA, (2002). Changes in pH and organic carbon were
- 318 minimal in a long-term field study in the Western District of Victoria. Aust. J. Agric.
- 319 Res.53:115-126.
- 320 Curtin D, Campbell CA, Messer D, (1996). Prediction of titratable acidity and soil sensitivity
- 321 to pH change.J. Environ. Qual.25:280-284.
- Horneck, D.A., D.M. Sullivan, J.S. Owen, and J.M. Hart. 2011. Soil Test Interpretation
- Guide. Oregon State University, EC 1478-E, http://extension.oregonstate.edu/catalog/
- Doran JW, Safley M, (1997). Defining and assessing soil health and sustainable productivity.
- In 'Biological Indicators of Soil Health, Pankhurst CE, Doube BM, Gupta VVSR, (Eds.).
- 326 CAB International, New York, pp. 1-28.
- 327 Harrison, A. F. (1987). Soil Organic Phosphorus—A Review of World Literature. CAB
- International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK, p. 257.
- Hayat R, Ali S, Siddique MT, Chatha TH, (2008). Biological nitrogen fixation of summer
- legumes and their residual effects on subsequent rain fed wheat yield, Pakistan J. Bot.,
- 331 40:711–722.
- Hoekstra, D. (1988b). Summary of zonal agroforestry potentials andresearch across land-use
- systems in the highlands of Eastern and Central Africa. AFRENA Report No. 15.
- Nairobi: ICRAF, 50 pp.
- 335 Imai, H. (1991). Nutrient dynamics in tropical cropping systems involving legumes In:
- Phosphorusnutrition of grain legumes in the semiarid tropics, (Eds.): C. Johansen, K.K.
- Lee and K.L.Sahrawat.ICRISAT, Andra Pradesh. 195-211.
- 338 Miyazawa, M.; Pavan, M.A.; Calegari, A (1993). Eeffect of plant material in soil acidity. R.
- 339 Brás. CI. Soil, Campinas, 17 (3): 411-416
- 340 Mungai NW, Bationo A, Waswa B, (2009). Soil properties as influenced by soil fertility
- management in small scale maize farms in Njoro, Kenya. J. Agron. 8:131-136.
- 342 Musinguzi P, Tenywa J S, Ebanyat P, Tenywa M M, Mubiru D N,Basamba T A and Leip A
- 343 (2013). Soil organic carbon thresholds and nitrogen management in tropical
- agroecosystems: conceptsand prospects J. Sustain. Dev. 6 (12): 31–43
- 345 Ngome AF, Becker M, Mtei KM, Mussgnug M (2011). Fertility management for maize
- cultivation in some soils of Kakamega, Western Kenya. Soil Tillage Res. 117: 69-75.
- Norfleet ML, Ditzler CA, Grossman RB, Shaw JN, (2003). Soil quality and its relationship to
- 348 pedology.Soil Sci. 168: 149-155.
- Okalebo JR, Gathua KW, Woomer PL (2002). Laboratory Methods of Soil Analysis: A
- Working Manual, 2nd edition. Nairobi, Kenya: TSBR-CIAT and SACRED Africa.
- Okalebo JR, Palm CA, Lekasi JK, Nandwa SM, Othieno CO, Waiga M, Ndungu KW, (2004).
- Use of organic and inorganic resources to increase maize yields in some Kenyan infertile

388

- soils, In: Managing Nutrient Cycles to Sustain Soil Fertility in Sub-Saharan Africa, Bationo A, (Ed.). Academy Science Publishers, Nairobi, Kenya. pp. 360-372.
- Olson KR, Lang JM, Ebelhar SA, (2005). Soil organic carbon changes after 12 years of notillage and tillage of Grantsburg soils of Southern Illinois. Soil Tillage Res. 81: 217-225.
- Omotayo OE, Chukwuka KS, (2009). Soil fertility restoration techniques in sub-Saharan Africa using organic resources. Afri. J. Agric. Res. 4(3): 144-150.
- 359 Opala PA, Okalebo JR, Othieno CO, Kisinyo P, (2010). Effect of organic and inorganic 360 phosphorus sources on maize yields in an acid soil in western Kenya.Nutr.Cycl.Agroecosysts. 86:317-329. 361
- Palm CA, Myers RJK, Nandwa S, (1997). Combined use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources for soil fertility maintenance and replenishment. In: *Replenishing Soil Fertility in Africa*, Buresh RJ, Sanchez PA, Calhoun FG, (Eds.). SSSA Special Publication, 51.Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 193–217. pp. 151-192
- Pocknee S, Sumner ME, (1997). Carbon and nitrogen contents of organic matter determine its soil liming potential. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 61: 86-92.
- Shah Z, Shafi M, Bakht J, Tariq JM, (2007). Soil C and N dynamics and maize (*Zea may* L.) yield as affected by cropping systems and residue management in North-western Pakistan. *Soil. Tillage Res.* 94: 520–529
- Sombroek WG, Braun HMH, Van der Pouw BJA, (1980). The exploratory soil map and agroclimatic zone map of Kenya. Kenya Soil Survey, Nairobi, Kenya
- Starr M, Westman CJ, Ala-Reini J, (1996). The acid buffer capacity of some Finnish forest soils: Results of acid addition laboratory experiments. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution* 89, 147-157.
- Surekha K, Kumari AP, Narayana RM, Satyanaarayana KS, Cruz PC, (2003). Crop residue management to sustain soil fertility and irrigated rice yields. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys*. 67: 145–154
- Vanlauwe B, (2003). Integrated soil fertility management research at TSBF: the framework, the principles, and their application. In: Soil Fertility Management in Africa: A Regional Perspective, Gichuru MP, Bationo A, Bekunda MA, Goma PC, Mafongoya PL, Mugendi
- DN, Murwira HM, Nandwa M, Nyathi P, Swift MJ, (Eds.). Academy Science Publishers.TSBF-CIAT, Nairobi. pp. 306
- Whalen JK, Chang C, (2002). Phosphorus sorption capacities of calcareous soils receiving cattle manure applications for 25 years. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 33:1011-1026.
- Wiater J, Chwil S, (2005). The influence of mineral fertilization on the content of mineral nitrogen forms in the medium soil. Frag.Agron., 22: 613–623.