



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEMT_41956   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Robust Estimators for estimation of population variance using non-conventional population Parameters. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research paper   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In page 4 81<sup>th</sup> column, authors need to explain their estimator clearly; alpha=1 (why?); What is the motive for their estimators?.</li> <li>2. In page 5 85<sup>th</sup> – 86<sup>th</sup> columns, Authors also need to explain the condition of AMSie1.</li> <li>3. In this paper, authors need to give the simulation to compare their estimator with other estimators.</li> </ol>  | Noted   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the notations, the English style and the clarity of exposition need to improve, for example : (i) page 2 30<sup>th</sup> column, “ yi ” instead of “ Yi ”; (ii) page 2 44<sup>th</sup> – 45<sup>th</sup> columns, authors need to rewrite them; (iii) page 3 52<sup>th</sup> – 53<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> – 61<sup>th</sup> columns, “ what is lamda22? ”; (iv) page 5 87<sup>th</sup> and 94<sup>th</sup> columns, authors also need to explain it clearly; (v) page 7 Section 4, authors need to explain it clearly, etc..</li> <li>2. I suggest that authors also need a good proof-reading by a scientific writer.</li> <li>3. Format of some references is not consistent, for example [1], [3], [4], [5], [7],[8].</li> </ol> |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | No.  |   |