



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_45085
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF EFFICACY OF PANAX GINSENG AND VITAMIN E SUPPLEMENT ON NICOTINE AND CHRONIC STRESS INDUCED REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY IN MALE ALBINO WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed procedures are not required to be described, a reference to the method used would suffice. The objective of the paper gets diluted because of such lengthy explanations</li> </ul> <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Instead of mentioning the names of all the groups again and again (i.e. Nicotine, nicotine + stress, etc) the authors can name the groups as A, B, C etc so that it becomes easy to read and comprehend.</li> </ul> <p>Citation style</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The authors are abruptly ending sentences eg: 'is in agreement with earlier report of [3]', this is not allowed in scientific writing, please write the name of the first author of that paper followed by et al and then cite the reference number</li> </ul> <p>Placement of figures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Kindly place the figures of graphical representation and histopathology along with the relevant paragraph in the results section</li> </ul> <p>Number of figures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The representation of figures may be limited to important concepts of the paper and not for all the outcomes assessed</li> <li>Kindly stick to the journals guidelines for the maximum number of figures, tables and word count.</li> </ul>	<p>Methods have been made as brief as possible. Thank you.</p> <p>I do not agree with this. Naming the groups A,B or C makes the reader go back and forth looking for the treatment key each time.</p> <p>This has been corrected</p> <p>The rearrangement has been done</p> <p>I decided to remove all figures as the tables have provided the necessary information for the discussion. The figures were a repetition of the results in the tables</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One feels unable</li> <li>Please abbreviate all the words at first use and once abbreviated use it consistently throughout the text and refrain from using the word again</li> </ul> <p>Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Weight of the wistar rats is mentioned here as 150 – 220 whereas in the abstract it is 150 – 200</li> <li>Please write the approval number for the study</li> <li>Rodents are quite not....attentions of passers-by (not required)</li> <li>The left cauda epididymis ....animal was then obtained and recorded (not required)</li> <li>Twenty microlitres of 0.05% eosin Y–nigrosin....for each assay (not required)</li> <li>Serum was obtained following the method previously described in preceding sections. The serum was then used for reproductive hormonal assay (not required)</li> <li>Serum testosterone, luteinizing hormone and follicle stimulating hormone concentrations were determined using the enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA) kit method as used by ? (please abbreviate)</li> <li>The testis and epididymis of the control .....of x100 were viewed (kindly write in brief).</li> </ul> <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sperm motility parameters (motile sperm was) – motile spermatozoa / motile sperms</li> </ul> <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>of either of (grammatical error)</li> </ul>	<p>Changes effected</p> <p>That was a typographical error. Correction effected: 150 – 200</p> <p>Protocol number included These portions have been removed.</p> <p>Sentence has been deleted</p> <p>Corrections effected</p> <p>Abbreviation used</p> <p>Done Changes effected</p> <p>Grammar corrected</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

		Grammar corrected
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Please number the lines as per the journals guidelines to help the reviewer in reviewing  English language check by a linguistic expert or a native speaker	I don't think this is necessary  I have gone through the article to correct as much of the English as possible

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	