



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_44549
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND PRODUCTION OF PALM NUT AND FIBRE SEPARATING MACHINE.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Technical Comment a. Author did not put cost of production. b. Does the machine exists before, if it does brief on it? c. '3 mm' not '3mm' d. Line 8 capital letter for 'KW' not 'kW'. e. On equation 1, no unit on 'V' and 'g' f. Align equation numbers. g. On equation 4, no unit on the parameters. h. On references section put space on Adegoke Oke.	Highlighted corrections from reviewer effected accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments	a. On references section, 'Khurmi' not 'Khurimi'	Corrections effected
Optional/General comments	The research work is good and the write-up is superb.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	