



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_43600
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of IPM packages based on effective insecticides and bio-pesticides for controlling tomato fruit borer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																														
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div><p><u>3.8.18</u> <u>Comments</u> <u>Peer Review - Ms. HK 43600</u></p><table><tr><th><u>Line No.</u></th><th><u>Action demanded</u></th></tr><tr><td>43.</td><td>write potential instead of potentially</td></tr><tr><td>47.</td><td>delete the related to, Add order and family after H. armiger</td></tr><tr><td>63.</td><td>Avoid repetition of resistance, resurgence etc.</td></tr><tr><td>98</td><td>delete was consisted on</td></tr><tr><td>99.</td><td>Introduce Voliam flexi with its common name, and manufacturer</td></tr><tr><td>103.</td><td>Introduce/elaborate broneen plus as which part of neem was boiled, how much quantity for how much time to get this standard solution.</td></tr><tr><td>106.</td><td>Consisted instead of consists</td></tr><tr><td>112.</td><td>Cupravit, introduce with common/generic name</td></tr><tr><td>178.</td><td>Better use data upto 1 decimal eg. 2.1, 0.9^{etc}</td></tr><tr><td>201.</td><td>No need showing total, discuss three seasons so that farmer may know which one is more prone to insect attack</td></tr><tr><td>211.</td><td>Better use T₄, T₅ etc, the to summarize discussion</td></tr><tr><td>220.</td><td>which IPM module, because in this apt also. ① IPM- have been used.</td></tr><tr><td>244.</td><td>Differentiate infestation, on basis of weight or number, or average of both.</td></tr><tr><td>285.</td><td>Share the formula of infestation decrease no. control.</td></tr></table></div>	<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Action demanded</u>	43.	write potential instead of potentially	47.	delete the related to, Add order and family after H. armiger	63.	Avoid repetition of resistance, resurgence etc.	98	delete was consisted on	99.	Introduce Voliam flexi with its common name, and manufacturer	103.	Introduce/elaborate broneen plus as which part of neem was boiled, how much quantity for how much time to get this standard solution.	106.	Consisted instead of consists	112.	Cupravit, introduce with common/generic name	178.	Better use data upto 1 decimal eg. 2.1, 0.9 ^{etc}	201.	No need showing total, discuss three seasons so that farmer may know which one is more prone to insect attack	211.	Better use T ₄ , T ₅ etc, the to summarize discussion	220.	which IPM module, because in this apt also. ① IPM- have been used.	244.	Differentiate infestation, on basis of weight or number, or average of both.	285.	Share the formula of infestation decrease no. control.	All the correction was done in the revised version-1 as per your commands to me by using Yellow colour marking.
<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Action demanded</u>																															
43.	write potential instead of potentially																															
47.	delete the related to, Add order and family after H. armiger																															
63.	Avoid repetition of resistance, resurgence etc.																															
98	delete was consisted on																															
99.	Introduce Voliam flexi with its common name, and manufacturer																															
103.	Introduce/elaborate broneen plus as which part of neem was boiled, how much quantity for how much time to get this standard solution.																															
106.	Consisted instead of consists																															
112.	Cupravit, introduce with common/generic name																															
178.	Better use data upto 1 decimal eg. 2.1, 0.9 ^{etc}																															
201.	No need showing total, discuss three seasons so that farmer may know which one is more prone to insect attack																															
211.	Better use T ₄ , T ₅ etc, the to summarize discussion																															
220.	which IPM module, because in this apt also. ① IPM- have been used.																															
244.	Differentiate infestation, on basis of weight or number, or average of both.																															
285.	Share the formula of infestation decrease no. control.																															



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments

314. Use either table^② or graph to reduce volume.
+ repetition

428. Apparently plant height has no
significant difference in treatment
graph can be avoided

473 - Avoid graph if results are statistically
similar

Optional/General comments