



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_42884
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytoplasmic Male Sterility (CMS): Reliable approach for commercialization of hybrids in cauliflower
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	See ms for lots of editing suggestions; many reflect different ways English is used in India, but need to be more standard for an interantioal audience	First of all, authors would like to thank the reviewer for giving valuable suggestions. All the changes as per suggestions are incorporated in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments	Somewhat confusing: it lists all the problems of developing a CMS breeding program but then says there are some CMS cauliflower sources with good qualities already available. ethical issues : Not unless the authors are invested in a CMS program	Improved Ogura systems were available but not exploited earlier. Now a days, many lines with improved characteristics are identified and recommended as sources for transferring CMS trait into new lines and further their utilization for cauliflower hybrid breeding has been suggested.