



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_42514
Title of the Manuscript:	Direct and residual effect of phosphorus fertilizer with AM fungi in maize- green gram cropping sequence on nutrients content and uptake
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	1-In the text, Citation must be given in writing before tables are given 2-The article can be shortened for information about the study area and climate. Very long written 3-In the introduction section in line four the words which are can be omitted. In the line three the Word high can be replaced by the Word heavy. In the text what the meaning is the Word viz. Etc? How about etc insread. Is lakh the right Word?. 4-This is a case study and it can be beneficial for the practical training. Simple and fluent expressions are used.	1- It is done (In the text, Citation given in writing before tables are given) 2- It is done (shortened study climate and results) 3- It is done and highlighted
Optional/General comments	No heavy hand is used in writing for discussion. But it can be published for the training contributions.	