



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_41900
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative assessment between beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of MGNREGA in Arunachal Pradesh: A case of two districts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Statistical analysis is wrong. Paired t test should be used for a situation of pre and post situation.	Respected Sir/Madam, in my research I have used z-test not the t-test and in the methodology portion also I have mentioned it very clearly along with the formula of z-test. It is a statistical test used to determine whether two population means are different when the variances are known and the sample size is large. The test statistic is assumed to have a normal distribution and nuisance parameters such as standard deviation should be known in order for an accurate z-test to be performed.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		