



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_41687
Title of the Manuscript:	Demographic Factors As Predictors of Environmental Attitudes And Ecological Behaviour of Host Communities of Okomu National Park and Yankari Game Reserve, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Keywords are required, which are not available in submitted manuscript.</li> <li>Validation of the questionnaires are required, how the interpretational bias frequency was excluded? Which kind of answers was evaluated? Questions were closed type or open type?</li> <li>Sample size is small as per the population size of the different communities.</li> <li>Each community wise interpretational conclusion and discussion should be added.</li> <li>five (5) variables (age, sex, marital status, level of education and religion) were predictors, their significance of inclusion to be elaborated.</li> <li>Ethical Clearance taken? If yes, include in manuscript.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Key words were sent along the submitted manuscript</li> <li>Refer to Measurement and Analysis in page 9(categorised)</li> <li>The total sample size of host communities of 399 was drawn from the target population using required sample size chart based on Krejcie and Morgan (in: The Research Advisors, 2006) the chart is attached. Sample size was drawn based on chart</li> <li>The research is not focused on the communities per say but on the people around the two protected areas under studied. Individual community analysis was thus not a focused but all communities on each protected areas were considered a unit. It is therefore not a community emphasised work but the relationship between the communities and the protected areas.</li> <li>Not just five(variables) five (5) variables (age, sex, marital status, level of education and religion) were predictors of environmental attitudes while three (3) independent variables significantly predicted ecological behaviour of the residents. For their significance of inclusion pls refer to the "Introduction of the paper"</li> <li>Yes (attached copies of approval for research)</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Minor grammatical errors need to be corrected.</b></li> </ol>	I will be glad if they are highlighted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Ethnic Population based studies should be encouraged, good effort.	Ethnic population? I don't understand why it is termed ethnic population because that is not the focus