



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_41492
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological management of root rot disease in Solanum nigrum
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author should add references to the first introduction paragraph! Section Field studies: The abbreviations are not explained (DAS) In the methods section which statistical analysis method was used for the study?? The discussion about ISR is too poor and should be enriched!!	References are added DAS-Days after sowing Statistical analysis-IRRISTAT Discussion added
Minor REVISION comments	Some sentences are not clear as "found to be on par with seed treatment". Some words are bonded please verify all the text.	Sentence changed
Optional/General comments		