



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_41330
Title of the Manuscript:	Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) as a business strategy in Manufacturing Small and Medium Enterprises in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please provide more references. 2. Please expand the methodology section. 3. What are the contributions of this study? 4. I cannot find the design of the questionnaire and interview. Please explain in details. 5. What is the sample size? How to collect the data? How to get the results? 6. What are the hypotheses? 7. I would like to see more detailed discussions and analyses in each chapter. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modified 2. Modified 3. The study also found that TPM not only improves overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) but also created a safe working environment enabling workers to achieve goals working as a team, thus increasing morale in the enterprise, hence making it a tool to improve workers productivity. 4. Modified 5. as explained in methodology 6. This is actual a case study showing Improvement gained after incorporating improvement methodologies in a manufacturing system, no hypothesis was out forward. 7. enhanced
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		