



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_41016
Title of the Manuscript:	E-Teaching Competencies for Capacity-Building of Lecturers for Effective Delivery of Vocational Oriented Courses in the Universities
Type of the Article	Original research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Spellings and grammar must be check for the accuracy of the article.</b></p> <p><b>If you can give the very latest definitions before using the key words in the paper and it will add very solid continuation for the article. Eg: Skills, attitudes, e-learning, Capacity, Capacity building, competencies</b></p> <p><b>74. computers, ipads, electronic interactive white boards among other are essential toots in (must be tools)</b></p> <p><b>75. These devices are (generating or creating) effective <b>medium</b> (must be media) for the teacher in presenting information to the whole class.</b></p> <p><b>The three research questions can be included in one to avoid the repetition of the same words;</b></p> <p><b>The naming of all universities can be presented in the appendix (180-187).</b></p> <p><b>The validation of the questionnaire must be through the pilot test and must be cited from the literature review.</b></p> <p><b>How did you get the value for Alpha and must include supported literature to confirm the value?</b></p> <p><b>196-197 this sentence is not meaningful "The lecturers of technical education responded to <b>performance component</b> while lecturers of computer science education responded to <b>needed component</b>"</b></p> <p><b>205-206 What is the mean of weighted mean and improvement need index (INI), those must be mentioned with the supported literature and proper calculation.</b></p> <p><b>In line no. 212,214; <math>(X_n - X_p = PG)</math> and Where the difference (NG) is that PG or NG</b></p> <p><b>214 to 222; If you can give support from the literature review it will add more presentable for the methodology section.</b></p> <p><b>In three questionnaire sets the same question is repeating.</b></p> <p><b>To avoid that type of situation, have to do a factor analysis to check the factor loadings. Then can select unique question set for the survey.</b></p>	<p>Thanks so much for your great work . We have taken many of the observations into consideration. Running factor analysis now may change the focus of the paper completely.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		