



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_40581
Title of the Manuscript:	Preauricular Sinus: Presentation, Laterality pattern, Ethnic and Gender differences among Nigerians.
Type of the Article	Original research papers

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1- Line 8: conducted March 2015 → conducted in March 2015.</p> <p>2- Line 13: were female → were females.</p> <p>3- Line 18: renal affectation → renal and hearing affectation.</p> <p>4- Line 19: Preauricular has a relatively high → Preauricular sinus has a relatively high.</p> <p>5- Line 55: Also included were symptoms → Also, information included were symptoms.</p> <p>6- Line 64: analysis done using → analysis was done using.</p> <p>7- Line 65: Please define the used statistical tests and the accepted level of significance.</p> <p>8- Line 67: There were total of 374 respondents → There was total of 374 respondents.</p> <p>9- Line 78: no statistical differences → no statistical significant differences.</p> <p>10- Line 81 and 83: pre-auricular → unify style of writing; preauricular as in the title.</p> <p>11- Line 16 and 85: The remaining 98.2% → The remaining 98.0%.</p> <p>12- Line 86: None of the subjects with PAS were found to have → None of the subjects with PAS was found to have.</p> <p>13- In the results section: renal affectation → You didn't mention this finding that mentioned in the abstract section; Please consider this. Further, you didn't use investigation to discover this defect.</p> <p>14- Line 98: Adegbiyi et al → Please add the reference's number.</p> <p>15- Line 106, 107: Further study will be needed with higher sample size among different ethnic groups in other to substantiate this finding → This statement is a recommendation.</p> <p>16- Line 108: In our study 82% were found to be → In our study 82% of cases were found to be.</p> <p>17- Line 120: Adobamen et al → Please add the reference's number.</p> <p>18- Line 214: Make the titles of figures below them.</p> <p>19- Line 214: Figure 1. Distribution of the respondents numbers by ethnic groups. Also, write number on the vertical axis.</p> <p>20- Line 217: Make the title of table above it.</p> <p>21- Line 222: Make a title for figure 2 and make below it.</p>	<p>The reviewers comments had been attended to and corrections effected as recommended.</p> <p>The corrections were coloured yellow as recommended</p> <p>Editing of the language had been don as recommended</p> <p>Figure 1 had been corrected as recommended and Number pu on the vertical axis</p> <p>Other suggestions about the table and figures were corrected as recommended</p> <p>All other suggestions were followed.</p> <p>Thank you sir.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	-----	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>1- The manuscript needs great linguistic and editing revision.</p> <p>2- Please follow the system of the journal in writing the references in the manuscript; text and list of references.</p> <p>3- Please follow my comments all over the manuscript.</p>	