



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38886
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACTS OF FLOOD SIMULATION IN 3D AND SWAT ENVIRONMENT OF TERENGGANU RIVER CATCHMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>My comments and suggestions are mentioned below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generally, the title and abstract are clear, but the main findings of the manuscript are missing in the abstract section.</li> <li>• For me, the methodology is sound and suitable which makes this study of interest to the national programs of mitigation and decision making.</li> <li>• Please do not use terms in the title as <i>keywords</i></li> <li>• In introduction section, several statements need references.</li> <li>• The authors must correct the punctuation, some unclear and long sentences.</li> <li>• Table 1: poorly presented</li> <li>• Figure 1: the information is not readable (legend). In this figure, add the country name in the title</li> <li>• Figure 2: add more information in the title</li> <li>• Figure 3: the abbreviation in the title must be self understandable, idem for figure 4. The majority of readers get a first impression of the relevance of a contribution only by studying the illustration, and without reading the paper sections to understand the meanings of the used abbreviations.</li> <li>• This manuscript did not have a discussion section; the authors must discuss the results and compare with other new and relevant references.</li> <li>• Conclusion is very short</li> </ul>	<p>Main findings are added to the abstract</p> <p>Already change the title word from keyword as highlighted All references are cross-checked Punctuations corrected</p> <p>Table 1 neatly corrected Added</p> <p>Added</p> <p>Abbreviations added The result include the discussion part</p> <p>Conclusion added</p> <p>Thank you for the relevant observations</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		