



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38886
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACTS OF FLOOD SIMULATION IN 3D AND SWAT ENVIRONMENT OF TERENGGANU RIVER CATCHMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A. The writing of abstract should be more succinct following the general guideline</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Problem statement 2. Methods 3. Results 4. Conclusion <p>B. The suggested title is "FLOOD SIMULATION IN 3D AND SWAT ENVIRONMENT OF TERENGGANU RIVER CATCHMENT"</p> <p>C. Tables and figures should be placed near they are discussed</p> <p>D. The conclusion should be well brought out</p>	<p>All of the correction has been made</p> <p>We emphases more on flood impact to the zones affected by flood each year during monsoon season</p> <p>Tables and figures are corrected</p> <p>Conclusion added</p> <p>Thank you for the review</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Generally the article is well conceived and adequately marshalled. It is good.	