



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38786
Title of the Manuscript:	Vegetable Crops: Risks and losses faced by farmers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 79: Research methodology (Specify the statistical software, sample population and how the sample size was arrived at,</p> <p>Line 157: where is the data for remedy of risks eg use of pesticides and chemicals as indicated?</p>	<p>Research methodology includes th software name, sample population and sample size.</p> <p>As stated by the farmers during interview schedule with the researcher.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 25 : Grammar check (Potentially highly profitable)</p> <p>Line 27 : Grammar check (Has take place)</p> <p>Line 58 : Grammar check</p> <p>Line 94: was the data sampled or population?</p> <p>Line 111: grammar check</p> <p>Line 165: Percentage of respondents (In all crops the percentage total of respondents are over 100%)... what was the basis of % calculation?</p> <p>Table 6 could be expounded further if table 5 is agreeable to show the percentage as suggested (If table 5 suggestion is not valid then ignore)</p>	<p>Grammar has checked Grammar has checked Grammar has checked Sentence has corrected Grammar has checked</p> <p>It is the percentage of those respondents who have grown the vegetables Table-5 provides the details of loss bearing capacity of the farmers while table-6 shows the gap between percentage of average past losses experienced by the respondents and percentage of maximum loss bearing capacity of the respondents in particular vegetable crop. So, both the tables have their own significance.</p> <p>There is a difference between table-5 and table-6. They have their own importance.</p>
Optional/General comments		