



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38718
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON NUTRITIONAL IMPORTANCE OF CHIPS MADE FROM POTATO (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>It seems to me that the review is focused more on the nutritional properties of potatoes instead of the chips (hence the role of processing) and on the properties that potatoes should have in order to make good quality chips. Maybe it would be sufficient to change the title to make it coherent with the text.</p> <p>I believe a paragraph on toxicological risks of consuming chips should be included in the manuscript. For example, as the authors mentioned, one of the issue of frying is the production of acrylamide. Please extend the concept and mention what are the risks of acrylamide consumption for the human health.</p>	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Please eliminate "AND" between the two quotations (e.g. lines 33-34)</p> <p>Please avoid repetition (lines 53-57; 39-62)</p> <p>Too strong sentence (line 78)</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		