



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38718
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON NUTRITIONAL IMPORTANCE OF CHIPS MADE FROM POTATO (Solanum tuberosum L.)
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The main problem of this manuscript is that there is no logical sequence of idea describing the topic. Sentence structure is not smooth and there are a lot of grammatical error. Authors also need to add statements in introduction section stating why there is a need of writing this paper and what is novelty of the topic?</p> <p>The current title does not reflect the contents of manuscript. I have suggested the new title. (please see the attached .pdf)</p>	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please see the attached .pdf for the comments.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I suggests authors to use english language editor for correct scientific english before submission.	