



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Advances in Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38573
Title of the Manuscript:	The degradation of the banks of the river Nakanbé (Burkina Faso) facing to climate change : a participatory approach for reforestation as a sustainable solution for water resources management
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound, contains relevant and novelty information. 2. The data are well controlled and robust. 3. The references cited are current and relevant. 4. Is very important to separate the 7. Discussion and conclusion section in two different paragraphs: Discussion section and conclusion section. 5. Graphics, images and equations should be at a high resolution format. 6. Review the grammar and syntax in the redaction. 	Ok noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		