



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_30313
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF CROP ROTATION AND CROP RESIDUE ON SOME SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES IN WESTERN KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Line 3:</b> Title: Please replace "...some soil properties..." by "...selected soil properties.."</p> <p><b>Line 15:</b> please put the parenthesis value in standard format like, (5.14 ± 0.7) and similarly correct throughout the text.</p> <p><b>Line 17:</b> Please simplify the statement Available soil P...addition of crop residue</p> <p><b>Line 20:</b> You have concluded your abstract with economic analysis but no any key economic findings was presented.</p> <p><b>Line 20 and 21:</b> You have used the conditional sentence "if adopted by farmers", it is not advisable to keep such conditional sentences, better to say "recommended for farmers" if you are quite sure about your findings.</p> <p>AND, food security is vague term, I don't think your findings are sufficient to conclude in such way so remove such words or replace that (food security) with better word.</p> <p><b>Line 30:</b> "and major determinants.." better to write "and are major determinants.."</p> <p>Line 73-76: There is a contradiction between first two sentences and third sentences. You have mentioned, soil samples were collected from two depths (0-15 and 15-30) in first sentences and later you have mentioned soil sampling depth of 0-20 cm. NOT CLEAR</p>	<p>Replaced</p> <p>Values have been extensively corrected in the document</p> <p>This part has been paraphrased</p> <p>The 'economic statement' has been removed</p> <p>This part has been paraphrased</p> <p>The term "food security" is agreeably unsupported by data in research findings. We have so far removed it.</p> <p>The inconsistency has been corrected</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Please make your conclusion more understandable</p> <p>Better to present some data in figures too.</p>	<p>We have attempted to scale down appropriately</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		