



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_26837
Title of the Manuscript:	ON PAIRWISE SINGULAR COMPACTIFICATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>For improved paper I suggest:</p> <p>-page:4 lien 127</p> <p>$\{U_i \cup f^{-1}(U_i) - H_i i=1,2,3,\dots,n\} \cup \{V_j \cup f^{-1}(V_j) - M_j j=1,2,3,\dots,m\}$</p> <p>instead</p> <p>$\{U_i \cup f^{-1}(U_i) - H_i i=1,\dots,n\} \cup \{V_j \cup f^{-1}(V_j) - M_j j=1,\dots,m\}$</p> <p>-page: 2 line 65 "[12]" instead "[17]"</p> <p>-add definition of pairwise compact and pairwise dense</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Done • Done • Pairwise Compact is already there.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		