

Editor's Comment:

I have carefully read the manuscript.

The problem covered in the manuscript is very interesting and important to the sustainable development on the global scale.

Nevertheless, there are several arguable aspects. I have some question before the final decision

The sampling is rather limited. According to the table 1 the sampling is 2.7% of the total. Considering the population in the area, it will be a benefit for the study to interview more people.

It is not clear stated that respondents had multiple answer choices for the tables 4 and 5.

It could be that the respondents were aware of the problem before and gave suitable answers.

How can this be, that the sample of respondents in table 1 for Okomu National Park is 109; and in Table 6: Ecological behavior of the rural publics of Okomu Park – line first is 110?

Also there are some questions concerning the correspondence of the totl answers in tables 6 and 7.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Alyona Maksymets

Professor,

Department of International Business Management,
Ukrainian National Forestry University, Ukraine