Original Research Article

Effect of cow dung slurry and Termite mount as seed treatment on germination and seedling

characteristics of Red sanders (Pterocarpus santalinus L.f)

K..P. Vijayalakshmi* and P.R.Renganayaki**

* Forest College and Research Institute Mettupalayam , Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu

** Professor, Forest College and Research Institute Mettupalayam , Tamil Nadu Agricultural University,

Abstract

Pterocarpus santalinus L.f. is a highly valued timber species, because of its "heavy, dark -red heartwood," especially that possessing a 'wavy' grain. Propagated through mainly seeds; problem in seed germination because of hard seed coat, so limits poor seed germination production. current study was carried out to find out best germination for enhancement treatment. The data were then analyzed by the 'f' test for significance at 0.05 levels by using statistical software agrees with completely randomized block design. Forest college and research institute Mettupalayam, Tamil Nadu agricultural university, one year study. Mature pods collected, were subjected to 4 treatments in 4 replications and the experiment was conducted in completely randomized block design. The results showed that Cow dung slurry 24h and 72h resulted more synchronized germination of 51 percent, followed by Cow dung slurry 48h (44 %) as against 33% in control. Among all the treatment Cow dung slurry 24h resulted more synchronized germination of 51 percent.

1

Keywords: Cow dung slurry, Termite mounts, Speed of germination, microbe.

1. INTRODUCTION

Red sanders distribution is largely confined to the southern portion of the Eastern Ghats, Andhra Pradesh, India (Shilpa *et al.*, 2012) [1]. The reddish and fragrant heartwood has range of medicinal, pharmaceutical industrial and timber value and thus economically placed in the same range as tusk and amber. The natural habitats of red sanders in India (the major supplier) are extensively exploited to the point of near extinction thus placing it in the red list of endangered species under IUCN guidelines. The species is propagated through seeds (Dayanand and Lohidas,1988) [2], seed propagation encountered with number of problems owing to low fruit set, hard pod and seed coat, dormancy of the seed; extended germination period up to 90 days; low poor germination of 20% and conversion of 34% restricted the area expansion (Gopinatha *et al.*,2004) [3].

Failure in seed propagation may adversely affected the important regeneration mechanism through quality seed, leaving only the coppicing mode for the survival of the species. Seed possessed with dormancy upto six months to one year, type of dormancy has not yet been elucidated (Rao and Raju, 2002) [4]. Presence of dormancy cause prolonged germination. The growth of the seedling also not to the expected speed and vigour, due to number of reasons, resulting in poor crop establishment after transplanting (Kalimuthu and Lakshmanan, 1995) [5].

Conventional vegetative propagation techniques such as grafting and air-layering have limitations in large-scale multiplication of this species and rooting of cutting was also found to be poor (Kesava Reddy *et al.*, 1990) [6]. Tissue culture has proved to be a promising technique for conservation and large scale multiplication of several woody species. However the members of Fabaceae have been difficult to culture *in vitro* owing to their recalcitrant nature, roots were robust and vigorous in air layers compared to stem cuttings, but the rate of manipulation is comparatively low and not enough to transplant in the nursery and main field . Based on the above reasons, the multiplication of the species largely depends on seed .

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out during 2015-16 at Forest College and Research Institute, Mettupalayam, Tamil Nadu, India. Seeds of *Pterocarpus santalinus* were collected during June, 2015 from the Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh sources.

2.1.Treatment details

Four hundred pods from source were separately mixed with cow dung slurry and kept (1:2 ratio of water and cow dung) for different duration *viz.*, 24, 48, and 72 h. and also pod are subjected termite digestion about 400 pods were exposed to live termite mound for ten days; after ten days pods were collected. Observations *viz.*, days to initial germination, days to final

germination, speed of germination, germination per cent, seedling length, dry weight of seedlings, vigour index and survival percentage were recorded.

2.2. Statistical analysis

Result data (in per cent) were transformed to arcsine values before statistical analysis in order to unify the variance of the data (Ansari *et al.*, 2012) [7]. The data were then analyzed by the 'F' test for significance at 0.05 level by using statistical software AGRESS.

3. Result and Discussion

All the observed parameters were statistically significant. Exposure of the pod to termite mound from 1 to 10 days did not show any remarkable increase either for germination percentage and speed of germination (Table 1).

Among the observed parameters for the influence of cow dung slurry on seed germination and seedling characters, days to initial germination, final germination, speed of germination, germination percentage, seedling length, vigour index and survival percentage showed significant difference for treatmental effect(Table 2).

Treatment	Days to	Days to final	Speed of	Germination %
	initiate	germination	germination	
	germination		_	
T ₀	14.00	53.00	00.22	34(35.66)
T ₁	12.00	32.75	00.87	51(45.57)
T ₂	10.00	28.75	00.78	50(45.00)
T ₃	10.25	31.75	00.86	51(45.57)
T ₄	13.00	50.75	00.21	36(36.86)
Mean	11.85	39.40	00.58	44(41.73)
SE.D	0.23	1.67	0.01	1.59
CD (P ≤0.05)	0.46	3.40	0.01	3.23

Table 1. Effect of treatment on seed germination characteristics

T₀- Control, T₁- Cow dung slurry 24h , T₂- Cow dung slurry 48h, T₃- Cow dung slurry 72h, T₄ . Effect of Termite digestion

The pods exposed to termite mound did not have any influence for all the recorded parameters and evidenced through statistical analysis. Even exposure of pod for a duration of 10 days did not have any positive effect and this might be due to hard veins on the surface of pod, shiny shell or due to presence of high quantity of phenols which might have prevented the termite activity. Such a non productive effort due to termite was reported by Sivaprakash (2003) [8] in *Terminalia chebula* and *T. bellerica* but in many cases termites have an influence of increasing the germination through weakening of the coat and make tiny holes which facilitated the entry of water. Absence of such positive mechanism in *P. santalinus* is yet to be studied.

Treatment	Seedling length (cm)	Dry weight (g)	Vigour Index	Survival (%)
T ₀	11.22	0.10	07.41	91.00
T ₁	17.57	0.16	08.36	92.50
T ₂	17.27	0.17	08.40	89.25
T ₃	17.55	0.15	08.33	89.50
T ₄	10.85	0.21	06.99	89.25
Mean	14.89	0.16	7.90	90.30
SE.D	0.61	0.01	1.58	0.4
CD (P ≤0.0	1.25	0.02	2.60	0.8

Table 2. Effect of treatment on seedling characteristics

The use of bio-regulators in enhancing seed germination and seedling vigour is well known (Pampanna and Sulikeri, 2001) [9]. Presence of biologically active substances, microbes, week acids of some bioregulators like cow dung resulted in enhanced germination in *Calophyllum inophyllum* (Rajesh *et al.*, 2011) [10];Khirni (Shinde and Malshe, 2015)[11].and *Melia azadirachta* (Sujatha and Manjappa, 2015) [12].

4. Conclusion

In the present study, the pods mixed with cow dung slurry for 24 h, resulted in 17 percent increased germination than the control, apart from germination enhancement more uniform germination with higher seedling vigour and survival percentage was observed. This might be due to the corrosion of pod coat by the week acids, digestion of thin and strong veins by the microbes present in cow dung, both together might have resulted in the opening of pores; entry of growth stimulants of cow dung and adequate water through the opened pores might have resulted in positive performance.

Reference

- Shilpa, B., C.S. Reddy, K. Sudha, S. Sudhakar and V.S. Raju. 2008. Vegetation cover mapping and landscape level disturbance gradient analysis in Warangal district, Andhra Pradesh: India using satellite remote sensing and GIS. Space Res. J., 1: 29–38.
- Dayanand, T. Lohidas, T. 1998. Effect of different treatments on pod germination of red sanders.(*Pterocarpus santalinus*) L. Indian J.Forester 11: 87-88.
- Gopinatha, K., B.G. Reddy, P.K. Jha and R.U. Kashappa. 2004. Working plan for the management of forests of Kadapa division. Andhra Pradesh Forest Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, India.
- Rao S. Purnachandra and A. J. Solomon Raju 2002. Pollination ecology of the Red Sanders *Pterocarpus santalinus* (Fabaceae), an endemic and endangered tree species. Current Science, VOL. 83, NO. 9, 10
- Kalimuthu K, Lakshmanan KK. 1995. Effect of different treatments on pod germination of *Pterocarpus* species. Indian Journal of Forestry 18,104
- Kesava Reddy, K. and K.P. Srivasuki. 1990. Vegetative propagation of red sanders (*Pterocarpus santalinus* Linn.). Indian Forester, 116: 536-540.
- Ansari, O., H.R. Choghazardi, Z.F. Sharif and H. Nazarli. 2012. Seed reserve utilization and seedling growth of treated seeds of mountain ray (*Seecale montanum*) as affected by drought stress. Cercetări Agronomiceîn Moldova, 2(150): 43-48.
- Sivapraksh, M. and P.R. Renganayaki. 2003.Germination improvement in *Terminalia* bellerica and *Terminalia chebula*. M.Sc. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Pampanna, Y. and G.S. Sulkeri. 2001. Effect of growth regulators on seed germination and seedling growth of Sapota. KarnatakaJournal of Agricultural Science, 14: 1030-1036
- Rajesh, P., D. Gunaga and R. Vasudeva. 2011 Influence of seed size on germination and seedling growth in *Mammea suriga*. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.,24(3): 415-416
- Shinde, V.V. and K.V. Malshe. 2015. Effect of cattle urine and cowdung slurry as seed treatment on germination and growth of Khirni (*Manilkara hexandra*) Journal of Ecofriendly Agriculture, 10(2): 128-130.
- Sujatha, V.N. and K. Manjappa. 2015.Effect of pre-sowing treatments on seed germination of *Melia azedarach* L.Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 28(2): 269-270.