Original Research Article 1 2 Evaluation of a combination fungicide new 3 azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC for 4 management of sheath blight disease of paddy 5 6 **ABSTRACT:** 7 8 Aims: Present study was under taken to determine the field efficacy of a new combination 9 fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against sheath disease of rice. 10 Study design: Randomized complete block design (RCBD). 11 Place and Duration of Study: All India Co-ordinated Rice improvement Programme, Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi (5.4319° N, 76.5315° E), Karnataka, India, during 12 Kharif 2014 and Rabi 2014-15 13 14 Methodology: Experiment was designed with seven treatments of three replications each. A 15 new formulations viz., azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC was tested in three dosages (500, 750 & 1000 g/ha) along with other fungicidal treatments such as 16 17 azoxystrobin23 % SC at 500 gm/ha, tebuconazole 25.9 % EC at 750 g/ha and validamycin 18 3% L at 2000 g/ha. Bioefficacy was analysed after spraying all the test chemicals thrice at 15 days interval starting from initiation of the disease 19 20 Results: The combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 1000 ml/ha was found effective against sheath blight disease recording least percent 21 22 disease index (PDI) of 10.93 during Kharif 2014. Similar result was observed in Rabi 2014-23 15 where same test fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% at 1000 ml/ha 24 recorded the least PDI of 11.60. Compared to azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3%, other test fungicides such as azoxystrobin 23 % SC, tebuconazole 25.9 % EC and 25 validamycin 3% L recorded highest PDI in both Kharif 2014 and Rabi 2014-15. Significant 26 27 increases in the grain yield over other treatments (7527 kg/ha) was observed in the plots treated with test chemical azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 1000 ml/ha in 28 29 Kharif 2014 and 5796 kg/ha in Rabi 2014-15. Whereas, other fungicidal treatments recorded 30 the yield range of 5925-6217 kg/ha in *Kharif* 2014 and 4584 – 5682 kg/ha in *Rabi* 2014-15.

Conclusion: Present investigation provides the field efficacy of the fungicide mixture
 Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 750-1000 ml/ha for management of
 sheath blight disease of paddy.

Key words: Rice, Azoxystrobin, Tebuconazole, Sheath blight, percent disease index, Rhizoctonia
 solani

36 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important cereals of the world and is consumed by 50% of the world population (1). In India, it is cultivated on an area of 53.2 million hectares with a total production of 99.8 million tons. In Karnataka it is cultivated on an area of 1.53 million hectares with a total production of 3.80 million tons (2). Rice crop under field condition is affected by many biotic constraints. Among the biotic constraints, disease caused by fungal pathogen like rice sheath blight is more frequent and destructive in irrigated rice of both temperate and subtropical areas and it causes damage at all the stages of crop growth (3).

Sheath blight is caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn., is an important disease of rice occurs in all the rice production areas of the world and causes more economic yield losses (4-6). In India, a modest estimation of losses due to sheath blight disease alone has been up to 54.3 % (7,8) and this disease is particularly most prevalent in intensive rice cultivation system due to excess use of nitrogenous fertilizers. And 5-10% yield loss reported in subtropical low land paddy cultivars of Asia (5).

50 Under field condition, fungicide based management is most successful in majority of the 51 cases (9-11). Most of the fungicides such as benomyl, carbendazim, chloroneb, captafol, mancozeb, 52 zineb, edifenphos, iprobenphos, thiophanate, carboxin, *etc.* have been found effective under field 53 conditions (12-14). Recently many combination fungicides such as kresoxim methyl 40% + 54 hexaconazole 8%, azoxystrobin 18.2% + difenoconazole 11.4% SC, trifloxystrobin 25% + 55 tebuconazole 50% 75 WG, and kasugamycin 5% + copper oxychloride 45% WP, have been shown to 56 control the sheath blight disease under field condition (10, 15-18).

57 Continuous use of same group fungicides having same mode of action will lead to the 58 development of resistant strain of same fungi and hence, it is necessary to search for a new molecule 59 with different mode of action (18). Thus, present study was under taken to determine the field efficacy 60 of a new combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against sheath 61 blight disease of rice under field conditions.

62 2. METHODOLOGY

63 2.1. Layout, Fungicides and Crop establishment: A field experiment was conducted at the
64 experimental fields of Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi, Karnataka (5.4319°N, 76.5315°E)
65 during *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi* 2014-15 in randomized complete block design (RCBD). A popular rice
66 variety BPT5204 which is susceptible to sheath blight disease was used for the study. Seeds of the

67 rice variety BPT5204 were sown in the month of July and planted in August (for *Kharif* 2014 68 experiment). Whereas, for *Rabi* 2014-15 experiment, seeds were sown the month of November and 69 planted in the month of December. Land was prepared as per the standard agronomical practices. 70 The experiment was laid out in RCBD with a plot size of 5 x 4 m each for all treatments. Seedlings of 71 30 days old were planted in trail plots at 20X10 cm spacing. All standard agronomic practices were 72 followed except using higher nitrogenous (200 kg/ha) and lower pottasic (50 kg/ha) fertilizer dose than 73 the normal dose (N2:P205:K20::150:75:75).

Experiment was designed with seven treatments of three replications each. A new formulations *viz.*, azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC was tested in three dosages (500, 750 & 1000 g/ha) along with other fungicidal treatments such as azoxystrobin23 %SC at500 gm/ha, tebuconazole 25.9 %EC at 750 gm/ha and validamycin 3% L at 2000 gm/ha. Bioefficacy was analysed after spraying all the test chemicals thrice at 15 days interval starting from initiation of the disease.

80

81 2.2. Artificial inoculation:

A virulent local isolate of *R. solani* was artificially multiplied on typha grass and were used for artificially inoculation to all experimental treatments after 45 days of planting following the 'mycelium with typha grass' method described previously (18).

85

86 2.3. Disease assessment and statistical analysis:

In both Kharif-14 and Rabi-2014-15 experiment, sheath blight disease was measured in all treatments 10 days after the fungicide application. The disease was measured using the disease rating scale of 0-9 developed by International Rice Research Institute (IRRI. 1996) for sheath blight disease. Further, the scored data was converted into per cent disease index (PDI) using formula given below. The data on the yield were recorded by marking 2x 2 m section within each plot using a wire frame as described by (19).

93

94

95

PDI =

96

97 98

99 2.4. Statistical analysis:

All the observation on disease severity and yield parameters were subjected to appropriate statisticalanalysis.

----- × 100

Sum of the scores

Number of Observation X Highest Number in Rating Scale

102

103 **3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:**

104 In recent years the combination fungicides are most widely used for disease management under field 105 condition because of their curative action, broad host range and lower dosage compared to solo fungicides. In paddy the efficacy of such combination products in managing much fungal disease hasbeen reported (10,15-17).

108 Present field experiement revealed that the treatment azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 109 18.3% w/w SC at 1000ml/ha recorded lowest PDI of sheath blight in Kharif 2014 (10.93) and Rabi 110 2014-15 (11.60) compared to other treatments. In Kharif 2014 and Rabi-2014-15, the data also 111 suggested that other fungicides such as Azoxystrobin 23 %SC at 500 ml/ha, validamycin 3% L at 112 2000 ml/ha and tebuconazole 25.9 %EC at 750 ml/ha are at par with among themselves but stands 113 next to the azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 750-1000 ml/ha (Table 1 & 2). These 114 findings are in consistent with the results of previous investigations, where trifloxystrobin 25% + 115 tebuconazole 50 % w/w SC at 0.4 g/l performed better in reducing the sheath blight disease severity (16). Results reported by Bhuvaneshwari and Raju (10) where better efficacy of combination fungicide 116 117 azoxystrobin 18.2% + difenconazole 11.4% SC (strobilurin + triazole) against sheath blight disease is 118 much better than other solo fungicides. Various reviews confirmed that strobilurin compounds found 119 to be effective in controlling many diseases like leaf blast, (18, 19), sheath blight (18-23), grain 120 discolouration (16) and sheath rot and brown leaf spot (25). In this experiment, our report also 121 confirms the better efficacy of strobilurin derived fungicide against sheath blight disease of rice.

122 Application of fungicides has been reported to enhance the crop yield due to reduction in 123 disease load (18, 20-27). In our experiment, the difference in disease severity of sheath blight in 124 different treatment was observed (Table 1& 2) and it was finally reflected in the grain yield (Table 3). 125 Significant increase in the grain yield in Kharif 2014 (75.27 q/ha) and Rabi 2014-15 (57.96 q/ha) was 126 observed in the plot treated with test chemical azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 127 1000 ml/ha. Whereas, other fungicidal treatments recorded the yield range of 59.25- 62.17 g/ha in 128 Kharif 2014 and 45.84 - 56.82 q/ha in Rabi 2014-15. The increased yield is mainly due to reduced 129 disease severity of sheath blight disease of rice.

130 **4. CONCLUSION:**

Present investigation provides the field efficacy of the fungicide mixture Azoxystrobin 11% +
 Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 750-1000 ml/ha for management of sheath blight disease of paddy.

133

134 **REFERENCE:**

- Luo, YPS, Tang, NG, Febellar, DO, and TeBeest. Risk analysis of yield losses caused by rice
 leaf blast associated with temperature changes above and below for five Asian countries.
 Agri. Ecosys. & Environ. 1998; 68:197-205.
- 138 2. Anonymous (2011). Agriculture Situation in India.
- Bonman JM, Estrada BA, Kim CK, Ra DS, Lee EJ. Assessment of blast disease and yield
 loss in susceptible and partially resistant cultivars in two irrigated low land environments.
 Plant Dis. 1991;75:462-466
- 142 4. Ou SH. Rice Diseases, 2nd edn. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Surrey. 1985.

143 5. Savary S, Willocquet L, Elazequi FA, Castilla N, Teng PS. Rice pest constraints in tropical 144 Asia: guantification and yield loss due to rice pests in a range of production situations. Plant 145 Dis. 2000;84:357-369. 146 6. Savary S, Teng PS, Willocquet L, Nutter FW Jr. Quatification and modeling of crop losses : a 147 review of purposes. Annual Rev. Phytopathol. 2006 ;44:89-112. 148 7. Rajan CPD. Estimation of yield losses due to sheath blight of rice. Indian Phytopathol. 149 1987;40: 174-177. 150 8. Roy AK. Sheath blight of rice in India. Indian Phytopathol. 1993;46:97-205. 151 9. Kandhari J, Gupta RL. Efficacy of fungicides and resistance inducing chemicals against 152 sheath blight of rice. J. Mycological Res. 2003;41: 67-69. 153 10. Bhuvaneswari V, Raju KS. Efficacy of New Combination Fungicide against Rice Sheath Blight Caused by Rhizoctonia solani (Kuhn). J. Rice Res. 2012;5 (1 & 2). 154 11. Kumar PMK, Sidde Gowda DK, Rishikant M, Kiran Kumar N, Pandurange Gowda KT, 155 156 Vishwanath K.. Impact of fungicides on rice production in India In: Fungicidesshowcases of 157 integrated plant disease management from around the world (open access chapter). 2013; pp. 77-98. 158 159 12. Dash SC, Panda S. Chemical control of rice sheath blight disease. Indian Phytopathol. 160 1984;37:79-82 161 13. Kannaivan S, Prasad NN. Effect of foliar spray of certain fungicides on the control of sheath blight of rice. Madras Agricultural J.1984;71:111-114. 162 163 14. Singh R, Sihna AP. Comparative efficacy of local bioagents, commercial bioformulation and 164 fungicide for the management of sheath blight of rice under glass house conditions. Indian 165 Phytopathol. 2004;57:494-496. 166 15. Kumar PMK, Veerabhadraswamy AL. Appraise a combination of fungicides against blast and 167 sheath blight diseases of paddy (Oryza sativa L.). J. Exper. Biol. Agricultural Sci. 2014;2:49-168 57 16. Bag MK, Saha S. Fungitoxic effect of Nativo 75 wg (trifloxystrobin 25%+ tebuconazole 50%) 169 170 on grain discoloration (GD) disease of rice in West Bengal. Pestol. 2009;33: 47-49 171 17. Bag M K. Efficacy of a new fungicide 'Trifloxystrobin 25% + Tebuconazole 50%' 75WG 172 against Sheath Blight (Rhizoctonia solani Kühn) of Rice. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2009; 5(1): 224-226. 173 174 18. Pramesh D, Maruti, Muniraju KM, Mallikarjun K, Guruprasad GS, Mahantashivayogayya K, Reddy BGM, Gowdar SB, Chethana BS. Bio-efficacy of combination fungicide against Blast 175 176 and Sheath Blight disease of Paddy. Journal of Experimental Agri. International. 2016;19:955-177 961. 178 19. Dutta D, Saha S, Ray P, Bag MK. Effect of different active fungicides molecules on the 179 management of rice blast disease. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and 180 Biotechnology. 2012; 5(3): 247-251. 181 20. Bhaktavatsalam G, Satyanarayana K, Reddy APK, John VT. Evaluation of sheath blight 182 resistance in rice. Int. Rice Res. Newsl. 1978:3: 9-10.

- 183 21. Seebold KW, Dantof JLE, Correa-Victoria FJ, Kucharek TA Suyder GH. Eeffects of Silicon
 184 and fungicides on the control of leaf and neck blast in Upland rice. Plant Dis. 2004;88:253185 258.
- Pramesh D, Nataraj K, Guruprasad GS, Mahantashivayogayya, Reddy BGM. Evaluation of a
 new strobilurin group of fungicide for the management of blast disease of paddy. American J.
 Experimental Agri. 2016; 13(5): 1-6.
- 189 23. Bag MK, Yadav M, Mukherjee AK. Bioefficacy of Strobilurin Based Fungicides against Rice
 190 Sheath Blight Disease. Trancriptomics. 2016; 4:128.doi:10.4172/2329-8936.1000128.
- 191 24. Bag M K. Performance of a new generation fungicide Metominostrobin 20SC against sheath
 192 blight disease of rice. J. Mycopathol. Res., 2011; 49(1): 167 169
- 193 25. Biswas A, Bag MK. Strobilurins in management of sheath blight disease of rice: A review.
 194 Pestol. 2010;34: 23-26.
- 195 26. Usman GM, Wakil W, Sahi ST, Saleemil Y. Influence of various fungicides on the
 196 management of rice blast disease. Mycopathol. 2009;7:29-34.
- 197 27. Naik GR, Naik GB, Naik BT, Naik KR. Fungicidal management of leaf blast disease in rice.
 198 Global. J. Bio science and Biotechnol. 2012;1(1): 18-21

Table. 1. Effect of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC application of against sheath blight disease on rice during *Kharif* - 2014

	Treatments	Product Concentration (%)	Product ml or g/ha		Percent			
SL. No				Initial score	Ten day	Ten day	Terminal score	disease
					after 1 st	after 2 nd	(Ten days after	Control
				50010	spraying	spraying	3 rd spraying)	
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole	55+91.5	500	6.67	10.93	13.33	16.67	58.71
1	18.3% w/w SC			(14.96)	(19.30)	(21.42)	(24.09)	
2	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole	82.5+137.25	750	6.11	7.41	9.26	11.11	72.47
2	18.3% w/w SC	02.3+157.23	/30	(14.31)	(15.79)	(17.72)	(19.47)	
3	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole	110+183	1000	6.48	7.22	9.07	10.93	72.93
3	18.3% w/w SC			(14.75)	(15.59)	(17.53)	(19.30)	
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	6.30	10.00	12.04	15.37	61.93
4				(14.53)	(18.43)	(20.30)	(23.08)	
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	6.11	11.11	13.15	15.93	60.54
3				(14.31)	(19.47)	(21.26)	(23.52)	
(Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	6.48	10.37	12.78	15.74	61.01
6				(14.75)	(18.79)	(20.94)	(23.37)	
7	Control			6.11	16.11	27.22	40.37	
/			-	(14.31)	(23.66)	(31.45)	(39.45)	-
		CD	at 5% level	N.S.	1.51	1.73	1.81	
	C	oefficient of Variat	tion @ 5%	NS	15.55	10.23	13.84	

Note: The figures in the parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

210 Table 2: Effect of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC application of against sheath blight disease on rice during Rabi –

211 2014-15

S. No	Treatments	Concentration	Product ml or g/ha	Percent Disease Index (PDI)				Percent
				Initial score	Ten day after 1 st spraying	Ten day after 2 nd spraying	Ten days after 3 rd spraying)	disease Control
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	8.00 (16.43)	11.60 (19.91)	13.67 (21.69)	17.33 (24.61)	58.71
2	Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	7.45 (15.84)	8.08 (16.52)	9.59 (18.04)	11.78 (20.07)	71.95
3	Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	7.80 (16.22)	7.89 (16.31)	9.40 (17.86)	11.60 (19.91)	72.38
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	7.67 (16.08)	10.67 (19.07)	12.37 (20.59)	16.04 (23.61)	61.81
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	7.45 (15.84)	11.78 (20.07)	13.48 (21.54)	16.60 (24.05)	60.48
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	7.83 (16.25)	11.04 (19.41)	13.11 (21.23)	16.41 (23.90)	610.93
7	Control	A V	-	7.45 (15.84)	18.78 (25.68)	28.68 (32.38)	42.00 (40.40)	-
	CD at 5% level Coefficient of Variation @ 5%				1.87 13.26	2.10 12.58	2.27 14.44	

Ф.

214 Note: The figures in the parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

220

Table 3: Effect of application of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against Sheath blight of rice during *Kharif* – 2014 and 221 Rabi-2014-15 ♠. P.

222

Kabi-2014-15								
SL.		Product	Product	Grain Yield (kg/ha)				
No.	Treatments	Concentration (%)	ml or g/ha	Kharif - 2014	Rabi 2014-15			
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	5925	4594			
2	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	7483	5682			
3	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	7527	5796			
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	6217	4886			
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	6168	4619			
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	6215	4584			
7	Control		-	5225	3800			
		302	289					
		Coefficient of	Variation @ 5%	11.06	15.22			