- 1
- 2 3

# Simulating the Hydrologic response to climate change: Sani river basin, Gujarat (India)

4 Climate change is expected to create many challenges (including water availability) 5 worldwide and projecting its impacts at regional scale allows communities to be proactive in planning for the future. It will help to prepare a future plan for the water resources development 6 7 and management for the basin. The study was planned for estimating the runoff, evapotranspiration and groundwater recharge by SWAT model and assessing the impacts of 8 9 climate change on potential surface and ground water resources of basin. The study was 10 undertaken in Sani river basin of Devbhumi Dwarka district which is located in Gujarat state, India. The digital data of various remote sensing satellite images of river basin required for work 11 12 were collected from BISAG, Gandhinagar. The historical observed hydro- meteorological data (1961-2005) were collected from the State Water data Centre, Gandhinagar and Millet Research 13 14 station, JAU, Jamnagar. The simulated daily precipitation and daily maximum and minimum temperature for the period of 1951-2005 (control period) and 2006-2100 (future scenarios) by 15 EC-Earth RCM for RCP 4.5 were collected from the IITM, Pune, Maharashtra. The bias 16 corrected simulated data by the RCM was used as inputs for the simulating the hydrologic 17 18 response of basins by SWAT model. The different water balance components like runoff, evapotranspiration and groundwater recharge for the basins were estimated from the SWAT 19 20 simulations results. The impacts of climate change water balance components were assessed 21 through the trend analysis using Mann-Kendall method and Sen's slope method. The rainfall, 22 runoff, evapotranspiration and groundwater recharge were found stable in past and will be stable 23 in future too, as there will not be climate change impacts on it for the basin. However, the 24 temperature and reference evapotranspiration were found increasing in the basin.

Keywords: Impact assessment, water resources, SWAT model, satellite image, temperature,
precipitation, runoff, groundwater recharge, evapotranspiration

- 27
- 28

### 29 Introduction

Climate change is expected to create many challenges (including water availability) 30 worldwide and projecting the impacts of climate change at regional scale allows communities to 31 32 be proactive in planning for the future. Impacts of climate change and climate variability on the water resources are likely to affect irrigated agriculture, installed power capacity, environmental 33 flows in the dry season and higher flows during the wet season, thereby causing severe droughts 34 and floods in urban and rural areas. India accounts for about 17.5 percent of the world's 35 population and roughly 4 percent of the total available fresh water resources. Ground water 36 resources provide for more than 60 percent of the irrigated land which has already depleted to 37 large extent in many pockets of the country (Patel and Gajera, 2013). Water is the basic need of 38 39 life for the human beings and any alteration in its availability is directly going to impact them through various means. Regions having renewable fresh water resources falling below 1667 40 m<sup>3</sup>/person/year are classified as "water stress" regions. Furthermore, regions whose water 41 availability falls below 1000 m<sup>3</sup>/person/year can be categorized as chronic 'water scarcity' 42 experiencing region (Kole, 2005). Another major player emerging as potent factor for water 43 security in India is the global climate change. The impacts of climate change on glacial 44 45 recession, decreasing rainfall pattern in some parts of India, greater but variable rainfall pattern in other parts of the country can lead to drought and flood like situations. Increased evapo-46 47 transpiration and reduced soil moisture may increase land degradation and desertification. Above mentioned arguments coupled to the scenario that the water utilization rate in India is 59 percent, 48 49 much ahead of the 40 percent standard, clearly point to an urgent need to better adopt water management practices in the country to increase the water security for proper transition into a 50 51 green economy (Kumar and Kumar, 2013). While climate change and global warming is a global phenomenon, its effect varies regionally or on basin scale. It has been observed that an increase 52 53 in the average temperatures is usually accompanied with reduced precipitation in the catchment 54 of Germany (Menzel and Burger, 2002).

Adaptation is response to climate change to seek possibilities and/or capabilities to impacts (IPCC, 2007). It is also required to include all the climate change vulnerability drivers to respond to the impacts (Lindsey *et al.*, 2010). The Regional Circulation Models (RCMs) is essentially identical to the GCM in the formulation of the grid-scale dynamics and the subgridscale physics differing only in horizontal resolution (50 km -300 km) and time step. Its performance in simulations for Europe and India has been documented in Jones *et al.* (1995, 1997), Bhaskaran *et al.* (1996, 1998) and Noguer *et al.* (1998).

### 62 Methodology

The study was undertaken in Sani river basin of Devbhumi Dwarka district which is located in Southern region of Gulf of Kutch of Gujarat state. Sani river is of 60 km long and entire basin area nearly 854 km<sup>2</sup>. It originates from village Sonardi and meets to Arabian Sea near Gandhavi village.

Arc SWAT 2012 model was used during the study. The satellite data for area of interest 67 were collected from BISAG, Gandhinagar. The input data was in the form of raster dataset. The 68 dataset used namely 90 m SRTM DEM (Geotiff), Land use / Land Cover (raster data set) map 69 70 and soil map (raster data set). These three are imagery data and others input data. Weather data 71 was collected from State Water Data Centre (SWDC), Gandhinagar and Millet Research station, Jamnagar, Gujarat for observed data of the region and future RCM data from the IITM, Pune, 72 73 Maharashtra. The collected data was bias corrected using distribution method developing 74 programme in excel spreadsheet. As an input file, SWAT required text file for each and every weather parameter. The weather parameters used for SWAT are rainfall (.txt), temperature 75 (maximum and minimum) (.txt). The study analysis was done for three period scenarios viz. 76 77 1951-2005, 2006-2050 and 2051-2100.

78

79



Fig.1 Location map of study area

## 82 Regional Circulation Model (RCM) data

The RCM data used for this study were collected from Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune, Maharashtra. The RCMs used for this study was EC-Earth with resolution of 25 km for the RCP 4.5 scenario. The daily data of rainfall, daily maximum temperature, daily minimum temperature for historical scenario from 1951-2005 and for future scenario 2006-2100 for RCP 4.5 scenario were collected in the form of .nc file and then converted into .excels format.

## 89 Estimation of water balance components using SWAT model

SWAT is a basin-scale, continuous-time model that operates on a daily time step and is designed to predict the impact of management on water, sediment, and agricultural chemical yields in ungauged watersheds. In SWAT, a watershed was divided into multiple subwatersheds, which were then further subdivided into hydrologic response units (HRUs) that 94 consist of homogeneous land use, management, and soil characteristics. Alternatively, a watershed could be subdivided into only sub-watersheds that are characterized by dominant land 95 use, soil type, and management (Arnold et al., 1996; Arnold and Fohrer, 2005; Neitsch et al., 96 2001; Gassman et al., 2007). The procedure followed for the SWAT modelling was given in 97 Fig.2. 98



Fig.2: Procedure followed for the SWAT modelling

The water balance components like runoff, evapotranspiration and groundwater recharge 110 were estimated through the simulation of the SWAT model for the weather data of 55 years 111 (1951-2005-control), 45 years (2006-2050-future scenario) and 50 years (2051-2100-future 112 scenario) for the model EC-Earth. 113

#### 114 Time series analysis of the water balance components

115 The time series analysis of the water balance components on the basin scale was carried out using the standard method as described by Kendall (1975) and Gilbert (1987) along with best 116 117 fit trend analysis.

#### 118 Mann-Kendall Analysis

The Mann-Kendall test is a non-parametric test for identifying trend in time series data.
The test compares the relative magnitude so f sample data rather than the data value themselves
(Gilbert, 1987).

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} sign (x_i - x_k)$$

122

131

- 123 Where,
- 124 Sign  $(x_j x_k) = 1$ , 0 and -1, if  $x_j > x_k$ ,  $x_j = x_k$  and  $x_j < x_k$  respectively;

n = number of data points in time series.

$$VAR(S) = \frac{1}{18} \times \left[ (n(n-1))(2n+5) - \sum_{p=1}^{m} t_p(t_p-1)(2t_p+5) \right]$$

126 Where,

n =number of data points, m is the number of tied groups (a tied group was a set of sample data having the same value);

129  $t_p$  = number of data points in the p group.

130 The normalized test statistic Z was computed as follows.

$$Z = \{ [S-1]/[VAR(S)]^{1/2} \} if S > 0$$
  
= 0 if S=0

132 Sen's slope method

Sen's method for the estimation of slope required a time series of equally spaced data. Sen's method proceeded by calculating the slope as a change in measurement per change in time. The true slope of an existing trend (as change per year) was be determined using the Sen's nonparametric method. The slope m<sub>i</sub> between two values of pair of all data was estimated as follows, (Sen, 1968).

$$m_i = \frac{(x_i - x_k)}{(j - k)}$$

 $= \{ [S+1]/[VAR(S)]^{1/2} \} if S < 0$ 

138 Where,

139 k=1,2, 3, .....(n-1);

140  $j=k+1=2, 3, \dots, n;$ 

141 i=1 to N [N=n (n-1)/2]

142 The Sen's estimator of slope was estimated using the following expression

143  $m = m_{(N+1)/2}$  if N is odd

$$m = \frac{1}{2} (m_{N/2} + m_{(N/2)+1})$$
 if N is even

To estimate the range of ranks for the specified confidence interval, C was found usingfollowing Eq..

$$C_{\alpha} = Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \sqrt{VAR(S)}$$

146 The ranks of the lower  $(M_1)$  and upper  $(M_2 + 1)$  confidence limits was estimated using Eq.

$$M1 = \frac{N' - C_{\infty}}{2}$$
;  $M_2 + 1 = \frac{N' + C_{\infty}}{2}$ 

## 147 **Results and Discussion**

#### 148 Rainfall and Runoff

149 The daily runoff was obtained from the SWAT run simulation results using the daily rainfall data from 1951 to 2005, 2006-2050 and 2051-2100. The average of rainy season rainfall 150 and runoff estimated for the basin were found as 474 mm and 205 mm for 1951-2005; 419 mm 151 and 194 mm for 2006-2050; 521 mm and 265 mm for 2051-2100 respectively. Therefore, it can 152 be said that the surface water potential in basin can be created by the tune of 175 MCM, 166 153 MCM and 226 MCM respectively as per the SWAT model estimation if the entire runoff water is 154 155 harvested and managed properly. Therefore, about 41%, 39% and 53% area of the basin for the period 1951 to 2005, 2006-2050 and 2051-2100 respectively can be irrigated in one season from 156 157 these surface water resources.

According to trend analysis the overall scenario (1951-2100), the rainy seasonal rainfall and runoff will get increase. However no definite trend was found. Both the rainfall and runoff will be stable in the basin. There will not be any climate change impact on water resources of the Sani river basin, even though warming trend exists.

#### 162 Evapotranspiration and Reference evapotranspiration

The average reference evapotranspiration and crop evapotranspiration during the rainy 163 season was found as 803 mm and 155 mm; 881 mm and 143 mm and 885 mm and 152 mm 164 165 respectively for the period 1951 to 2005, 2006-2050 and 2051-2100 respectively. This indicated that the temporal distribution of the rainfall during the rainy season is poor and the crops are 166 facing the water stress during the season. The reference evapotranspiration has increasing trend 167 during all the seasons and periods while crop evapotranspiration is decreasing. The reason is that 168 169 the amount of rainy seasonal rainfall is found in decreasing trend during the all periods which 170 decreased the moisture status during the monsoon season. The decrease in the moisture status 171 could increase the stress (i.e. decreased stress coefficient) during the monsoon season which 172 resulted in decreased crop evapotranspiration.

### 173 Groundwater Recharge

The average groundwater recharge during the rainy season was found as 83 mm, 61 mm and 91 mm for 1951 to 2005, 2006-2050 and 2051-2100 respectively. The Man-Kendall and Sen's slope statistics along with other statistical parameters for groundwater recharge by SWAT model for the period 1951-2100 showed that the groundwater recharge is decreasing nonsignificantly.

All the SWAT parameters and the trend analysis of these parameters during the periods (1951-2005), 2006-2050 and 2051-2100 are shown in Table nos 1,2 and 3 respectively. And the water balance components during the rainy season were estimated for the years 1951-2005, 2006-2050 and 2051-2100 is shown in Fig. 3, Fig. 4 and Fig.5 respectively by the SWAT model



Fig. 3: Water balance components estimated by SWAT during rainy season for Sani river basin during control scenario (1951-2005)



Fig. 4: Water balance components estimated by SWAT during rainy season for Sani river
 basin during future scenario (2006-2050)



Fig. 5: Water balance components estimated by SWAT during rainy season for Sani river
 basin during future scenario (2051-2100)

Statistics		Rainfall	Runoff	GWR		ЕТ	1		RET				
		Monsoon	Monsoon	Monsoon	Monsoon	Summer	Winter	Annual	Monsoon	Summer	Winter	Annual	
Mann Ken	dal (z)	0.19 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.23 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.66 <sup>NS</sup>	0.04 <sup>NS</sup>	-1.35*	-1.77**	- 1.25 <sup>NS</sup>	3.21****	0.87 <sup>NS</sup>	1.71**	3.35****	
Confi. Lev Z	el MK	57.49	59.18	74.58	51.74	91.15	96.17	89.41	99.93	80.82	95.67	99.96	
Sen's Slope(mm	/yr)	0.41	-0.28	-0.31	0.03	-0.11	-0.11	-0.53	1.14	0.11	0.43	1.55	
Lower and	Lower	-5.88	-3.69	-1.84	-1.26	-0.36	-0.29	-1.86	0.21	-0.23	-0.18	0.26	
Upper limit of Sen's slope (1%) (mm/yr)	Upper	6.02	2.76	1.02	1.27	0.11	0.06	0.70	2.13	0.49	1.14	2.77	
Lower and	Lower	-4.60	-2.80	-1.47	-0.93	-0.28	-0.23	-1.50	0.46	-0.15	-0.05	0.57	
limit of Sen's slope (5 %) (mm/yr)	Upper	4.31	1.85	0.51	0.90	0.05	0.02	0.37	1.86	0.40	0.94	2.45	
Slope of best	fit trend	-0.008	-0.74	-0.80	-0.04	-0.16	-0.24	-0.53	1.23	0.14	0.39	1.49	
$R^2$ (mm/yr	)	0.00	0.003	0.017	0.00	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.152	0.02	0.01	0.003	
Mean(mm)	)	474	205	83	155	33	25	208	803	695	504	1900	
Median(m	m)	420	150	62	160	33	21	207	810	694	528	1923	
Kurtosis		-0.96	2.22	10.71	0.04	1.97	9.75	-0.03	2.12	0.63	-0.75	41.05	
Skewness		0.41	1.50	2.69	-0.37	0.26	2.99	-0.32	-0.95	-0.05	-0.89	-6.00	
Min. (mm)		61	3	0.00	25	4	12	66	627	648	400	814	
Max. (mm	)	1018	897	563	261	74	98	316	891	731	577	2048	

193 <u>Table 1: Statistical and trend analysis of water balance components for Sani river basin during control scenario (1951-2005)</u>

CV (%)	0.56	0.98	1.16	0.33	0.40	0.62	0.26	0.06	0.02	0.10	0.08
**** Significant at (	).1%, ***	Significant a	at 1%, ** S	Significant a	at 5%, * S	ignificant	at 10 %,	NS = Non	Significan	t, all para	meters
are in mm.											

# 196 Table 2: Statistical and trend analysis of water balance components for Sani river basin during future scenario (2006-2050)

Statistics		Rainfall	Runoff	GWR		ЕТ	1			RE	Т	
		Monsoon	Monsoon	Monsoon	Seasonal	Summer	Winter	Annual	Seasonal	Summer	Winter	Annual
Mann Kendal (z)		$-0.28^{NS}$	$-0.24^{NS}$	$-0.72^{NS}$	$0.75^{NS}$	$0.87^{NS}$	$0.60^{NS}$	0.95 <sup>NS</sup>	2.98***	0.87 <sup>NS</sup>	1.34*	3.75****
Confi. Level												
MK(Z)		65.58	64.86	78.44	62.66	80.80	72.47	82.87	99.87	80.80	90.99	99.99
Sen's Slope	(mm/yr)	-0.77	-0.218	-0.197	0.333	0.18	0.11	0.39	1.73	0.16	0.55	2.28
Lower and	Lower	-9.49	-4.84	-1.93	-1.28	-0.40	-0.30	-1.67	0.24	-0.37	-0.68	0.90
limit of	20.00	,,		11,0	1.20	0110	0.00	1107	0.2	0.07	0.00	0120
Sen's												
slope (1%)	Upper	6.28	2.83	0.62	1.60	0.92	0.53	2.44	3.10	0.61	1.85	3.98
(mm/yr)												
Lower and	Lower	-7.76	-4.06	-1.53	-0.90	-0.25	-0.19	-1.04	0.65	-0.24	-0.31	1.28
Upper												
limit of												
Sen's		4.00	1.40	0.00	1.00	0.67	0.00	1 00	0.51	0.40		2.40
slope (5	Upper	4.00	1.42	0.28	1.28	0.67	0.39	1.88	2.71	0.49	1.44	3.48
%) (mm/xm)												
(IIIII/yr)	+ f:+											
Slope of bes	l III	0.02	0.52	0.40	0.14	0.47	0.11	0.72	1 (7	0.14	0.51	2.25
$\frac{1}{2}$		-0.62	-0.53	-0.49	0.14	0.47	0.11	0.73	1.6/	0.14	0.51	2.25
$R^{2}$ (mm/yr)		0.08	0.00	0.005	0.001	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.14	0.02	0.02	0.263
Mean(mm)		419	194	61	143	38	32	207	881	697	526	2024
Median(mm	)	366	105	35	138	31	25	180	885	695	545	2027
Kurtosis		1.16	2.65	8.47	-0.10	1.75	1.10	1.18	0.59	-0.40	-0.57	1.02
Skewness		1.36	1.86	2.62	0.56	1.45	1.40	1.11	-0.64	0.24	-0.88	-0.50

Min. (mm)	30	0.00	0.00	43	6	11	110	714	665	410	1828	
Max. (mm)	1387	988	454	256	118	88	436	998	731	590	2141	
CV (%)	0.85	1.36	1.46	0.36	0.70	0.64	0.34	0.07	0.02	0.10	0.03	
**** Significant at 0.1%, *** Significant at 1%, ** Significant at 5%, * Significant at 10%, NS = Non Significant, all parameters												
are in mm.												

# 197 Table 3: Statistical and trend analysis of water balance components for Sani river basin during future scenario (2051-2100)

Statistics		Rainfall	Runoff	GWR		ЕТ	1		RET				
		Monsoon	Monsoon	Monsoon	Seasonal	Summer	Winter	Annual	Seasonal	Summer	Winter	Annual	
Mann Kendal (z)		-0.02 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.33 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.15 <sup>NS</sup>	0.17 <sup>NS</sup>	-1.14 <sup>NS</sup>	-1.97**	- 0.03 <sup>NS</sup>	0.88 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.28 <sup>NS</sup>	0.15 <sup>NS</sup>	0.38 <sup>NS</sup>	
Confi. Level MK(Z)		50.67	62.79	56.00	56.64	87.24	97.58	51.33	81.01	61.20	55.98	64.98	
Sen's Slope(	mm/yr)	-0.10	-0.19	0.00	0.15	-0.18	-0.27	-0.07	0.54	-0.06	0.05	0.19	
Lower and Upper limit of Sen's	Lower	-7.02	-4.32	-1.16	-1.45	-0.60	-0.67	-2.08	-1.19	-0.43	-0.71	-1.53	
slope (1%) (mm/yr)	Upper	13.15	7.18	2.32	1.96	0.23	0.10	1.69	2.17	0.33	0.85	2.11	
Lower and Upper limit of Sen's	Lower	-5.02	-2.71	-0.65	-0.98	-0.50	-0.58	-1.54	-0.79	-0.32	-0.50	-1.04	
slope (5 %) (mm/yr)	Upper	10.21	4.43	1.52	1.56	0.12	-0.01	1.21	1.87	0.22	0.64	1.36	
Slope of best	t fit												
trend		0.035	1.28	-0.17	0.16	-0.14	-0.28	-0.12	0.49	-0.04	0.22	0.038	
$R^2$ (mm/yr)		0.002	0.003	0.005	0.001	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.014	0.00	0.00	0.045	
Mean(mm)		521	265	91	152	32	34	212	950	704	546	2089	
Median(mm)		433	186	61	137	28	31	209	959	706	571	2113	
Kurtosis		-0.85	0.10	7.86	-0.14	5.99	-0.03	0.19	0.28	-0.40	-0.44	41	
Skewness		0.65	1.08	2.49	0.57	2.18	0.69	0.41	-0.30	-0.11	-1.08	-6.12	
Min. (mm)		59	0.00	0.00	39	5	7	86	792	673	426	824	
Max. (mm)		1361	1035	641	296	120	69	364	1086	730	606	2241	

CV (%)	0.75	1.10	1.38	0.39	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.06	0.0	0.1	0.09
**** Significant at 0.	1%, *** Si	gnificant at	1%, ** Si	gnificant a	t 5%, * Sig	gnificant	at 10 %,	NS = Non	Significant	, all para	meters
are in mm.											

# 199 **Conclusions**

This work substantially will enhance the knowledge of global climate change impacts on 200 the water resources in the study area, which provides a means to adopt future impacts by 201 202 adopting water management options. The study areas may somewhat experience seasonally 203 limited water availability, rapid socio-economic development, and population growth. The 204 SWAT model simulates the runoff and groundwater recharge appreciably well for the study area. The rainfall and runoff was found stable in past and will be stable in future too, as there 205 will not be climate change impacts on it. The rainy seasonal potential evapotranspiration is 206 207 significantly increasing due to climate change impacts. The crop evapotranspiration and the groundwater recharge was found stable in past and will be stable in future too and there will 208 not be climate change impacts on it. The result will prove beneficial to the various water 209 210 project authorities for the better management of the water resources in the basin for future scenarios and to decide sustainable reservoir operating policy for both monsoon and summer 211 212 season.

### 213 **References**

- Arnold, J.G.; Williams, J.R.; Srinivasan, R. and King, K.W. 1996. Soil and Water Assessment
   Tool, Use's Manual. USDA, Agriculture Research Service, Grassland, Soil and Water Research
   Laboratory, TX.
- 217 2. Arnold, J.G. and Fohrer, N. 2005. SWAT 2000: Current capabilities and research opportunities in applied watershed modeling. *Hydrologic Processes*. 19(3): 563-572.
- 3. Bhaskaran, B.; Jones, R.G.; Murphy, J.M. and Noguer, M. 1996. Simulations of the Indian
  summer monsoon using a nested regional climate model: domain size experiments. *Climate Dynamics*. 12:573-587.
- 4. Bhaskaran, B.; Jones, R.G. and Murphy, J.M. 1998. Intra-seasonal oscillation in the Indian
   summer monsoon simulated by global and nested regional climate models. *Monsoon Weather Reviews.* 126:3124-3134.
- 5. Gassman, P.W.; Reyes, M.; Green, C.H.; and Arnold, J.G. 2007. The Soil and Water Assessment
  Tool: Historical development, applications, and future directions. *Transactions of ASABE*. 50(4):
  1211-1250.
- 6. Gilbert, R.O. 1987. Statistical methods of environmental pollution monitoring. Van Nostrand
  Reinhold, NY. pp. 208-218.

- 7. IPCC.2007. Climate Change: 2007. Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability- Contribution of
  Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
  Change, edited by M. L. Parry *et al.*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U. K.
- 8. Jones, R.G; Murphy, J.M. and Noguer, M. 1995. Simulation of climate change over Europe using
  a nested regional climate model. *Journal of Meteorological Society*. **121**:1413-1449.
- 9. Jones, R.G.; Murphy, J.M.; Noguer, M. and Keen, A.B. 1997. Simulation of climate change over
  Europe using a nested regional climate model. II: comparison of driving and regional model
  responses to a doubling of carbon dioxide. *Journal of Meteorological Society*. 123:265-292.
- 238 10. Kendall, M.G. 1975. Rank correlation methods.4<sup>th</sup> ed. Charles Griffin, London.
- 11. Kole, R.K. 2005. Quality Evaluation of Surface Water Resources with Special Reference to the
   River Ganga in West Bengal, Winter School on Advanced Strategies for the Mitigation of Heavy
   Metals and Arsenic Pollution in Agricultural Production Systems, 6<sup>th</sup> -26<sup>th</sup> December.
- 242 12. Kumar, M. and Kumar, P.P. 2013. Climate Change, Water Resources and Food Production: Some
  243 Highlights from India's Standpoint. *International Research Journal of Environment Science*.
  244 2(1): 79-87.
- Lindsey, J. S.; Jaspars, S.; Pavanello, E.; Ludi, R.; Slater, A.;Arnall, N. G. and Mtisi, S. 2010.
  Responding to a Changing Climate: Exploring how Disaster Risk Reduction, Social Protection
  and Livelihoods Approaches Promote Features of Adaptive Capacity, ODI, Working Paper, No.
  319.
- 14. Menzel, L.; and Burger, G. 2002. Climate change scenarios and runoff response in the Mulde
   catchment (Southern Elbe, Germany). *Journal of Hydrology*. 267: 53-64.
- 15. Noguer, M.; Jones, R.G. and Murphy, J.M. 1998. Effect of systematic errors in the lateral
   boundary forcing on the climatology of a nested regional climate model over Europe. *Climate Dynamics.* 14:691-712.
- 16. Neitsch, S.L.; Arnold, J.G.; Kiniry, J.R. and Williams, J.R. 2001. Soil and water assessment tool
  theoretical documentation. Soil and Water Research laboratory, Agricultural Research Service,
  Grassland, Texas.
- Patel, P.C. and Gajera, S.R. 2013. Assessment of Ground Water Recharge by Water Harvesting
   Structures Using Remote Sensing and GIS. A Study of Jamka Village. *Indian Journal of Research.* 2(4): 115-116.
- 260 18. Sen, P.K .1968. Estimates of the regression coefficient t based on Kendall's tau. *Journal*261 *of the American Statistical Association*. 63: 1379-1389.