SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_38428**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Nutrient availability from an organic fertilizer produced by chemical decomposition of solid wastes in relation to dry matter production in banana**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract** - Line 9. Not written in conformity with instructions to authors. Composed of sub-headings which is very unusual for a journal manuscript. Needs to be completely re-organized in line with journal instructions to authors **Materials and Methods:** -Table 1, Line 53: Check units of measure and values for soil parameters such as N, P, K, Ca, Mg. - On what basis were soil parameters classified as deficient, sufficient, medium, high, etc.? Authors should include the basis for clarification. - Why was mineral fertilizer and P applied at different times as stated by authors? - Authors should describe in detail the various standard procedures, if any, adopted for the determination of the various soil parameters with references. **Results and Discussion** - Line 104-105: Urea has no acidifying effect on soil contrary to authors claims - Line 113-115: Authors seem to be confused with sources of soil acidification. At one stage it is from Urea and at another stage, it is from organic manures. Specific explanations are necessary. - Figures 2-6 are clumsy and do not correspond to information provided in text. A complete review is necessary. Simple tables or line graphs could provide simpler and clearer understanding for the reading public and scientific community. - Instead of blanket and sweeping statements of increasing or decreasing nutrient levels, could authors provide specific quantities of nutrient taken up at the various growth stages and at harvest. - Discussion is weak and needs to be made more elaborate and stronger but supported by experimental results.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***M. M. Buri***
Department, University & Country	***CSIR-Soil Research Institute, Ghana***