



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38428
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient availability from an organic fertilizer produced by chemical decomposition of solid wastes in relation to dry matter production in banana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors should write a concise abstract 2. The treatments used in this experiment are not explicit enough. Could you please spell out all these abbreviations 3. The lowest availability at 4M. What is 4M? 4. K availability in all the treatments increased up to 2M and continued to increase up to 4M. Define your 2M and 4M? 5. The farmyard manure applied plots recorded a higher content of available P compared to organic fertilizer treated plots. Farm yard manure is an organic manure. This statement is really confusing. Treatments used in this experiment are not clear at all. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ade Onanuga
Department, University & Country	Biology Department, Dalhousie University, Canada