



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_37836
Title of the Manuscript:	NATIONAL SCENARIO OF ACADEMIC BACKWARDNESS AMONG SCHOOL GOING RURAL CHILDREN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are a few things noted by track change notes that need to be addressed as revisions for this paper. There is good data here but some of the wording was very awkward so I have just corrected/revised that wording rather than showing where so many problems were present.</p> <p>I find the word "backwardness" very offensive and it seems to be insulting to me. I encourage the authors to reword to describe this phenomenon as "challenges" instead of as "backwardness".</p> <p>With careful editorial guidance, this paper could be revised quickly and ready for publication soon.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Please check the reference list carefully as 2 citation styles seem to be used.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nancy Maynes
Department, University & Country	Nipissing University, Canada