



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_37784
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro propagation and analysis of genetic stability of in vitro propagated plants of Jaspi- a clonal rootstock of Prunus
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors should include in the discussion aspects of culture media for micropropagation linked with the results presented in the Table 1.</p> <p>The authors need clarify the information in the line 63, page 2 "samples from plant material being multiplied for the last more than six years". How many subculturing after the initial was realized? The Genetic stability analysis was performed in which subculturing?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Da Silva Ledo
Department, University & Country	Brazil