### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_36329
Title of the Manuscript:	AGRO-POTENTIALITY OF TREATED PAPERBOARD MILL EFFLUENT ALONG WITH ORGANIC AMENDMENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD CHARACTERISTICS OF OKRA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty</u>', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment  The abetract and the introduction are identical, the summary should be improved.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract and the introduction are identical, the summary should be improved. The introduction is very small and does not address the importance of the study. The introduction is only 11 lines, must have at least 25 lines. What is the purpose of the research?  Key words: Treated Paperboard Mill Effluent, Okra, Growth characteristics, Organic Amendments  Keywords should not appear in the title Improve the discussion of data and figures.  Other variables such as number of leaves and branches are specified in the methodology, but there is no comment on them in the results. They were not meaningful, so why did this happen?  The results should be improved, discuss the data obtained.  The conclusion is enormous. What do you conclude? What water should be applied? Which fertilizer?  Plagiarism issue:  http://www.gkpublication.in/IJRR_Vol.1_Issue%203_Nov_2014/3.pdf	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Viviane Farias Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Campina Grande, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)