



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_36312
Title of the Manuscript:	A Survey of Optimal Power Flow Analysis of Longitudinal Power System
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper required reconstruction, where some section need to be added.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- A lot of grammar errors, therefore, the first step is to correct the English as in tracking on the paper that is titled "Ms_AIR_36312 peer reviewer". 2- Reconstructed the paper according to my advice. 3- Make all sentences short as possible and avoid using they. Try to use the name. 4- Abbreviations need to correct as in paper tracking. 5- Try to high light the aim of every section in first of sentence. <p>Sub-subsection is not recommended in paper. Only section and subsection. For example, "2.1.1 variable". You may give number only 1 or bullets and also you have to write full words. The reader may not able to follow what variable that you probably mean that variable of Optimal Power Flow Formulation.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Algaddafi
Department, University & Country	Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Sirte University, Sirte, Libya