



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_36133
Title of the Manuscript:	Computational Method for the Simulation of Duffing Oscillators
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In 63th sentence, the authors wrote the method in this article is the extension of previous study. I suggest the relationship between this manuscript and that previous study can be further described more clearly. It is better that Figs. 4.1-4.5 can be revised by add the z axis label. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The journals' names in Refs. [8], [14] is different from other references. It is abbreviated. I suggest the format can be unified.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Y. Sheu
Department, University & Country	Department of Accounting and Information Systems, Chang-Jung Christian University, Tainan, Taiwan