



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_35605</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An analysis of Socio-personal characteristics of apple growers and their attitude towards apple cultivation in district Shopian of J&amp;K</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This manuscript lacks a Discussion of Implications for Research and Implications for Practice. The authors should make reasoned recommendations for research and practice that relate to the various categories that they have analysed. For example, if my reading of the manuscript is correct, farmers at higher altitudes have different attitudes to those at lower altitudes. So, how should policy address these differences?	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Stephen Fox</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Tampere University of Technology, Finland</b>