

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_35605
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis of socio-personal characteristics of apple growers and their attitude towards apple cultivation in district Shopian of J&K
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
As initially proposed to be improved:	
The English language was not improved (I did some changes but	
insufficient)	
There was no meaningful presentation of all literature in the Introduction	
section. The authors just put everything related without any comments.	
There is no discussion on the data presented.	
There is no clear meaning of the addition of the Mean ± SD in various	
tables. No discussion is present on these and for some (Innov. Proneness,	
Extension Contact, Attitude towards) they are analysed what they are.	
AS LONG AS THE AUTHORS ARE UNWILLING TO DO THE ABOVE	
CHANGES, I AM NOT WILLING TO LOOK OVER THE MANUSCRIPT AGAIN.	
IF THE EDITOR WISHES, HE/SHE CAN PUBLISH IT. FOR ME IT IS STILL	
UNACCEPTABLE FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT REVISIONS!	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	George Nanos
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural Sciences, University of Thessaly, Greece