



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_35266
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Packaging on Storage of Fenugreek at Different Storage Conditions in Kharif Season
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors present interesting study about the effect of different packaging materials and storage conditions on quality and shelf life of fenugreek. However, I have the following comments. Inappropriate reference citations ignoring the journals' format.</p> <p><b>Title</b> In the title there is a repetition: Performance of packaging on <u>storage</u> of fenugreek at different <u>storage</u> conditions in Kharif season. Maybe better: Performance of packaging on shelf life and quality of fenugreek at different storage conditions in Kharif season.</p> <p><b>Intoduction</b> Line 33 (<i>graecum</i> not <i>graceum</i>). Line 44 The literature survey done should include the most recent ones. 50% of referenced literature should be from recent papers i.e. published in the past five years. Authors fail to cite new publications and focus on old literature. For example: Ahmad et al. (2016), and Brar et al. (2013) studies have more current level of evidence, so comparing their findings to these studies in particular would be helpful to the reader. Ahmad A, Alghamdi SS, Mahmood K, Afzal M. Fenugreek a multipurpose crop: Potentialities and improvements. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2016; 23:300-310. Brar JK, Rai DR, Singh A, Kaur N. Biochemical and</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>physiological changes in Fenugreek (<i>Trigonella foenum- graecum</i> L.) leaves during storage under modified atmosphere packaging. J Food Sci Technol. 2013 ; doi:10.1007/s13197-011-0390-4.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b> What was the control samples?</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The authors introduce the terms ZECC, RT, PLW, CS for the first time, and need expand the abbreviations here.</li> <li>2. Less attractive presented results. It would be more interesting to share some of the results with graphs (for example ascorbic acid?). Graphs are usually more effective than tables, the readers can understand graphics more easily and quickly than blocks of text.</li> </ol> <p>Poorly developed discussion. Where is comparisons and contrast between the results and interpretations reported in this manuscript?</p> <p><b>References</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [-] not Jorwar (2001).</li> <li>2. Journal names abbreviated (NCBI databases).</li> <li>3. References numbered in the order that they appear in the text.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Szosland-Faltyn</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Department of Food Quality, Institute of Agricultural and Food Biotechnology, Poland</b>