



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Advances in Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_34765
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of aspect based multidimensional poverty in rural Haryana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract	<p>Line 13; please state the Alkire-Foster's method applied instead of citing the name of author.</p> <p>The result of your study can't be located in your abstract, as well as any recommendations generated. Please include.</p> <p>Line 11; Insert a comma (,) after 'For estimation of the poverty....'</p> <p>Line 13; You are mentioning "NSSO" for the first time. State the full meaning of the term</p>	
	Result	Line 138; insert 'of the' after the 50%	
	Conclusion	The study didn't have recommendation based your findings	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		Please state if there is any limitation of the study at the concluding section.	
Optional/General comments			

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Adewale Adejugbagbe</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department Of Epidemiology And Medical Statistics, University Of Ibadan, Nigeria</i>