



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_33799
Title of the Manuscript:	Comprehensive Review on Ohmic Heating of Muscle Food
Type of the Article	Minireview Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Revise the English in all articles and give a more scientific approach of the mini-review. Re-write the equation 1-10 according to the Journal requirements and provide the source of the tables. Re-write the point 2.5.2 because there is specific rheological behaviour (shear thinning, Bingham etc.) which could be related with the ohmic heating impact. In chapter 3 and in all article change no-existing "muscle foods" with another correct terms, such as "meaty foods". Provide more comparative examples about the meat OH. Give a strong correlation between the mini-review examples and the conclusion section.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vintila Iuliana
Department, University & Country	Food Science, Dunarea de Jos University, Romania