SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_33557**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Testing for the Efficiency of Coal Firm Stock Markets in China**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**-I am not sure whether we may relate unit root tests with the efficient market hypothesis. If data is stationary, can we claim that the market is not inefficient? Stronger citations and information is necessary in the text.** **-Types of efficient market hypothesis should be explained. Which type should be considered if the stock price in concern is stationary by a unit root test?**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Afsin Sahin***
Department, University & Country	***Gazi University, Turkey***