



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_33420
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTIONS ABOUT SOCIAL RESPONSIBLE INVESTING AMONG ACADEMIC STAFF: EVIDENCE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, concept and also composition looks good. This paper has strong references and also methodology is consistent with its research problem. But I couldn't be sure that research universe is suitable to this kind of research? Since results looks no heard about this concept and no related action. So, maybe conclusion par may improve as introduce research concept and may include suggestions to present and also encpeoplehis important topic. So, my decision is paper may improve especially in conclusion part. After that it may publish as original research paper.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ayşe Kucuk Yilmaz</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Management and Strategy, Anadolu University, Turkey</i>