Effect of *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 on Reduction of Chromium (VI) and <u>pea\_Pea</u> growthGrowth

# Abstract

**Aim:** Hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) is toxic due to its high solubility in water and permeability through biological membranes and Cr (VI) interacts with proteins and nucleic acids which makes it more toxic and carcinogenic than trivalent. Microbes converting toxic chromium Cr (VI) to stable and less soluble Cr (III) can be used for detoxification of Cr (VI) from contaminated environment. In this study the authors wanted to evaluate the effect of chromium (VI) reducing *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 on the growth, photosynthestic pigments, nodulation and metal accumulation in pea crop.

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was carried out at the Department of Biological Sciences, Crescent University, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria in 2015.

**Methodology:** Cr (VI) reduction in both free and immobilized cells was evaluated by 1, 5-Diphenyl Carbazide method. Pigments, plant growth, and metal accumulation were determined as per the standard methods as described in materials and methods.

**Results:** *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 significantly reduced Cr (VI) when bacteria were immobilized by sodium alginate as compared to the free cells. Seed germination, pea growth, nodulation, photosynthetic pigments, and protein increased in pea plants after inoculation with *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 compared to un-inoculated pea plants amended with metal. OZF6 significantly decreased accumulation of chromium in roots and shoots compared to only metal-amended plants.

**Conclusions:** Due to above properties, <u>*B\_brevis*</u> OZF6 could therefore be used as bioremediator of Cr (VI) in chromium contaminated environment and thus will protect the environment.

**Keywords**: *Brevibacillus brevis*, Chromium (VI) tolerance, Chromium (VI) reduction, Immobilization, Nodulation, Pea growth, Photosynthetic pigments Formatted: Font: Italic

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Heavy metal pollution is one of the current most troublesome environmental problems due to the widespread-widely use of metals for industrial and agricultural purposes [1]. It adversely affects about 12% of the world's agricultural land [2]. Heavy metal pollution has accelerated dramatically since the beginning of industrial revolution. The metals released from these sources accumulate in soil and in turn adversely affect the agro-ecosystem [3]. The toxic metal contamination of soil environment therefore, requires an effective and affordable attention.

Chromium occurs either in the form of trivalent or hexavalent which affects growth of microorganisms present in the environment [4]. Hexavalent chromium being very toxic. However, trivalent is an essential micronutrient for animals, plants, and humans which involves in glucose metabolism [5], stimulation of enzyme system [6], and stabilization of nucleic acids by increasing the processivity of DNA polymerase [7]. Solubility of Cr (VI) makes it highly toxic and easily passing through biological membranes and damaginge proteins and nucleic acids particularly DNA. Thus, Cr (VI) inhibits the number and growth of species [8, 9]. The contamination of chromium (VI) is mainly due to the use of Cr (VI) in leather, tanning, metallurgy, electroplating, textile, and pigment manufacturing industries [10-12]. Reduction of Cr (VI) leads to the formation of stables, less soluble and less toxic Cr (III). Chromium toxicity to plants, however, can be reduced by applying resistant and reducing microorganisms [13] (Wani et al., 2009). Reduction of toxic Cr (VI) to Cr (III) is useful process remediation of Cr (VI) affected environments [14] and thus can be readily used to save our soil and water from the toxic effects of this metal. The reduction of Cr (VI) has been reported in Bacillus sp. [15, 16], Pseudomonas sp. [17, -18], Escherichia coli [19], Microbacterium sp. [11], Ochrobactrum intermedium [20], and Micrococcus sp. [21].

Mechanism of chromium (VI) reduction may be direct or indirect. The reduction process is influenced by pH, temperature, concentrationand concentration of chromium, incubation periods, and the microorganisms used. The chromium reductases whether intracellular or extracelluar can reduce chromium (VI) into chromium (III) directly [22]. Whereas reductants or oxidant, such as H<sub>2</sub>S, reduce chromium indirectly [23]. In the media with added carbon sources, Cr (VI)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

reduction can be predominantly aerobic or anaerobic and chromium reductases can eatalysecatalyze reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III) anaerobically [24], aerobically [25] and also both. The Cr (VI) reductase may be present in the membrane fraction of the cells of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), as found in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Enterobacter cloacae* [26]. Chromium reductase may also be present intracellularly which will reduce chromium (VI) into chromium (III) [27]. The insoluble precipitate formed by the reduction chromium (Cr (VI)) to chromium (Cr (III)) can be easily removed from wastewater [14]. Chromium reductase found in *P. ambigua* [28] and *Bacillus* sp. [29] were purified and characterized. Recently, to clone a chromate reductase gene, novel soluble chromate reductase of *P. putida* was purified to homogeneity and characterized [30]. The reductase activity was NADH- or NADPH-dependent. Reduction of Cr (VI) by H<sub>2</sub>S produced by the bacterial cells is found in soil environments which are rich in sulfate under anaerobic conditions [22]. Hydrogen sulfide, produced in acid sulfate soil under reducing conditions, is easily precipitated as FeS in reduced soils [31] and sediments. Fe (II) and H<sub>2</sub>S, both microbially produced, are effective reductants of Cr (VI) under reduced conditions as FeS [32].

Present study was conducted (1) to evaluate sodium aliginate as an immobilizing matrix for bacterial strain *Brevibacillus*–<u>B.</u> brevis OZF6 to remove Cr (VI), (2) to evaluate the effect of *Brevibacillus*–<u>B.</u> brevis OZF6 on the reduction of Cr (VI), plant growth, nodulation, photosynthetic pigment and protein content in pea.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Chromium (VI) reduction in free and immobilized cells

The *Brevibacillus* <u>B</u> brevis OZF6 from our own culture collection was chromium tolerant and chromium (VI) reducing which were isolated from industrial waste water of Abeokuta, Ogun State Nigeria and was identified as identified as described previously [33]. The strain was cultured on nutrient agar plates. Natural materials like sodium alginate (SA) at varied concentration was used to immobilize *Brevibacillus* <u>B</u> brevis OZF6 cells to evaluate their effect on Cr (VI) reduction. Sodium alginate in concentrations of (g/20 ml) 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 were used in the experiment. Preparations of beads was performed as follows: (1) SA was mixed in 20 ml of deionized water, and then solution was heated to  $80, C^{0}C^{0}C$  in order to dissolve SA; (2) when the immobilizing agent dissolved in deionized water, the solution was cooled to  $40, C^{0}C^{0}C$ , (3)

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

after cooling, about 1 g (fresh weight) of bacterial cells (grown overnight in nutrient broth at a temperature of  $30 \pm 2 \circ C \circ C$ ) was added and mixed with the solution; (3) for the preparation of cell beads, the mixture was prepared as 50 ml degassed boric acid solution (100 %) containing 2 % (w/v) calcium chloride was mixed, and immersed for 24 hours. The solution was put into immobilizing phase using 10 ml sterile disposable plastic syringe with a 21-G needle. Beads (3\_- \_\_5 mm in diameter) were washed three times with 100 ml sterile distilled water and added aseptically to 100 ml NB medium containing 100 µg/ml K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> in a 250 ml flask. The flask was incubated at 37  $\circ C \circ C$ . Samples were taken at 60 and 120 hours and Cr (VI) concentration was detected using 1, 5 – diphenyl carbazide method [34] upto 120 h. Briefly, the test samples were acidified (pH 1-2) and added 1,5 diphenyl carbazide (50 µg/ml). Cr (VI) concentration was detected by using UV-VIS spectrophotometer at 540 nm. Experiments were repeated three times.

### 2.2 Chromium reduction by both free and immobilized cells in fed batch experiments

For the fed-batch experiments, 100 ml of NB broth in the bottle was amended with 100 µg/ml Cr (VI) and inoculated with and with-out immobilized cells (wet weight, 1 g). The bottles was incubated at 30<u>°C</u>°C. Samples were collected at 60 and 120 hours and monitored for Cr (VI) remaining. When almost all of the Cr (VI) was removed from the medium, medium was replaced with fresh sterile LB broth (100% exchange) and amended with Cr (VI). This procedure was repeated up to three times. The Cr (VI) content from the liquid samples collected at different times during each batch were determined as above. Experiments were repeated three times.

## 2.3 Plant growth

The autoclaved soil used in the experiment was sandy clay loam (organic carbon 0.37%, Kjeldahl N 0.65 g/kg, Olsen P 15.5 mg/kg, pH 7.1, WHC 0.42 ml/g, and Cr (VI) 4.2  $\mu$ g/ g). Seeds of pea var. Arket were surface sterilized with 70% ethanol for 3 min then 3% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min), rinsed six times with sterile water, and shade dried. The sterilized seeds were coated with *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 which was grown in nutrient broth. Seeds were dipped in liquid culture medium for 2 h using 10% gum Arabic as an adhesive to help 10<sup>8</sup> cells seed<sup>-1</sup> attach on the seeds. The non-coated sterilized seeds soaked in sterile water served as control. The non-inoculated and inoculated seeds (10 seeds per pot) were sown in clay pots (30 cm high and 20 cm internal diameter) filled with 3 kg sterilized soil without chromium (VI) as

control and 60 mg Cr (VI)/ kg soil as treatment in a completely randomized design in an open condition. The concentration of Cr (60 mg Cr/ kg) used in this study was comparable to those found in sewage waste water. Six pots used for each treatment were arranged in a complete randomized design. One week after emergence, plants in each pot were thinned to three plants. The pots were watered with tap water when required and were maintained in an open field condition. All plants in the pots for each treatment were removed at 90 days after planting (DAP), and were observed for plant growth in terms of their root length and shoot length. Plants uprooted at 90 DAP were oven-dried at 80 <sup>o</sup>C and the dry matter was measured. Nodule number and nodule dry weight per plant were observed at 90 DAP. Total chlorophyll contents in fresh foliage of pea grown in metal stressed and metal free (control) soil was quantified at 90 DAP using the method described by Arnon [35]. Protein was measured after 90 DAP by the method of Lowery et al. [36]. Caretenoid was measured after 90 days of growth of pea plant amended with and without metal by the method of Sadasivam and Manikam [37].

The chromium content in roots and shoots of pea plants were measured after 90 DAP. The plant samples were digested in nitric acid and perchloric acid (4:1) following the method of Ouzounidou et al. [38].

# 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data of the mean of six replicates of the measured parameters were subjected to two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to see the main effects and interaction among factors and significant partial difference (LSD) was calculated at 5% probability level. Significant difference among the treatments was calculated using Duncan's multiple range test. Values indicated mean  $\pm$  S.D of the replicates.

# 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Effect of immobilization on Cr (VI) reduction

In this study we evaluated the immobilizing effect of sodium alginate on Cr (VI) reduction by *B. brevis* OFZ6 compared with free cells after 120 h of incubation (Fig. 1). Among different matrices combinations for whole cell immobilization of OZF6, the combination of 1.5 g sodium alginate proved to be the best combination for Cr (VI) reduction and reduced highest concentration of chromium (VI) compared to control cells (Fig. 1). Maximum reduction of Cr (VI) was observed in strain OZF6 when immobilized by 1.5 g sodium alginate compared to the other combinations of 0.5 and 1.0 g SA. Strain OZF 6 reduced Cr (VI) significantly by 87% after

120 h of incubation when immobilized on 1.5 g sodium alginate. Concentration of 1.5 g SA showed a significant increase of 13% in Cr (VI) reduction by *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF 6, compared to free cells after 120 h of incubation.

## 3.2 Fed batch Reduction of Cr (VI) by both free and immobilized Brevibacillus brevis OZF6

Reduction approached almost completion in each batch and was sustained in subsequent batches (Fig. 2). *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF65 significantly reduced chromium (VI) compared to control cells (Fig. 2). *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF65 reduced more than 85% of Cr (VI) when the strain was immobilized by 1.5 g SA after each batch compared to free cells whose reduction was less than 75% in each batch. Same pattern was observed in the second and third cycle (after 15 days of incubation). Undoubtedly microbial cells repeatedly can sustain the removal of Cr (VI) in fed batch experiments.

# **3.3** Effect of *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 inoculation on chromium (VI) reduction and plant growth and nodulation of pea under the influence of the metal

Seed germination of pea was decreased in the presence of the metal. However, when the plant was inoculated with the *B. brevis* OZF6 amended with and without metal, seed germination of pea was increased significantly as compared to the un-inoculated control plant (Table 1).

Pea plants grown in soil amended with chromium (VI) showed variable growth and nodulation (Table 1 and 2). Generally, length, total dry weight and nodulation at 90 days, decreased significantly when pea was exposed to the metal. In contrast, plants inoculated with *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 significantly increased the measured parameters, even in the presence of the metal (Table 1 and 2). The two way ANOVA revealed that individual effects of inoculation and Cr (VI) and their interaction (inoculation x Cr (VI)) were significant (pB  $\leq$  0.05) for measured parameters at 90 DAS.

# 3.4 Effect of *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 inoculation on photosynthetic pigments and seed protein

Photosynthetic pigments including chlorophyll, carotenoid, and seed protein were decreased significantly in plants grown at 60 mg Cr/kg soil compared to the un-inoculated control plants (Table 1 and 2). But when the pea crop was inoculated with the *B. brevis* OZF6, the measured parameters were increased significantly compared to the control plants. Even when metal was amended with the bacterial strains, chlorophyll, carotenoid and seed protein were increased

significantly compared to the control plants (Table 1 and 2). The two way ANOVA revealed that the individual effects of inoculation and Cr (VI) and their interaction (inoculation x Cr (VI)) were significant (p B  $\leq 0.05$ ) for the measured parameters at 90 DAS.

# 3.5 Accumulation of metal in plant tissues

The accumulation of chromium in plant tissues differed among treatments (Table 2). Chromium accumulation in the roots and shoots of pea plants was higher in the presence of the metal. In contrast, the bioinoculant significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) decreased the concentration of the metal in root and shoot tissues, compared to the un-inoculated but metal amended plants.

# 4. DISCUSSION

Hexavalent chromium is a carcinogen which is found in large amounts in soil whereas trivalent is an essential micronutrient found in small amounts in the soil. Trivalent is responsible for the metabolism of glucose and also increases different enzymes [5, 6]. Reduction of toxic Cr (VI) to Cr (III) is thus a useful process for remediation of Cr (VI) affected environments [14] and thus can be readily used to save our soil and water from the toxic effects of these metals. Maximum reduction of Cr (VI) was observed in strain OZF6 when immobilized by 1.5 g sodium aliginate compared to the other combinations of 0.5 and 1.0 g SA after 120 hours of incubation. Strain OZF 6 reduced Cr (VI) by 87% after 120 hours of incubation when immobilized on 1.5 g sodium alginate compared to free cells. Concentration of 1.5 g SA showed an increase of 13% in Cr (VI) reduction by strain OZF 6, compared to free cells. Our study agree with the study of Humphries et al. [39]; Poopal and Laxman [40]. They also observed that when Desulfovibrio vulgaris was immobilized by agar reduced 0.5 mM (VI) in 22 h whereas Microbacterium sp. NCIMB 13776 was immobilized by agar was 0.5 mM Cr (VI) was reduced within 65 h of incubation [39], while the PVA-alginate immobilized Streptomyces griseus cells removed 0.48 mM Cr(VI) within 24 h [40]. In another study Pang et al., [41] also observed 50% Cr (VI) reduction in 84 hours when Pseudomonas aeruginosa was immobilized in polyvinyl alcohol/sodium alginate matrix.

*Brevibacillus brevis* OZF65 reduced more than 85% of Cr (VI) when the strain was immobilized by 1.5 g SA after each batch compared to free cells whose reduction was less than 75% in each batch. Undoubtedly microbial cells repeatedly removed Cr (VI) in fed batch experiments. This study has demonstrated that Cr (VI) reduction was dependent on the initial content of bacterial biomass, as it was also observed by others [42]. Furthermore, the negative impact of the metal is avoided if we will use already grown bacteria for the reduction of Cr (VI). The lack of a delay demonstrates that the necessary enzymes are constitutively expressed. This could be mainly due to the involvement of constitutive chromate reductases, thus corroborating the earlier observation of the rapid reduction of Cr (VI) by *Pseudomonas putida* unsaturated biofilms [43].

Seed germination of pea decreased in the presence of the metal. But when the crop was inoculated with the *B. brevis* OZF6 amended with and without metal, seed germination was increased significantly as compared to the control plant (Table 2).

Heavy metals toxicity results in change in the cell permeability. Additionally, heavy metals inhibited the expression of specific enzymes for germination, which are involved in the seed coat breakdown [44]. Similar results were also reported by Karthak et al. [45] who also studied decrease in seed germination of the legume crop when the plant was grown under heavy metal stress. Karthak et al. [45] reported that when inoculated the crop with bio-inoculant amended with metal, significant increase in the seed germination was observed compared to control plants. Pea plants grown in soil amended with chromium (VI) showed variable growth and nodulation. Generally, length, total dry weight and nodulation at 90 DAP, decreased significantly when pea was exposed to the metal. In contrast, plants inoculated with Brevibacillus-B, brevis OZF6 significantly increased the measured parameters, even in the presence of the metal. Chromium (VI) toxicity exerted severe effects on root growth and function, resulting in root damage, reduction in root fresh weight, cell division, and root elongation and reduced the uptake of water and nutrients [46]. Moreover, accumulation of heavy metals in plant tissues may trigger water deficit, resulting in reduced growth and development of plants [45]. But when the seed were inoculated with the bio-inoculants, root length, dry weight and nodulation of the pea were significantly increased. These bacteria can increase the growth of the plant due to the reduction of chromium (VI) to chromium (III) [45]. Trivalent is an important micronutrient used by animals, plants and humans which triggers glucose metabolism [5], stimulates enzymes [6] and stabilizes nucleic acids by increasing the processivity of DNA polymerase [7].

Photosynthetic pigments including chlorophyll and carotenoid and seed protein were decreased significantly at 60 mg Cr/kg of soil compared to the control plants. But when the pea crop was inoculated with the *B. brevis* OZF6, the measured parameters were increased significantly like chlorophyll, carotenoid and seed protein compared to the control plants. Similar increase in the photosynthetic pigments was observed when plant was inoculated with the bacterial strains amended with or without metal [45]. Wani and Khan [47] also observed increase in the

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

photosynthetic pigments and seed protein upon inoculation of the bacterial strain in metal amended soil.

The accumulation of chromium in plant tissues differed among treatments. The uptake of chromium by the roots and shoots of pea plants was higher in the presence of the metal. In contrast, the bioinoculant significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) decreased the concentration of the metal in tissues, compared to the un-inoculated but metal amended plants. The decreased concentration of chromium in plant organs could be due to the reduction, adsorption/desorption of metal by the OZF6 strain, as reported by Mamaril et al. [48], Wani et al. [49] and Wani and Khan [47]. Karthik et al. [45] also repotted significant decrease in metal accumulation in the plant tissue when bio-inoculant was inoculated to the crop amended with the metal.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

This study concluded that sodium alginate immobilized cells can remove chromium (VI) more efficiently and in high concentration than free cells. When bacteria was inoculated to pea crop and amended with the metal, the germination, growth, nodulation, photosynthetic pigments and protein were significantly increased as compared to un-inoculated but metal amended plant. Bacteria also reduced the accumulation of metal in the pea plant, thus can be used for bioremediation of chromium (VI) in the environment.

### **Competing Interest**

Authors do not have any competing interests

## **Submission Declaration**

This work has not been published neither is under consideration for publication

#### References

[1] Fernandes JC and Henriques FS. Biochemical, physiological and structural effects of excess copper in plants. Bot Rev.1991; 57: 246-273.

[2] Moffat AS. Engineering plants to cope with metals. Sci. 1999; 285: 369-370.

[3] Mcllveen WD and Nagusanti JJ. Nickel in the terrestrial environment. Sci. Total Environ. 1994; 148: 109-138.

[4] Ortegel JW, Staren ED, Faber JP, Warren WH, Braun PD. Modulation of tumor infiltrating lymphocyte cytolytic activity against human non small cell lung cancer. Lung cancer. 2002; 36: 17-25.

[5] Vincent JB. Elucidating a biological role for chromium at a molecular level. Acc Chem Res. 2000; 33(7): 503-510.

[6] Karuppanapandian T, Sinha PB, Kamarul HA, Manoharan K. Chromium induced accumulation of peroxide content, stimulation of antioxidative enzyme and lipid peroxidation in green gram (*vigna radiata* L cv *wilczek*) leaves. Afr J Biotechnol. 2009; 8(3): 475-479.

[7] Snow ET, Xu LS. Chromium (III) bound to DNA templates promotes increased polymerase processivity and decreased fidelity during replication *in vitro*. Biochem. 1991; 30(47): 11238-11245.

[8] Kamaludeen SP, Megharaj M, Juhasz AL, Sethunathan N, Naidu R. Chromium microorganism interactions in soil: remediation implications. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol. 2003; 178: 93-164.

[9] Ackerley DF, Barak Y, Lynch SV, Curtin J, Matin A. Effect of chromate stress on *Escherichia coli* K-12. J Bacteriol. 2006; 188: 3371-3381.

[10] Wang YT, Xiao C. Factors affecting hexavalent chromium reduction in pure cultures of bacteria. Water Res. 1995; 29: 2467-2474.

[11] Pattanapipitpaisal P, Brown NL, and Macaskie LE. Chromate reduction and 16S rRNA identification of bacteria isolated from a Cr (VI)-contaminated site. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2001a; 57: 257-261.

[12] Sultan S, Hasnain S. Reduction of toxic hexavalent chromium by Ochrobactrum intermedium strain SDCr-5 stimulated by heavy metals. Biores Technol. 2007; 98: 340–410.

[13] Wani PA, Zaidi A and Khan MS. Chromium reducing and plant growth promoting potential of *Mesorhizobium* species under chromium stress. Biorem. J. 2009; 13: 121–129.

[14] Jeyasingh J, Philip L. Bioremediation of chromium contaminated soil: optimization of operating parameters under laboratory conditions. J Hazard Mat. 2005; 118: 113-120.

[15] Elangovan R, Abhipsa S, Rohit B, Ligy P, Chandraraj K. Reduction of Cr (VI) by *Bacillus* sp. Biotechnol Lett. 206; 28: 247-252.

[16] Chaturvedi MK. Studies on chromate removal by chromium-resistant *Bacillus* sp. isolated from tannery effluent. J Environ Prot. 2011; 2: 76-82.

[17] Wani PA, Ayoola OH. Bioreduction of Cr (VI) by heavy metal resistant *Pseudomonas* species. J Environ Sci Technol. 2015; 8:122-130.

[18] Rahman M, Gul S, Haq MZ. Reduction of chromium (VI) by locally isolated *pseudomonas* sp. C-171. Turk J Biol. 2007; 31: 161-166.

[19] Bae WC, Lee HK, Choe YC, Jahng DJ, Lee SH, Kim SJ. Purification and characterization of NADPH dependent Cr(VI) reductase from *Escherichia coli* ATCC 33456. J Microbiol. 2004; 43: 21–27.

[20] Faisal M, Hasnain S. Bacterial Cr (VI) reduction concurrently improves sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) growth. Biotechnol Lett. 2005; 27: 943-947.

[21] Sultan S, Hasnain S. Chromate reduction capability of Gram positive bacterium isolated from effluent of dying industry. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 2005; 75: 699-706.

[22] Losi ME, Amrhein C, Frankenberger WT. Environmental biochemistry of chromium. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol. 1994; 136: 92.

[23] DeFilippi LJ, Lupton FS. Bioremediation of soluble Cr (VI) using sulfate reducing bacteria in Allied Signal Research, National R and B conference on the control of hazardous materials, San Francisco. 1992; 138.

[24] Lovley DR, Phillips EJP. Bioremediation of metal contamination. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1994; 60: 726.

[25] Cerventes C, Garcia JC, Devers S, Corona FG, Tavera HL. Interactions of chromium with microorganisms and plants. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2001; 25: 335-347.

[26] Wang P, Mori T, Toda K, Ohtake H. Membrane associated chromate reductase activity from *Enterobacter cloacae*. J Bacteriol. 1990; 172: 1670-1672.

[27] Gu Y, Xu W, Liu Y, Zeng G, Huang J, Tan X, Jian H, Hu X, Li F, Wang D. Mechanism of Cr (VI) reduction by *Aspergillus niger*: enzymatic characteristic, oxidative stress response, and reduction product. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2015; 22:6271-6279.

[28] Campos-Garcia J, Martinez-Cadena G, Alvarez-Gonzalez R, Cervantes C. Purification and partial characterization of a chromate reductase from *Bacillus*. Rev Lat Am Microbiol. 192; 39: 73.

[29] Wang P, Toda K, Ohtake H, Kusaka I, Yabe I. Membrane bound respiratory system of *Enterobacter cloacae* strain HO1 grown anaerobically with chromate. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1991; 78: 11.

[30] Puzon GJ, Peterson JN, Roberts AG, Kramer DM, Xun L. 2002. Bacterial flavin reductase system reduces chromate to soluble chromium (III)-NAD complex. BBRC. 2002; 294: 76.

[31] Eary LE, Rai D. 1991. Chromate reduction by subsurface soils under acidic conditions. Soil Soc Am J. 1991; 55: 676.

[32] Karnachuk OV. Influence of hexavalent chromium on hydrogen sulfide formation by sulfate reducing bacteria. Microbiol. 1995; 64: 262.

[33] Wani PA, Olamide AN, Wasiu IA, Rafi N, Wahid S. Sunday OO. Sodium alginate/polyvinyl alcohol immobilization of *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6 isolated from the industrial waste water of Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria and its role in the removal of toxic chromate. Brit Biotechnol J. 2016; 15 (1): 1-10.

[34] Eaton AD, Clesceri LS, Greenberg AE. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Washington, DC 981: American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association (AWWA), Water Environment Federation (WEF). 1992.

[35] Arnon DI. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplats, polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiol. 1949. 25:1-15

[36] Lowry OH, Roseberough NJ, Lewis AF, Randall JR. Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem. 1951; 193:265-275.

[37] Sadasivam, S, Manikam A. Biochemical Methods for Agricultural Sciences. Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi, India. 1992.

[38] Ouzounidou GE, Eleftheriou P, Karataglis. Ecophysiological and ultrastructural effects of copper in *Thlaspi ochroleucum* (cruciferae). Can J Bot. 1992; 70: 947-957.

[39] Humphries AC, Nott KP, Hall LD, Macaskie LE. Reduction of Cr(VI) by immobilized cells of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* NCIMB 8303 and *Microbacterium* sp. NCIMB 13776. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2005; 90(5): 589-596.

[40] Poopal AC, Laxman RS. Hexavalent chromate reduction by immobilized *Streptomyces* griseus. Biotechnol Lett. 2008; 30(6): 1005-1010.

[41] Pang Y, Zeng G, Tang L, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Lei X, Wu M, Li Z, Liu C. Cr (VI) reduction by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* immobilized in a polyvinyl alcohol/sodium alginate matrix containing multi-walled carbon nanotubes. Biores Technol. 2011; 102: 10733-10736.

[42] Horton RN, Apel WA, Thompson VS, Sheridan PP. Low temperature reduction of hexavalent chromium by a microbial enrichment consortium and a novel strain of *Arthrobacter aurescens*. BMC Microbiol. 2006; 6: 5.

[43] Priester JH, Olson SG, Webb SM, Neu MP, Hersman LE, Holden PA. Enhanced exopolymer production and chromium stabilization in *Pseudomonas putida* unsaturated biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72: 1988-1996.

[44] Zhang XX, Chunjie L, Zhibiao N. Effects of cadmium stress on seed germination and seedling growth of *Elymus dahuricus* infected with the *Neotyphodium* endophyte. Sci Chin Life Sci. 2012; 55:793-799.

[45] Karthik C, Oves M, Thangabalu R, Sharma R, Santhosh SB, Arulselvi PI. *Cellulosimicrobium* funkei-like enhances the growth of *Phaseolus vulgaris* by modulating oxidative damage under Chromium (VI) toxicity. J Adv Res. 2016; 7: 839-850.

[46] Glick BR. Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications. Scientifica. 2012; 1-15.

[47] Wani PA, Khan MS. Nickel detoxification and plant growth promotion by multi metal resistant plant growth promoting *Rhizobium* species RL9. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 2013; 91:117-124.

[48] Mamaril JC, Paner ET, Alpante BM. Biosorption and desorption studies of Cr(III) by free and immobilized *Rhizobium* (BJVr 12) cell biomass. Biodegradation. 1997; 8:275-285.

[49] Wani PA, Zainab IO, Wasiu IA, Jamiu KO. Chromium (VI) reduction by *Streptococcus* Species isolated from the industrial area of Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria. Res J Microbiol. 2015; 10: 66-75.



Fig.1. Chromium (VI) reduction by free and immobilized cells of Brevibacillus brevis OZF6 in

nutrient broth (pH 7.0) amended with 100  $\mu g$  /ml Cr (VI).



Fig.2. Chromium (VI) reduction by free and immobilized cells of *Brevibacillus brevis* OZF6

using repeated spiking of 100  $\mu g$  /ml Cr (VI) in nutrient broth (pH 7.0) after every five days.

photosynthetic pigments of pea plants grown in the presence and absence of metal.								
Treatment	Dose Rate of	Seed	Root	Shoot	Total dry	Total	Carotenoid	
	Cr (VI) (mg/kg	Germination	Length	Length	weight	chlorophyll		
	of soil)	(%)	(cm)	(cm)	(g)		(mg/g)	
	,	· · /	× ,	~ /		(mg/g)		
Un-inoculated	Control	$82^{a}\pm 3.2$	31 <sup>b</sup> ±1.6	45 <sup>b</sup> ±2.5	23 <sup>b</sup> ±1.4	$0.28^{b}\pm0.6$	$1.02^{b}\pm0.4$	
	60	$60^{b} \pm 2.7$	22 <u>°</u> ±1.4	33°±2.1	18.5°±1.3	0.19 <sup>c</sup> ±0.5	0.82°±0.3	
Inoculated	Control	$90^{a} \pm 3.5$	$43^{a}\pm1.8$	51 <sup>a</sup> ±2.7	$29^{a} \pm 1.8$	$0.35^{a}\pm0.7$	$1.25^{a}\pm0.5$	
(OZF6)								
OZF6+ Cr (VI)	60	$88^{a} \pm 3.4$	$41^{a} \pm 1.7$	$49^{a}\pm 2.5$	$26^{a} \pm 1.6$	$0.33^{a}\pm0.6$	1.23 <sup>a</sup> ±0.6	
LSD		8.6	5.1	5.0	3.3	0.17	0.61	
F Value	Inoculation	1121.1*	$211.6^{*}$	$203^{*}$	$214.4^{*}$	224.7*	$207.2^{*}$	
	(df=1)							
	Metals	91 <sup>*</sup>	420*	91.7 <sup>*</sup>	98.4 <sup>*</sup>	338*	170.1*	
	(df=1)							
	Interaction	101.4*	173.2*	505.4*	$408.2^{*}$	$209.2^{*}$	233.3*	
	(df = 1)							
1		1	1			1		

Table 1.	Effect of chromium	(VI) reducing	bacterial	inoculation	(OZF6)	on	the 1	plant	growth	and
photosynthetic pigments of pea plants grown in the presence and absence of metal.							8			

df indicates degree of freedom. Each value is a mean of six independent experiments  $\pm$ S.D. Mean values are significant at \*p  $\leq$  0.05. Within columns, means followed by the different letter are significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test (p  $\leq$  0.05).

pea plants							
Treatment	Dose Rate of Cr (VI) (mg/kg of	Nodule no./plant	Nodule Dry weight	Seed Protein (mg/g)	Cr (VI) accumulation (µg/g)		
	soil)		(mg/plant)		Root	Shoot	
Un-inoculated	Control	14 <sup>b</sup> ±1.1	10 <sup>b</sup> ±0.7	264 <sup>c</sup> ±12.4	ND	ND	
	60	08°±0.6	7°±0.5	233 <sup>d</sup> ±11.2	14.7 <sup>a</sup> ±1.0	5.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.7	
Inoculated (OZF6)	Control	19 <sup>a</sup> ±1.4	15 <sup>a</sup> ±1.0	293 <sup>a</sup> ±15.5	ND	ND	
OZF6+ Cr (VI)	60	16 <sup>b</sup> ±1.3	14 <sup>a</sup> ±1.2	269 <sup>b</sup> ±12.0	$4.12^{b}\pm0.6$	1.87 <sup>b</sup> ±0.3	
LSD		2.6	1.3	16.5±	2.56	2.21	
F Value	Inoculation (df=1)	98.1*	62.2*	654.3*	89.43*	62.2*	
	Metals (df= 1)	154.2*	212.4*	232.1*	164.5*	129.7*	
	Interaction (df = 1)	71.7*	502.1*	435.2*	46.4*	120.3*	

Table 2. Effect of inoculation of strain OZF6 on nodulation, protein content and metal accumulation in

df indicates degree of freedom. Each value is a mean of six independent experiments  $\pm$  S.D. Mean values are significant at  $*p \leq 0.05$ . Within columns, means followed by the different letter are significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).