



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_31538
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION OF AN EROSION SITE IN EBEM-OHAFIA AREA OF ABIA STATE, SOUTHERN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are grammatical errors that need correction. So many unnecessary paragraphs. The discussion of the result is poor and should be improved. The extent of the erosion is not mentioned. There are some cited references that are listed in the references and many that are not cited that are contained in the references. The authors should take care of these mistakes. The first two paragraphs in the conclusion section are not important. The conclusion is not sharp and should be rewritten.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Four VES is very poor for scientific research, but if it is acceptable to the Editorial Board, no problem.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel N. Obiora
Department, University & Country	Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nigeria, Nigeria