SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_29051**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Computer Asissted Teaching and Learning (CATL) to Improve Academic Achievement and Skill in Science-Physics**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**It is interesting paper but it requires a few major revisions.** 1. **First of all you have to improve your English.** 2. **Describe shortly science-physics because it is not popular subject in the world.** 3. **The caption of table 1 should be before it.** 4. **The results and description of table 3 is not transparent for readers. You have to write clearly why you used t-test, what statistical hypothesis you tested (null hypothesis) and so on.** 5. **You gave a lot of different information in the section 3. I suggest plotting them in the form of appropriate figures.** 6. **Reference [2] is not clear.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Radosław Jedynak**
Department, University & Country	**Computer Science & Mathematics Department, Kazimierz Pulaski University of Technology and Humanities, Radom, Poland**